

Also available at http://amc-journal.eu ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 9 (2015) 19–25

Partial product of graphs and Vizing's conjecture

Ismael González Yero

Departamento de Matematicas, Escuela Polit ´ ecnica Superior de Algeciras ´ Universidad de Cádiz, Av. Ramón Puyol s/n, 11202 Algeciras, Spain

Received 9 December 2012, accepted 19 December 2013, published online 5 June 2014

Abstract

Let G and H be two graphs with vertex sets $V_1 = \{u_1, ..., u_{n_1}\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1, ..., v_{n_2}\},$ respectively. If $S \subset V_2$, then the partial Cartesian product of G and H with respect to S is the graph $G \square_S H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G\Box_S H$ if and only if either $(u_i = u_k$ and $v_i \sim v_l$) or $(u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l \in S$). If $A \subset V_1$ and $B \subset V_2$, then the restricted partial strong product of G and H with respect to A and B is the graph $G_A \boxtimes_B H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G_A \boxtimes_B H$ if and only if either $(u_i = u_k)$ and $v_j \sim v_l$) or $(u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l$) or $(u_i \in A, u_k \notin A, v_j \in B, v_l \notin B, u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_i \sim v_l$) or $(u_i \notin A, u_k \in A, v_i \notin B, v_l \in B, u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_i \sim v_l$). In this article we obtain Vizing-like results for the domination number and the independence domination number of the partial Cartesian product of graphs. Moreover we study the domination number of the restricted partial strong product of graphs.

Keywords: Domination, partial product of graphs, Cartesian product graph, strong product graph, Vizing's conjecture.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C69, 05C70, 05C76

1 Introduction

Vizing's conjecture [7] is perhaps one of the most popular open problems related to domination in graphs. It states that the domination number of the Cartesian product of two graphs is at least as large as the product of their domination numbers. A high quantity of approaches to that problem have been developed in this sense. The surveys $[1, 3]$ are very complete compilations of the principal results obtained in this topic. Moreover, several Vizing-like results for some other kind of product graphs have been also published [5]. In

E-mail address: ismael.gonzalez@uca.es (Ismael Gonzalez Yero) ´

 Θ This work is licensed under http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

this article we introduce the notion of partial Cartesian product of graphs and obtain some corresponding Vizing-like results for the domination number and independence domination number of partial Cartesian product of graphs.

We establish first the principal terminology and notation which we will use throughout the article. Hereafter $G = (V, E)$ denotes a finite simple graph. The complement of a set D is denoted by \overline{D} . A set D is a *dominating set* if every vertex of \overline{D} is adjacent to a vertex of D [4]. A dominating set D is called *minimal* if does not contain any dominating set as a proper subset. The *domination number*, $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of any dominating set in G. We say that a set S is a $\gamma(G)$ -set if it is a dominating set and $|S| = \gamma(G)$. The following result, due to Ore, can be found in [4].

Lemma 1.1. [4] A dominating set S is a minimal dominating set of a graph $G = (V, E)$ if *and only if for each* $u \in S$ *one of the following conditions holds:*

- u *is an isolated vertex of* S*,*
- *there exists* $v \in V S$ *for which* $N(v) \cap S = \{u\}.$

2 Results

Let G and H be two graphs with set of vertices $V_1 = \{u_1, ..., u_{n_1}\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1, ..., v_{n_2}\},$ respectively. We recall that the *Cartesian product* of G and H is the graph $G \Box H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G \square H$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$, or
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l$.

The *strong product* of G and H is the graph $G \boxtimes H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$, or
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l$, or
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$.

For a vertex $u_i \in V_1$, the subgraph of $G \square H$ or $G \square H$ induced by the set $\{(u_i, v) | v \in$ V_2 is called an H-layer or an H-fiber. Similarly, for $v_j \in V_2$, the subgraph induced by $\{(u, v_i) | u \in V_1\}$ is a *G*-layer or a *G*-fiber.

2.1 Partial Cartesian product of graphs

Let G and H be two graphs with set of vertices $V_1 = \{u_1, ..., u_{n_2}\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1, ..., v_{n_1}\},$ respectively. If $S \subset V_2$, then the *partial Cartesian product* of G and H with respect to S is the graph $G \Box_S H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G \square_S H$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$, or
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l \in S$.

