A census of 4-valent half-arc-transitive graphs and arc-transitive digraphs of valence two

Dedicated to Dragan Marušič on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Primož Potočnik [*]

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,
Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
affiliated also with: IAM, University of Primorska,
Muzejski trg 2, SI-6000 Koper, Slovenia
and with: IMFM, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Pablo Spiga

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura e Applicata, University of Milano-Bicocca,
Via Cozzi 53, 20126 Milano, Italy

Gabriel Verret [†]

Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation, The University of Western
Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
and
FAMNIT, University of Primorska, Glagoljaška 8, SI-6000 Koper, Slovenia

Abstract

A complete list of all connected arc-transitive asymmetric digraphs of in-valence and out-valence 2 on up to 1000 vertices is presented. As a byproduct, a complete list of all connected 4-valent graphs admitting a $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive group of automorphisms on up to 1000 vertices is obtained. Several graph-theoretical properties of the elements of our census are calculated and discussed.

Keywords: Graph, digraph, edge-transitive, vertex-transitive, arc-transitive, half-arc-transitive.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05E18, 20B25

1   Introduction

Recall that a graph Γ is called $\frac{1}{2}$-*arc-transitive* provided that its automorphism group
$\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on its edge-set $\mathrm{E}(\Gamma)$ and on its vertex-set $\mathrm{V}(\Gamma)$ but intransitively
on its arc-set $\mathrm{A}(\Gamma)$. More generally, if G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that G acts transi-
tively on $\mathrm{E}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\Gamma)$ but intransitively on $\mathrm{A}(\Gamma)$, then G is said to *act $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitively*
on Γ and we say that Γ is $(G, \frac{1}{2})$-*arc-transitive*. To shorten notation, we shall say that a
$\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive graph is a *HAT* and that a graph admitting a $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive group of au-
tomorphisms is a *GHAT*. Clearly, any HAT is also a GHAT. Conversely, a GHAT is either
a HAT or arc-transitive.

The history of GHATs goes back to Tutte who, in his 1966 paper [38, 7.35, p.59],
proved that every GHAT is of even valence and asked whether HATs exist at all. The first
examples of HATs were discovered a few years later by Bouwer [6]. After a short break,
interest in GHATs picked up again in the 90s, largely due to a series of influential papers of
Marušič concerning the GHATs of valence 4 (see [1, 17, 21, 24], to list a few). For a nice
survey of the topic, we refer the reader to [16], and for an overview of some more recent
results, see [13, 22].

To shorten notation further, we shall say that a connected GHAT (HAT, respectively) of
valence 4 is a 4-GHAT (4-HAT, respectively). The main result of this paper is a compilation
of a complete list of all 4-GHATs with at most 1000 vertices. This improves an unpublished
work [23], where all 4-HATs of order up to 869 vertices (with the exception of order 512)
with the vertex-stabiliser of order 2 were determined.

Our result was obtained indirectly using an intimate relation between 4-GHATs and
connected arc-transitive asymmetric digraphs of in- and out-valence 2 (we shall call such
digraphs 2-ATDs for short) – see Section 2.2 for details on this relationship. These results
can be succinctly summarised as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *There are precisely 26457 pairwise non-isomorphic 2-ATDs on at most*
1000 *vertices, and precisely 11941* 4-*GHATs on at most* 1000 *vertices, of which 8695 are
arc-transitive and 3246 are $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive.*

The actual lists of (di)graphs, together with a spreadsheet (in a "comma separated val-
ues" format) with some graph theoretical invariants, is available at [28].

The rest of this section is devoted to some interesting facts gleaned from these lists. All
the relevant definitions that are omitted here can be found in Section 2. In Section 3, we
explain how the lists were computed and present the theoretical background which assures
that the computations were exhaustive. In Section 4, information about the format of the
files available on [28] is given.

We now proceed with a few comments on the census of 4-HATs. By a *vertex-stabiliser*
of a vertex-transitive graph or digraph Γ, we mean the stabiliser of a vertex in $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$.
Even though it is known that a vertex-stabiliser of a 4-HAT can be arbitrarily large (see
[18]), not many examples of 4-HATs with vertex-stabilisers of order larger than 2 were
known, and all known examples had a very large number of vertices. Recently, Conder and
Šparl (see also [8]) discovered a 4-HAT on 256 vertices with vertex-stabiliser of order 4
and proved that this is the smallest such example. This fact is confirmed by our census; in
fact, the following theorem can be deduced from the census.

Theorem 1.2. *Amongst the 3246* 4-*HATs on at most* 1000 *vertices, there are seventeen
with vertex-stabiliser of order* 4, *three with vertex-stabiliser of order* 8, *and none with*

larger vertex-stabilisers. The smallest 4-*HAT with vertex-stabiliser of order* 4 *has order* 256 *and the smallest two with vertex-stabilisers of order* 8 *have* 768 *vertices; the third* 4-*HAT with vertex-stabiliser of order* 8 *has* 896 *vertices.*

Another curiosity about 4-HATs is that those with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser tend to be very rare (at least amongst the "small" graphs). The first known 4-HAT with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser was discovered by Conder and Marušič (see [7]) and has 10752 vertices. Further examples of 4-HATs with non-abelian vertex-stabilisers were discovered recently (see [8]), including one with a vertex-stabiliser of order 16. However, the one on 10752 vertices remains the smallest known example. Using our list, the following fact is easily checked.

Theorem 1.3. *Every* 4-*HAT with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser has more than* 1000 *vertices.*

In fact, there are strong indications that the graph on 10752 vertices discovered by Conder and Marušič is the smallest 4-HAT with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser.

We will call a 4-HAT with a non-solvable automorphism group a *non-solvable* 4-*HAT*. The first known non-solvable 4-HAT was constructed by Marušič and Xu [24]; and its order is 7!/2. An infinite family of non-solvable 4-HATs were constructed later by Malnič and Marušič [15]. The smallest member of this family has an even larger order, namely 11!/2. To the best of our knowledge, no smaller non-solvable 4-HATs appeared in literature. Perhaps surprisingly, small examples of non-solvable 4-HATs seem not to be too rare, as can be checked from our census (as well as from the unpublished work [23]).