Figure 1: The partial Cartesian product graph $P_4 \square_{\{v_1,v_3\}} P_4$.

An example of a partial Cartesian product graph is shown in Figure 1. Also notice that the partial Cartesian product of G and H is the spanning subgraph of $G \Box H$ obtained by deleting the edges of $G\Box H$ in the H-fibers that correspond to vertices from $V_2 \setminus S$.

Notice that if $S = V_2$, then $G \square_S H$ is the standard Cartesian product graph $G \square H$. Also, note that if S is formed by only one vertex v, then $G \square_S H$ is a particular case of the rooted product graph $G \circ_v H$ defined in [2]. Domination related parameters of rooted product graphs were studied in [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Let* G *be a graph of order* n *and let* H *be any graph. If* S *is a subset of vertices of* H*, then*

$$
\gamma(G \square_S H) \ge n\gamma(H) - n|S| + |S|\gamma(G).
$$

Proof. Let $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ and V_2 be the vertex sets of G and H, respectively. Hence, we consider the set of vertices $S \subset V_2$. Let D be a $\gamma(G \square_S H)$ -set. For every $u_i \in V_1$, let $D_i = \{v \in V_2 : (u_i, v) \in D\}$ and let $X_i \subset D_i$ be the set of vertices of H not dominated by D_i . Hence, we have that $D_i \cup X_i$ is a dominating set in H and, as a consequence, $\gamma(H) \leq |D_i| + |X_i|$ for every is $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Hence,

$$
|D| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |D_i|
$$

\n
$$
\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\gamma(H) - |X_i|)
$$

\n
$$
= n\gamma(H) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|.
$$

Thus, we have that

$$
\gamma(G\Box_S H) \ge n\gamma(H) - \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|.
$$
\n(2.1)

Notice that if $X_j \neq \emptyset$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V_2$ such that (u_j, v) is dominated by a vertex $(u_l, v) \in D$ with $l \neq j$. Thus, $v \in S$. Hence, for every vertex $w \in S$ let $D_w = \{u_i \in V_1 : (u_i, w) \in (\{u_i\} \times X_i)\}\.$ Notice that

 D_w is a dominating set in G. So, $\gamma(G) \leq |D_w| = n - |D_w|$. Moreover, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| = \sum_{w \in S} |D_w|$. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i| = \sum_{w \in S} |D_w|.$

Now, by using (2.1) we have the following.

$$
\gamma(G \square_S H) \ge n\gamma(H) - \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|
$$

= $n\gamma(H) - \sum_{w \in S} |D_w|$

$$
\ge n\gamma(H) - \sum_{w \in S} (n - \gamma(G))
$$

= $n\gamma(H) - n|S| + |S|\gamma(G).$

 \Box

 \Box

By taking a $\gamma(H)$ -set S in the above theorem we obtain a Vizing-like result for the domination number of the partial Cartesian product graph $G \Box_S H$ with respect to S.

Corollary 2.2. *Let* G *and* H *be any graphs. Then there exists a set* S *of vertices of* H *such that*

$$
\gamma(G \square_S H) \ge \gamma(G)\gamma(H).
$$

To see that the above bound is tight we consider the following. We say that a graph $G = (V, E)$ satisfies property P if it has a $\gamma(G)$ -set D such that $D = X \cup Y, X \cap Y = \emptyset$, X dominates $V - Y$ and Y dominates $V - X$. Let F be the family of all graphs satisfying property P.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* G *be a graph with domination number half its order and let* H *be a graph of the family* F*. Then there exists a set* S *of vertices of* H *such that*

$$
\gamma(G \square_S H) = \gamma(G)\gamma(H).
$$

Proof. Since $H = (V, E)$ is a graph of the family F, there exists a $\gamma(H)$ -set S such that $S = X \cup Y$, $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, X dominates $V - Y$ and Y dominates $V - X$. Also, as G has domination number $\frac{n}{2}$, where *n* is the order of *G*, we have that there exists a $\gamma(G)$ -set *D*, such that $\gamma(G) = |D| = |\overline{D}| = \frac{n}{2}$. Notice that the set $(D \times X) \cup (\overline{D} \times Y)$ is a dominating set in $G \square_S H$ and, as a consequence,

$$
\gamma(G \square_S H) \le |(D \times X) \cup (\overline{D} \times Y)| = |D||X| + |\overline{D}||Y| = \frac{n\gamma(H)}{2} = \gamma(G)\gamma(H).
$$

The proof is finished by Corollary 2.2.