Theorem 1.4. *There are thirty-two non-solvable* 4-*HATs with at most* 1000 *vertices. The smallest one, named HAT[480,44], has order* 480*, girth* 5*, radius* 5*, attachment number* 2*, alter-exponent* 2*, and alter-perimeter* 1*. It is non-Cayley and non-bipartite.*

(The terms *radius*, *attachment number*, *alter-exponent*, and *alter-perimeter* appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.4 are defined in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.) Let us now continue with a few comments on the census of 2-ATDs. All the undefined notions mentioned in the theorems below are explained in Sections 2, 4.2 and 4.3. It is not surprising that, apart from the generalised wreath digraphs (see Section 2.3 for the definition), very few of the 2-ATDs on at most 1000 vertices are 2-arc-transitive. In fact, the following can be deduced from the census.

Theorem 1.5. *Out of the 26457* 2-*ATDs on at most* 1000 *vertices, 961 are generalised wreath digraphs. Of the remaining 25496, only 1199 are* 2-*arc-transitive (the smallest having order* 18*), only 255 are* 3-*arc-transitive (the smallest having order* 42*), only 61 are* 4-*arc-transitive (the smallest having order* 90*), and only 6 are* 5-*arc-transitive (the smallest two having order* 640*); none of them is* 6-*arc-transitive.*

Note that the non-existence of a 6-arc-transitive non-generalised-wreath 2-ATD on at most 1000 vertices follows from a more general result (see Corollary 3.2).

Recall that there is no 4-HAT on at most 1000 vertices with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser (Theorem 1.3). Consequently (see Section 2.2), every 2-ATD on at most 1000 vertices with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser has an arc-transitive underlying graph; and there are indeed such examples. In fact, the following holds (see Section 2.1 for the definition of *self-opposite*).

Theorem 1.6. *There are precisely forty-five* 2*-ATDs on at most* 1000 *vertices with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser. They are all self-opposite, at least* 3*-arc-transitive, have non-solvable automorphism groups, and radius* 3*. The smallest of these digraphs has order* 42*, and the smallest that is* 4*-arc-transitive has order* 90*. There are no* 5*-arc-transitive* 2*-ATDs with a non-abelian vertex-stabiliser and order at most* 1000*.*

If a 2-ATD is self-opposite, then the isomorphism between the digraph and its opposite digraph is an automorphism of the underlying graph, making the underlying graph arc-transitive. Hence, self-opposite 2-ATDs always yield arc-transitive 4-GHATs. However, the converse is not always true: there are 2-ATDs that are not self-opposite, but have an arc-transitive underlying graph. In this case, the index of the automorphism group of the 2-ATD in the automorphism group of its underlying graph must be larger than 2 (for otherwise the former would be normal in the latter and thus any automorphism of the underlying graph would either preserve the arc-set of the digraph, or map it to the arc-set of the opposite digraph). It is perhaps surprising that there are not many small examples of such behaviour.

Theorem 1.7. *There are precisely fifty-two* 2*-ATDs on at most* 1000 *vertices that are not self-opposite but have an arc-transitive underlying graph. The smallest two have order* 21*. None of these digraphs is* 2*-arc-transitive. The index of the automorphism group of these digraphs in the automorphism group of the underlying graphs is always* 8*.*

We finish this section by mentioning an earlier attempt of Stephen Wilson and the first author of this paper to compile a census of all small edge-transitive graphs of valence 4, and thus, in particular, of all 4-GHATs; see [31]. The results of this paper confirm that the list given in [31] contains all 4-GHATs of order at most 100.

2   Notation and definitions

2.1   Digraphs and graphs

A *digraph* is an ordered pair (V, A) where V is a finite non-empty set and $A \subseteq V \times V$ is a binary relation on V. We say that (V, A) is *asymmetric* if A is asymmetric, and we say that (V, A) is a *graph* if A is irreflexive and symmetric. If $\Gamma = (V, A)$ is a digraph, then we shall refer to the set V and the relation A as the *vertex-set* and the *arc-set* of Γ, and denote them by $V(\Gamma)$ and $A(\Gamma)$, respectively. Members of V and A are called *vertices* and *arcs*, respectively. If (u, v) is an arc of a digraph Γ, then u is called the *tail*, and v the *head* of (u, v). If Γ is a graph, then the unordered pair $\{u, v\}$ is called an *edge* of Γ and the set of all edges of Γ is denoted $E(\Gamma)$.

If Γ is a digraph, then the *opposite digraph* Γ^{opp} has vertex-set $V(\Gamma)$ and arc-set $\{(v, u) : (u, v) \in A(\Gamma)\}$. The *underlying graph* of Γ is the graph with vertex-set $V(\Gamma)$ and with arc-set $A(\Gamma) \cup A(\Gamma^{\mathrm{opp}})$. A digraph is called *connected* provided that its underlying graph is connected.

Let v be a vertex of a digraph Γ. Then the *out-neighbourhood* of v in Γ, denoted by $\Gamma^+(v)$, is the set of all vertices u of Γ such that $(v, u) \in A(\Gamma)$, and similarly, the *in-neighbourhood* $\Gamma^-(v)$ is defined as the set of all vertices u of Γ such that $(u, v) \in A(\Gamma)$. Further, we let $\mathrm{val}^+(v) = |\Gamma^+(v)|$ and $\mathrm{val}^-(v) = |\Gamma^-(v)|$ be the *out-valence* and *in-valence* of Γ, respectively. If there exists an integer r such that $\mathrm{val}^+(v) = \mathrm{val}^-(v) = r$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$, then we say that Γ is *regular* of *valence* r, or simply that Γ is an *r-valent* digraph.