Since for every set S of vertices of a graph H , the partial Cartesian product graph $G\Box_S H$ is a subgraph of the Cartesian product graph $G\Box H$, we have that $\gamma(G\Box_S H)$ $\gamma(G \Box H)$. Hence, notice that if there exists a graph $G \Box_S H$ such that $\gamma(G \Box_S H)$ = $\gamma(G)\gamma(H)$ and $\gamma(G\Box_S H) > \gamma(G\Box H)$, then the Vizing's conjecture is not true. In this sense, the above results lead to the following question.

Question: Are there some graphs G and H such that some set S of vertices of H satisfies that $\gamma(G \square_S H) = \gamma(G) \gamma(H)$ and $\gamma(G \square_S H) > \gamma(G \square H)$?

Next we study the independence domination number of the partial Cartesian product of graphs. A set Y is an *independent dominating set* in a graph G, if Y is a dominating set and there no edge between any two vertices of Y . The minimum cardinality of an independent dominating set of G is the *independence domination number* of G and it is denoted by $i(G)$. The following result from [6] will be useful to present our results.

Lemma 2.4. [6] *Let* $G = (V, E)$ *be a graph. Then for every set of vertices* $A \subset V$ *,*

$$
i(G-A) \ge i(G) - |A|.
$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let* G *be a graph of order* n *and let* H *be any graph. If* S *is a subset of vertices of* H*, then*

$$
i(G \square_S H) \ge n(i(H) - |S|) + |S|i(G).
$$

Proof. Let $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ and V_2 be the vertex sets of G and H, respectively, and let $S \subset V_2$. Let Y be an $i(G \square_S H)$ -set. For every $u_i \in V_1$, we define the following subsets of vertices of H:

- $Y_i = \{v \in V_2 : (u_i, v) \in Y\},\$
- $S_i \subset S$ such that for every $v \in S_i$, (u_i, v) is independently dominated by some vertex $(u_i, v') \in Y$ with $v' \in Y_i$,
- $R_i \subset S$ such that $R_i = S \cap Y_i$.

Thus, we have that for every $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, Y_i is an independent dominating set in $\langle V_2 - \rangle$ $S + R_i + S_i$). So, by Lemma 2.4 we have that $|Y_i| \ge i(\langle V_2 - S + R_i + S_i \rangle) \ge i(H) |S| + |R_i| + |S_i|$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$
|Y| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Y_i| \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i(H) - |S| + |R_i| + |S_i|) = n(i(H) - |S|) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|R_i| + |S_i|). \tag{2.2}
$$

Now, for every vertex $v \in S$ we define the following subset of vertices of G:

- $A_v = \{u_i \in V_1 : (u_i, v) \in Y\},\$
- $B_v = \{u_i \in V_1 : \text{ such that for every } u_i \in B_v, \ (u_i, v) \in S_i\}, \ i.e., \ (u_i, v) \text{ is }$ independently dominated by some vertex (u_i, v') where $v' \in Y_i$.

Thus, we have that A_v is an independent dominating set in $\langle V_1 - B_v \rangle$ and, by Lemma 2.4, we have that $|A_v| \ge i(\langle V_1 - B_v \rangle) \ge i(G) - |B_v|$. Moreover, notice that $\sum_{i=1}^n (|R_i| +$ $|S_i|$) = $\sum_{v \in S} (|A_v| + |B_v|)$. So, from Equation 2.2 we have the following.

$$
|Y| \ge n(i(H) - |S|) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|R_i| + |S_i|)
$$

= $n(i(H) - |S|) + \sum_{v \in S} (|A_v| + |B_v|)$
 $\ge n(i(H) - |S|) + \sum_{v \in S} (i(G) - |B_v| + |B_v|)$
= $n(i(H) - |S|) + |S|i(G).$

Therefore, the result follows.