An s-arc of a digraph Γ is an $(s+1)$-tuple $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s)$ of vertices of Γ, such that (v_{i-1}, v_i) is an arc of Γ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, s-1\}$. If $x = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s)$ is an s-arc of Γ, then every s-arc of the form $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s, w)$ is called a *successor* of x.

An *automorphism* of a digraph Γ is a permutation of $V(\Gamma)$ which preserves the arc-set $A(\Gamma)$. Let G be a subgroup of the full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of Γ. We say that Γ is *G-vertex-transitive* or *G-arc-transitive* provided that G acts transitively on $V(\Gamma)$ or $A(\Gamma)$, respectively. Similarly, we say that Γ is (G, s)-*arc-transitive* if G acts transitively on the set of s-arcs of Γ. If Γ is a graph, we say that it is *G-edge-transitive* provided that G acts transitively on $E(\Gamma)$. When $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, the prefix G in the above notations is usually omitted.

If Γ is a digraph and $v \in V(\Gamma)$, then a v-*shunt* is an automorphism of Γ which maps v to an out-neighbour of v.

2.2 From 4-GHATs to 2-ATDs and back

If Γ is a connected 4-valent $(G, \frac{1}{2})$-arc-transitive graph, then G has two paired orbits on the arc-set of Γ, each orbit having the property that each vertex of Γ is the head of precisely two arcs, and also the tail of precisely two arcs of the orbit. By taking any of these two orbits as an arc-set of a digraph on the same vertex-set, one thus obtains a 2-ATD whose underlying graph is Γ, and admitting G as an arc-transitive group of automorphisms.

Conversely, the underlying graph of a G-arc-transitive 2-ATD is a $(G, \frac{1}{2})$-arc-transitive 4-GHAT. In this sense the study of 4-GHATs is equivalent to the study of 2-ATDs.

In Section 3, we explain how a complete list of all 2-ATDs on at most 1000 vertices was obtained. The above discussion shows how this yields a complete list of all 4-GHATs on at most 1000 vertices.

2.3 Generalised wreath digraphs

Let n be an integer with $n \geq 3$, let $V = \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and let $A = \{((i, a), (i+1, b)) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$. The asymmetric digraph (V, A) is called a *wreath digraph* and denoted by $\vec{\mathrm{W}}_n$.

If Γ is a digraph and r is a positive integer, then the r-*th partial line digraph* of Γ, denoted $\mathrm{Pl}^r(\Gamma)$, is the digraph with vertex-set equal to the set of r-arcs of Γ and with (x, y) being an arc of $\mathrm{Pl}^r(\Gamma)$ whenever y is a successor of x. If $r = 0$, then we let $\mathrm{Pl}^r(\Gamma) = \Gamma$.

Let r be a positive integer. The $(r-1)$-th partial line digraph $\mathrm{Pl}^{r-1}(\vec{\mathrm{W}}_n)$ of the wreath digraph $\vec{\mathrm{W}}_n$ is denoted by $\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ and called a *generalised wreath digraph*. Generalised wreath digraphs were first introduced in [32], where $\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ was denoted $C_n(2, r)$. It was proved there that $\mathrm{Aut}(\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)) \cong C_2 \wr C_n \cong C_2^n \rtimes C_n$ and that $\mathrm{Aut}(\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r))$ acts transitively on the $(n-r)$-arcs but not on the $(n-r+1)$-arcs of $\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ [32, Theorem 2.8]. In particular, $\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ is arc-transitive if and only if $n \geq r + 1$. Note that $|V(\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r))| = n2^r$, and thus $|\mathrm{Aut}(\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r))_v| = n2^n / n2^r = 2^{n-r}$.

The underlying graph of a generalised wreath digraph will be called a *generalised wreath graph*.

2.4 Coset digraphs

Let G be a group generated by a core-free subgroup H and an element g with $g^{-1} \notin HgH$. One can construct the *coset digraph*, denoted $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, g)$, whose vertex-set is the set G/H of right cosets of H in G, and where (Hx, Hy) is an arc if and only if $yx^{-1} \in HgH$. Note that the condition $g^{-1} \notin HgH$ guarantees that the arc-set is an asymmetric relation. Moreover, since $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, the digraph $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is connected.

The digraph $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is G-arc-transitive (with G acting upon G/H by right multiplication), and hence $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is a G-arc-transitive and G-vertex-transitive digraph with g being a v-shunt (where $v = H \cdot 1 \in G/H$). On the other hand, it is folklore that every such graph arises as a coset digraph.

Lemma 2.1. *If Γ is a connected G-arc-transitive and G-vertex-transitive digraph, v is a vertex of Γ, and g is a v-shunt contained in G, then $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cos}(G, G_v, g)$.*

3 Constructing the census

If Γ is a G-vertex-transitive digraph with n vertices, then $|G| = n|G_v|$. If one wants to use the coset digraph construction to obtain all 2-ATDs on n vertices, one thus needs to consider all groups G of order $n|G_v|$ that can act as arc-transitive groups of 2-ATDs. In order for this approach to be practical, two issues must be resolved:

First, one must get some control over $|G|$ and thus over $|G_v|$. (Recall that in $\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$, $|G_v|$ can grow exponentially with $|\mathrm{V}(\vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r))|$, as $n \to \infty$ and r is fixed). Second, one must obtain enough structural information about G to be able to construct all possibilities.

Fortunately, both of these issues were resolved successfully. The problem of bounding $|G_v|$ was resolved in a recent paper [37] and details can be found in Section 3.1. The second problem was dealt with in [19], and later, in greater generality in [30] (both of these papers rely heavily on a group-theoretical result of Glauberman [12]); the summary of relevant results is given in Section 3.2.

3.1 Bounding the order of the vertex-stabiliser

The crucial result that made our compilation of a complete census of all small 2-ATDs possible is Theorem 3.1, stated below, which shows that the generalised wreath digraphs (defined in Section 2.3) are very special in the sense of having large vertex-stabilisers. In fact, together with the correspondence described in Section 2.2, [37, Theorem 9.2] has the following corollary:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a G-arc-transitive 2-ATD on at most m vertices and let t be the largest integer such that $m > t2^{t+2}$. Then one of the following occurs:*

1. *$\Gamma \cong \vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ for some $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$,*

2. *$|G_v| \leq \max\{16, 2^t\}$,*

3. *(Γ, G) appears in the last line of [37, Table 1]. In particular, $|\mathrm{V}(\Gamma)| = 8100$.*

The following is an easy corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let Γ be a G-arc-transitive 2-ATD on at most 1000 vertices. Then either $|G_v| \leq 32$ or $\Gamma \cong \vec{\mathrm{W}}(n, r)$ for some $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$.*

3.2 Structure of the vertex-stabiliser

Definition 3.3. Let s and α be positive integers satisfying $\frac{2}{3}s \leq \alpha \leq s$, and let c be a function assigning a value $c_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ to each pair of integers i, j with $\alpha \leq j \leq s - 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2\alpha - 2s + j + 1$. Let $A_{s,\alpha}^c$ be the group generated by $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1}, g\}$ and subject to the defining relations:

- $x_0^2 = x_1^2 = \cdots = x_{s-1}^2 = 1$;
- $x_i^g = x_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, s - 2\}$;
- if $j < \alpha$, then $[x_0, x_j] = 1$;
- if $j \geq \alpha$, then $[x_0, x_j] = x_{s-\alpha}^{c_{1,j}} x_{s-\alpha+1}^{c_{2,j}} \cdots x_{j-s+\alpha}^{c_{2\alpha-2s+j+1,j}}$.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}$ be the family of all groups $A_{s,\alpha}^c$ for some c. It was proved in [19] (see also [30]) that every group G acting arc-transitively on a 2-ATD is isomorphic to a quotient of some $A_{s,\alpha}^c$. More precisely, the following can be deduced from [19] or [30].

Theorem 3.4. *Let Γ be a G-arc-transitive 2-ATD, let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and let s be the largest integer such that G acts transitively on the set of s-arcs of Γ. Then there exists an integer α satisfying $\frac{2}{3}s \leq \alpha \leq s$, a function c as in Definition 3.3, and an epimorphism $\wp \colon A_{s,\alpha}^c \to G$, which maps the group $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{s-1} \rangle$ isomorphically onto G_v and the generator g to some v-shunt in G. In particular, $|G_v| = 2^s$.*

In this case, we will say that (Γ, G) is of *type $A_{s,\alpha}^c$*, and call the group $A_{s,\alpha}^c$ the *universal group* of the pair (Γ, G).

For s, α, and a function c satisfying the conditions of Definition 3.3, let c' be the function defined by $c'_{i,j} = c_{2\alpha-2s+j+2-i,j}$. The relationship between c and c' can be visualised as follows: if one fixes the index j and views the function $i \mapsto c_{i,j}$ as the sequence $[c_{1,j}, c_{2,j}, \ldots, c_{2\alpha-2s+j+1,j}]$, then the sequence for c' is obtained by reversing the one for c. If $\tilde{G} = A_{s,\alpha}^c$ then we denote the *opposite type $A_{s,\alpha}^{c'}$* by $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{opp}}$.

Observe that if (Γ, G) is of type $\tilde{G}$, then $(\Gamma^{\mathrm{opp}}, G)$ is of type $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{opp}}$. A class of groups, obtained from $\mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}$ by taking only one group in each pair $\{\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{opp}}\}$, $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}$, will be denoted $\mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}^{\mathrm{red}}$. (Note that some groups $\tilde{G}$ might have the property that $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{opp}}$.)

In view of Corollary 3.2, we shall be mainly interested in the universal groups $A_{s,\alpha}^c$ with $s \leq 5$ (as, excluding generalised wreath digraphs, these are the only types of 2-ATDs of order at most 1000). We list the relevant classes $\mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}^{\mathrm{red}}$ for $s \leq 5$ explicitly in Table 1. Groups in $\mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}^{\mathrm{red}}$, for a fixed s will be named by A_s^i, where i will be a positive integer, where groups with larger α will be indexed with lower i. Also, the generators x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, and x_4 will be denoted a, b, c, d, and e, respectively.

3.3 The algorithm and its implementation

We now have all the tools required to present a practical algorithm that takes an integer m as input and returns a complete list of all 2-ATDs on at most m vertices (see Algorithm 1). It is based on the fact that every such digraph can be obtained as a coset digraph of some group G (see Lemma 2.1), and that G is in fact an epimorphic image of some group $A_{s,\alpha}^c$ (see Theorem 3.4) with G_v and the shunt being the corresponding images of $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{s-1} \rangle$ and g in $A_{s,\alpha}^c$.

Moreover, if Γ is not a generalised wreath digraph or the exceptional digraph on 8100 vertices mentioned in part 3 of Theorem 3.1, then the parameter s satisfies $s2^{s+2} < m$, and

name	$\tilde{G}$
A_1^1	$\langle a, g \mid a^2 \rangle$
A_2^1	$\langle a, b, g \mid a^2, b^2, a^g b, [a, b] \rangle$
A_3^1	$\langle a, b, c, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, a^g b, b^g c, [a, b], [a, c] \rangle$
A_3^2	$\langle a, b, c, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, a^g b, b^g c, [a, b], [a, c]b \rangle$
A_4^1	$\langle a, b, c, d, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d] \rangle$
A_4^2	$\langle a, b, c, d, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d]b \rangle$
A_4^3	$\langle a, b, c, d, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d]bc \rangle$
A_5^1	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e] \rangle$
A_5^2	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e]b \rangle$
A_5^3	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e]c \rangle$
A_5^4	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e]bc \rangle$
A_5^5	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e]bd \rangle$
A_5^6	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, g \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, d^2, a^g b, b^g c, c^g d, d^g e, [a, b], [a, c], [a, d], [a, e]bcd \rangle$

Table 1: Universal groups of 2-ATDs with $|\tilde{G}_v| \leq 32$

the order of the epimorphic image G is bounded by $2^s m$ (see Theorem 3.1). The algorithm thus basically boils down to the task of finding normal subgroups of bounded index in the finitely presented groups $A_{s,\alpha}^c$.

Practical implementations of this algorithm have several limitations. First, the best known algorithm for finding normal subgroups of low index in a finitely presented group is an algorithm due to Firth and Holt [11]. The only publicly available implementation is the LowIndexNormalSubgroups routine in MAGMA [5] and the most recent version allows one to compute only the normal subgroups of index at most $5 \cdot 10^5$; hence only automorphisms groups of order $5 \cdot 10^5$ can possibly be obtained in this way.

More importantly, even when only normal subgroups of relatively small index need to be computed, some finitely presented groups are computationally difficult. For example, finding all normal subgroups of index at most 2048 of the group $A_1^1 \cong C_2 * C_\infty$ seems to represent a considerable challenge for the LowIndexNormalSubgroups routine in MAGMA. In order to overcome this problem, we have used a recently computed catalogue of all $(2, *)$-groups of order at most 6000 [29], where by a $(2, *)$-*group* we mean any group generated by an involution x and one other element g. Since A_1^1 is a $(2, *)$-group and every non-cyclic quotient of a $(2, *)$-group is also a $(2, *)$-group, this catalogue can be used to obtain all the quotients of A_1^1 of order up to 6000. Consequently, all 2-ATDs admitting an arc-regular group of automorphisms of order at most 3000 can be obtained. Similarly, since A_2^1 is also a $(2, *)$-group, we can use this catalogue to obtain all the 2-ATDs of order at most 1500 admitting an arc-transitive group G with $|G_v| = 4$.

It should be mentioned that the concept of a $(2, *)$-group is equivalent to that of a *rotary map*, that can be described as groups generated by two elements the product of which is

---

Algorithm 1 2-ATDs on at most m vertices.

Require: positive integer m
Ensure: $\mathcal{D} = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \text{ is 2-ATD}, |V(\Gamma)| \leq m\}$
  Let t be the largest integer such that $m > t2^{t+2}$;
  Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the list of all arc-transitive generalised wreath digraphs on at most m vertices;
  If $m \geq 8100$, add to $\mathcal{D}$ the exceptional digraph Γ on 8100 vertices, mentioned in part 3 of Theorem 3.1;
  for $s \in \{1, \ldots, \max\{4, t\}\}$ **do**
    for $\alpha \in \{\lceil \frac{2}{3}s \rceil, \lceil \frac{2}{3}s \rceil + 1, \ldots, s\}$ **do**
      for $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\alpha}^{\mathrm{red}}$ **do**
        Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all normal subgroups of $\tilde{G}$ of index at most $2^s m$;
        for $N \in \mathcal{N}$ **do**
          Let $G := \tilde{G}/N$ and let $\wp : \tilde{G} \to G$ be the quotient projection;
          Let $H := \wp(\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{s-1} \rangle)$;
          if H is core-free in G **and** $|H| = 2^s$ **and** $\wp(g)^{-1} \notin H\wp(g)H$ **then**
            Let $C := \cos(G, H, \wp)$;
            for $\Gamma \in \{C, C^{\mathrm{opp}}\}$ **do**
              if Γ is not isomorphism to any of the digraphs in $\mathcal{D}$ **then**
                add Γ to the list $\mathcal{D}$;
              end if
            end for
          end if
        end for
      end for
    end for
  end for

---

an involution. A catalogue of all $(2, *)$-groups of order at most 2000 could thus be derived from Conder's catalogue of rotary maps with at most 1000 edges [9]. Conversely, the catalogue of $(2, *)$-group of order up to 6000 [29] extends the list in [9] up to 3000 edges in the orientable case and to 1500 in the non-orientable case.

Like A_1^1 and A_2^1, the groups with $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{s-1} \rangle$ abelian (namely those with $\alpha = s$ and $c_{i,j} = 0$ for all i, j) are also computationally very difficult. One can make the task easier by dividing it into cases, where the order of g is fixed in each case. Since g represents a shunt, it can be proved that its order cannot exceed the order of the digraph (see, for example, [27, Lemma 13]). Cases can then be run in parallel on a multi-core computer.

4   The census and accompanying data

Using Algorithm 1, we found that there are exactly 26457 2-ATDs of order up to 1000. Following the recipe explained in Section 2.2, we have also computed all the 4-GHATs, which we split in two lists: 4-HATs and arc-transitive 4-GHATs.

The data about these graphs, together with MAGMA code that generates them, is available on-line at [28]. The package contains ten files. The file "Census-ATD-1k-README.txt" is a text file containing information similar to the information in this section. The remaining nine files come in groups of three, one group for each of the three lists (2-ATDs, arc-transitive 4-GHATs, 4-HATs). In each group, there is a *.mgm file, a *.txt file and a *.csv file.

The *.mgm file contains MAGMA code that generates the corresponding digraphs. After loading the file in MAGMA, a double sequence is generated (named either ATD, GHAT, or HAT, depending on the file). The length of each double sequence is 1000 and the n-th component of the sequence is the sequence of all the corresponding digraphs of order n, with the exception of the generalised wreath digraphs. Thus, ATD[32,2] will return the second of the four non-generalised-wreath 2-ATDs on 32 vertices (the ordering of the digraphs in the sequence ATD[32] is arbitrary). In order to include the generalised wreath digraphs into the corresponding sequence, one can call the procedure AddGWD(∼ATD, GWD) in the case of the 2-ATDs, or AddGWG(∼GHAT, GWG) in the case of the 4-GHATs (note that a generalised wreath graph is never $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive).

The *.txt file contains the list of neighbours of each digraph. This file is needed when the *.mgm file is loaded into MAGMA, but, being an ASCII file, it can be used also by other computer systems to reconstruct the digraphs. For the details of the format, see the "README" file.

Finally, the *.csv file is a "comma separated values" file representing a spreadsheet containing some precomputed graph invariants. We shall first introduce some of these invariants and then discuss each *.csv separately.

4.1   Walks and cycles

Let Γ be a digraph. A *walk* of length n in Γ is an $(n + 1)$-tuple $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of vertices of Γ such that, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots n\}$, either (v_{i-1}, v_i) or (v_i, v_{i-1}) is an arc of Γ. The walk is *closed* if $v_0 = v_n$ and *simple* if the vertices v_i are pairwise distinct (with the possible exception of the first and the last vertex when the walk is closed).

A closed simple walk in Γ is called a *cyclet*. The *inverse* of a cyclet $(v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_0)$ is the cyclet $(v_0, v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_1, v_0)$, and a cyclet $(v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_0)$ is said to be a *shift* of a cyclet $(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}, u_0)$ provided that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $u_i = v_{i+k}$ for all

$i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Two cyclets W and U are said to be *congruent* provided that W is a shift of either U or the inverse of U. The relations of "being a shift of" and "being congruent to" are clearly equivalence relations, and their equivalence classes are called *oriented cycles* and *cycles*, respectively. With a slight abuse of terminology, we shall sometimes identify a (oriented) cycle with any of its representatives.

4.2 Alter-equivalence, alter-exponent, alter-perimeter, and alter-sequence

Let Γ be an asymmetric digraph. The *signature* of a walk $W = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is an n-tuple $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$, where $\epsilon_i = 1$ if (v_{i-1}, v_i) is an arc of Γ, and $\epsilon_i = -1$ otherwise. The signature of a walk W will be denoted by $\sigma(W)$. The sum of all the integers in $\sigma(W)$ is called the *sum* of the walk W and denoted by $s(W)$; similarly, the kth *partial sum* $s_k(W)$ is the sum of the initial walk $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ of length k. By convention, we let $s_0(W) = 0$.

The *tolerance* of a walk W of length n, denoted $T(W)$, is the set $\{s_k(W) : k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\}$. Observe that the tolerance of a walk is always an interval of integers containing 0. Let t be a positive integer or ∞. We say that two vertices u and v of Γ are *alter-equivalent with tolerance t* if there is a walk from u to v with sum 0 and tolerance contained in $[0, t]$; we shall then write $u \mathcal{A}_t v$. The equivalence class of $\mathcal{A}_t$ containing a vertex v will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_t(v)$.

Since we assume that Γ is a finite digraph, there exists an integer $e \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}_e = \mathcal{A}_{e+1}$ (and then $\mathcal{A}_e = \mathcal{A}_\infty$). The smallest such integer is called the *alter-exponent* of Γ and denoted by $\exp(\Gamma)$.

The number of equivalence classes of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is called the *alter-perimeter* of Γ. The name originates from the fact that the quotient digraph of Γ with respect to $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is either a directed cycle or the complete graph K_2 or the graph K_1 with one vertex.

If e is the alter-exponent of a (vertex-transitive) digraph Γ, then the finite sequence $[|\mathcal{A}_1(v)|, |\mathcal{A}_2(v)|, \ldots, |\mathcal{A}_e(v)|]$ is called the *alter-sequence* of Γ.

Several interesting properties of the alter-exponent can be proved (see [20] for example). For example, if Γ is connected and G-vertex-transitive, then $\exp(\Gamma)$ is the smallest positive integer e such that the setwise stabiliser $G_{\mathcal{A}_e(v)}$ is normal in G. The group $G_{\mathcal{A}_e(v)}$ is the group generated by all vertex-stabilisers in G and $G/G_{\mathcal{A}_e(v)}$ is a cyclic group.

All notions defined in this section for digraphs generalise to half-arc-transitive graphs, where instead of the graph one of the two natural arc-transitive digraphs are considered. As was shown in [20], all the parameters defined here remain the same if instead of a digraph, its opposite digraph is considered. The notions defined in this section were later generalised in the context of infinite digraphs [14].

4.3 Alternating cycles – radius and attachment number

A walk W in an asymmetric digraph is called *alternating* if its tolerance is either $[0, 1]$ or $[-1, 0]$ (that is, if the signs in its signature alternate). Similarly, a cycle is called *alternating* provided that any (and thus every) of its representatives is an alternating walk.

This notion was introduced in [17] and used to classify the so-called *tightly attached* 4-GHATs and 4-HATs of odd radius. The concept of alternating cycles was explored further in a number of papers on 4-HATs (see for example [21, 34]).

Let Γ be a 2-ATD, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all alternating cycles of Γ, and let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The set $\mathcal{C}$ is clearly preserved by the action of G upon the cycles of Γ. Moreover, since Γ is arc-transitive, G acts transitively on $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, all the alternating cycles of Γ are of

equal length. Half of the length of an alternating cycle is called the *radius* of Γ.

Since Γ is 2-valent, every vertex of Γ belongs to precisely two alternating cycles. It thus follows from vertex-transitivity of Γ that any (unordered) pair of intersecting cycles can be mapped to any other such pair, implying that there exists a constant a such that any two cycles meet either in 0 or in a vertices. The parameter a is then called the *attachment number* of Γ. In general, the attachment number divides the length of the alternating cycle (twice the radius), and there are digraphs where a equals this length; they were classified in [17, Proposition 2.4], where it was shown that their underlying graphs are always arc-transitive. A 2-valent asymmetric digraph with attachment number a is called *tightly attached* if a equals the radius, is called *antipodally attached* if $a = 2$, and is called *loosely attached* if $a = 1$. Note that tightly attached 2-ATDs are precisely those with alter-exponent 1.

4.4 Consistent cycles

Let Γ be a graph and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. A (oriented) cycle C in a graph Γ is called G-*consistent* provided that there exists $g \in G$ that preserves C and acts upon it as a 1-step rotation. A G-orbit of G-consistent oriented cycles is said to be *symmetric* if it contains the inverse of any (and thus each) of its members, and is *chiral* otherwise.

Consistent oriented cycles were first introduced by Conway in a public lecture [10] (see also [3, 25, 26]). Conway's original result states that in an arc-transitive graph of valence d, the automorphism group of the graph has exactly $d - 1$ orbits on the set of oriented cycles. In particular, if Γ is 4-valent and G-arc-transitive, then there are precisely three G-orbits of G-consistent oriented cycles. Since chiral orbits of G-consistent cycles come in pairs of mutually inverse oriented cycles, this implies that there must be at least one symmetric orbit, while the other two are either both chiral or both symmetric.

Conway's result was generalised in [4] to the case of $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive graphs by showing that if Γ is a 4-valent $(G, \frac{1}{2})$-arc-transitive graph, then there are precisely four G-orbits of G-consistent oriented cycles, all of them chiral. These four orbits of oriented cycles thus constitute precisely two G-orbits of G-consistent (non-oriented) cycles.

4.5 Metacirculants

A *weak metacirculant* is a graph whose automorphism group contains a vertex-transitive metacyclic group G, generated by ρ and σ, such that the cyclic group $\langle \rho \rangle$ is semiregular on the vertex-set of the graph, and is normal in G. This notion was introduced by Marušič and Šparl [22] and generalises that of a *metacirculant* introduced by Alspach and Parsons [2]. Metacirculants admitting $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive groups of automorphisms were first investigated in [33]. Recently, the interesting problem of classifying all 4-HATs that are weak metacirculants was considered in [22, 35, 36]. Such 4-HATs fall into four (not necessarily disjoint) classes (called Class I, Class II, Class III, and Class IV), depending on the structure of the quotient by the orbits of the semiregular element ρ. For a precise definition of the *class* of a 4-HAT weak metacirculant see, for example, [35, Section 2]. Since a given 4-HAT may admit several vertex-transitive metacyclic groups, a fixed graph can fall into several of these four classes. Several interesting facts about 4-HAT (weak) metacirculants are known. For example, tightly attached 4-HATs are precisely the 4-HATs that are weak metacirculants of Class I.

4.6 The data on 2-ATDs

The "Census-ATD-1k-data.csv" file concerns 2-ATDs. Each line of the file represents one of the digraphs in the census, and has 19 fields described below. Since this file is in "csv" format, every occurrence of a comma in a field is substituted with a semicolon.

- `Name`: the name of the digraph (for example, ATD[32,2]);
- `|V|`: the order of the digraph;
- `SelfOpp`: contains "yes" if the digraph is isomorphic to its opposite digraph and "no" otherwise;
- `Opp`: the name of the opposite digraph (the same as "Name" if the digraph is self-opposite);
- `IsUndAT`: "yes" if the underlying graph is arc-transitive, "no" otherwise;
- `UndGrph`: the name of the underlying graph, as given in the files "Census-HAT-1k-data.csv" and "Census-GHAT-1k-data.csv" – if the underlying graph is generalized wreath, then this is indicated by, say, "GWD(m,k)" where m and k are the defining parameters.
- `s`: the largest integer s, such that the digraph is s-arc-transitive;
- `GvAb`: "Ab" if the vertex-stabiliser in the automorphism group of the digraph is abelian, otherwise "n-Ab";
- `|Tv:Gv|`: the index of the automorphism group G of the digraph in the smallest arc-transitive group T of the underlying graph that contains G – if there's no such group T, then 0;
- `|Av:Gv|`: the index of the automorphism group of the digraph in the automorphism group of the underlying graph;
- `Solv`: this field contains "solve" if the automorphism group of the digraph is solvable and "n-solv" otherwise;
- `Rad`: the *radius*, that is, half of the length of an alternating cycle;
- `AtNo`: the *attachment number*, that is, the size of the intersection of two intersecting alternating cycles;
- `AtTy`: the *attachment type*, that is: "loose" if the attachment number is 1, "antipodal" if 2, and "tight" if equal to the radius, otherwise "—";
- `|AltCyc|`: the number of alternating cycles;
- `AltExp`: the alter-exponent;
- `AltPer`: the alter-perimeter;
- `AltSeq`: the alter-sequence;
- `IsGWD`: "yes" if the digraph is generalized wreath, and "no" otherwise.

4.7 The data on arc-transitive 4-GHATs

The "Census-GHAT-1k-data.csv" file concerns arc-transitive 4-GHATs . Each line of the file represents one of the graphs in the census, and has nine fields, described below. Note, however, that the file does not contain the generalised wreath graphs.

- `Name`: the name of the graph (for example GHAT[9,1]);
- $|V|$: the order of the graph;
- `gir`: the girth (length of a shortest cycle) of the graph;
- `bip`: this field contains "b" if the graph is bipartite and "nb" otherwise;
- `CayTy`: this field contains "Circ" if the graph is a circulant (that is, a Cayley graph on a cyclic group), "AbCay" if the graph is Cayley graph on an abelian group, but not a circulant, and "Cay" if it is a Cayley but not on an abelian group – it contains "n-Cay" otherwise;
- $|A_v|$: the order of the vertex-stabiliser in the automorphism group of the graph;
- $|G_v|$: a sequence of the orders of vertex-stabilisers of the maximal half-arc-transitive subgroups of the automorphism group – up to conjugacy in the automorphism group;
- `solv`: this field contains "solve" if the automorphism group of the graph is solvable and "n-solv" otherwise;
- $[|$`ConCyc`$|]$: the sequence of the lengths of A-consistent oriented cycles of the graph (one cycle per each A-orbit, where A is the automorphism group of the graph) – the symbols "c" and "s" indicate whether the corresponding cycle is chiral or symmetric – for example, $[4c; 4c; 10s]$ means there are two chiral orbits of A-consistent cycles, both containing cycles of length 4, and one orbit of symmetric consistent cycles, containing cycles of length 10.

4.8 The data on 4-HATs

The "Census-HAT-1k-data.csv" file concerns 4-HATs. Each line of the file represents one of the graphs in the census, and has 16 fields. The fileds $|V|$, `gir`, `bip`, and `Solv` are as in Section 4.7, and the fields `Rad`, `AtNo`, `AtTy`, `AltExp`, `AltPer` and `AltSeq` are as in Section 4.6. The remaining fileds as follows:

- `Name`: the name of the graph (for example HAT[27,1]);
- `IsCay`: this field contains "Cay" if the graph is Cayley and "n-Cay" otherwise;
- $|G_v|$: the order of the vertex-stabiliser in the automorphism group of the graph;
- `CCa`: the length of a shortest consistent cycle;
- `CCb`: the length of a longest consistent cycle;
- `MetaCircCl`: "{}" if the graph is not a meta-circulant; otherwise a set of classes of meta-circulants that represents the graph.

References

[1] B. Alspach, D. Marušič, L. Nowitz, Constructing graphs which are $\frac{1}{2}$-transitive, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **56** (1994), 391–402.

[2] B. Alspach, T. D. Parsons, A construction for vertex-transitive graphs *Canad. J. Math.* **34** (1982), 307–318.

[3] N. Biggs, *Aspects of symmetry in graphs*, Algebraic methods in graph theory, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1978), pp. 27–35, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.

[4] M. Boben, Š. Miklavič, P. Potočnik, Consistent cycles in $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), # R5, 1–10.

[5] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The MAGMA Algebra System I: The User Language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.

[6] I. Z. Bouwer, Vertex and edge-transitive but not 1-transitive graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **13** (1970), 231–237.

[7] M. D. E. Conder, D. Marušič, A tetravalent half-arc-transitive graph with non-abelian vertex stabilizer, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **88** (2003) 67–76.

[8] M. D. E. Conder, P. Potočnik, P. Šparl, Some recent discoveries about half-arc-transitive graphs, *Ars. Math. Contemp.* **8** (2005)

[9] M. D. E. Conder, All rotary maps on closed surfaces with up to 1000 edges, `https:// www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/`https://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/, accessed October 2013.

[10] J. H. Conway, Talk given at the Second British Combinatorial Conference at Royal Holloway College, Egham, 1971.

[11] D. Firth, *An algorithm to find normal subgroups of a finitely presented group up to a given index*, PhD Thesis, University of Warwick, 2005.

[12] G. Glauberman, Isomorphic subgroups of finite p-subgroups, *Canad. J. Math.* **23** (1971), 983–1022.

[13] K. Kutnar, D. Marušič, P. Šparl, R.-J. Wang, M.-Y. Xu, Classification of half-arc-transitive graphs of order $4p$, *European J. Combin.* **34** (2013), 1158–1176.

[14] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, N. Seifter, P. Šparl, B. Zgrablić, Reachability relations in digraphs, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **29** (2008), 1566–1581.

[15] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, Constructing 4-valent $\frac{1}{2}$-Transitive Graphs with a Nonsolvable Automorphism Group, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **75** (1999), 46–55.

[16] D. Marušič, Recent developments in half-transitive graphs, *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998), 219–231.

[17] D. Marušič, Half-transitive group actions on finite graphs of valency 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **73** (1998), 41–76.

[18] D. Marušič, Quartic half-arc-transitive graphs with large vertex stabilizers, *Discrete Math.* **299** (2005), 180–193.

[19] D. Marušič, R. Nedela, On the point stabilizers of transitive groups with non-self-paired suborbits of length 2, *J. Group Theory* **4** (2001), 19–43.

[20] D. Marušič, P. Potočnik, Bridging Semisymmetric and Half-Arc-Transitive Actions on Graphs, *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 719–732.

[21] D. Marušič, C. E. Praeger, Tetravalent graphs admitting half-transitive group actions: alternating cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **75** (1999), 188–205.

[22] D. Marušič, P. Šparl, On quartic half-arc-transitive metacirculants, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **28** (2008), 365–395.

[23] D. Marušič, A. Orbanić, P. Šparl, A list of $\frac{1}{2}$-arc-transitive tetravalent graphs with the vertex-stabiliser of order 2, unpublished work, available upon request from P. Šparl, `primoz.sparl@pef.uni-lj.si`.

[24] D. Marušič, M.-Y. Xu, $\frac{1}{2}$-transitive graph of valency 4 with a nonsolvable group of automorphisms, *J. Graph Theory* **25** (1997), 133–138.

[25] Š. Miklavič, A note on a conjecture on consistent cycles, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **6** (2013), 389–392.

[26] Š. Miklavič, P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Overlap in consistent cycles, *J. Graph Theory* **55** (2007), 55–71.

[27] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **50** (2013), 465–477.

[28] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Census of 2-valent arc-transitive digraphs, `http://www.fmf.uni-lj.si/˜potocnik/work.htm`, accessed October 2013.

[29] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Census of $(2, *)$-groups, `http://www.fmf.uni-lj.si/˜potocnik/work.htm`, accessed October 2013.

[30] P. Potočnik, G. Verret, On the vertex-stabiliser in arc-transitive digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **100** (2010), 497–509.

[31] P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Census of tetravalent edge-transitive graphs, `https://jan.ucc.nau.edu/˜swilson/C4Site/BigTable.html`, accessed October 2013.

[32] C. E. Praeger, Highly arc transitive digraphs, *European J. Combin.* **10** (1989), 281–292.

[33] M. Šajna, Half-transitivity of some metacirculants, *Discrete Math.* **185** (1998), 117–136.

[34] P. Šparl, A classification of tightly attached half-arc-transitive graphs of valency 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98** (2008), 1076–1108.

[35] P. Šparl, On the classification of quartic half-arc-transitive metacirculants, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 2271–2283.

[36] P. Šparl, Almost all quartic half-arc-transitive weak metacirculants of Class II are of Class IV, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 1737–1742.

[37] P. Spiga, G. Verret, On the order of vertex-stabilisers in vertex-transitive graphs with local group $C_p \times C_p$ or $C_p \wr C_2$, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.4308.pdf`.

[38] W. T. Tutte, *Connectivity in Graphs*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto (1966).