Notice that, if S is an $i(H)$ -set, then the above result leads to item (i) of the next corollary. Moreover, if S is formed by a single vertex, then the above result leads to a lower bound for the independence domination number of rooted product graphs, which was also obtained in [6].

Corollary 2.6. *Let* G *be a graph of order* n *and let* H *be any graph with vertex set* V *. Let* $S \subset V$.

- If S is an $i(H)$ -set, then $i(G\Box_S H) > i(G)i(H)$.
- If $S = \{v\}$, then $i(G \square_S H) = i(G \circ_n H) > n(i(H) 1) + i(G)$.

2.2 Partial strong product of graphs

Now, if $A \subset V_1$ and $B \subset V_2$, then the *partial strong product* of G and H with respect to A and B is the graph $G \boxtimes_{A,B} H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes_{A,B} H$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$,
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l$,
- $u_i \in A$, $v_j \in B$, $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$,
- $u_k \in A$, $v_l \in B$, $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$.

Notice that if $A = V_1$ and $B = V_2$, then $G \boxtimes_{A,B} H$ is the standard strong product graph $G \boxtimes H$. A more restrictive condition on the partial strong product of graphs could be the following one. If $A \subset V_1$ and $B \subset V_2$, then the *restricted partial strong product* of G and H with respect to A and B is the graph $G_A \boxtimes_B H = (V, E)$, where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_l) are adjacent in $G_A \boxtimes_B H$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$,
- $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j = v_l$,
- $u_i \in A$, $u_k \notin A$, $v_j \in B$, $v_l \notin B$, $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$,
- $u_i \notin A$, $u_k \in A$, $v_j \notin B$, $v_l \in B$, $u_i \sim u_k$ and $v_j \sim v_l$.

An example of a restricted partial strong product graph is shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* G, H *be any graphs and let* A (*respectively* B) *be a* $\gamma(G)$ -set (*respectively* $\gamma(H)$ -set). Then $A \times B$ is a minimal dominating set in $G_A \boxtimes_B H$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 1.1 and the procedure of construction of the restricted partial strong product of graphs. \Box

We omit the proof of the following result, since it is straightforward.

Theorem 2.8. *Let* G, H *be any graphs. If* A *and* B *are dominating sets in* G *and* H*, respectively, then*

 $\gamma(G \boxtimes_{A,B} H) \leq \gamma(G)\gamma(H)$ *and* $\gamma(G_A \boxtimes_B H) \leq \gamma(G)\gamma(H)$.

Figure 2: The restricted partial strong product graph P_{4} { $_{u_1,u_3}$ } \boxtimes { $_{v_2,v_4}$ } P_{4} .

It is straightforward to observe that the partial Cartesian product graph $G \square_S H$ with respect to any set of vertices S of G is a subgraph of the restricted partial strong product graph $G_A \Box_B H$ for any $\gamma(G)$ -set A and any $\gamma(H)$ -set B. Thus, according to results of Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.8 there would exist a spanning subgraph F of the restricted partial strong product graph $G_A \square_B H$ satisfying that $\gamma(F) = \gamma(G)\gamma(H)$. In this sense, the question is: Is always the Cartesian product graph $G\Box H$ a spanning subgraph of the graph F ? If yes, then Vizing's conjecture is true.

References

- [1] B. Brešar, P. Dorbec, W. Goddard, B. L. Hartnell, M. A. Henning, S. Klavžar and D. F. Rall, Vizing's conjecture: a survey and recent results, *Journal of Graph Theory* 69 (2012), 46–76.
- [2] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay, A new graph product and its spectrum, *Bulletin of the Australasian Mathematical Society* 18 (1) (1978), 21–28.
- [3] B. Hartnell and D. F. Rall, Domination in Cartesian products: Vizing's conjecture. In *Domination in graphs*, volume 209 of *Monography and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pages 163–189, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [4] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.
- [5] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of product graphs*, volume 66 of *Discrete Mathematics and its Applications*, CRC Press, 2011.
- [6] D. Kuziak, M. Lemańska and I. G. Yero, Domination related parameters in rooted product graphs, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* (2013), in press.
- [7] V. G. Vizing, The Cartesian product of graphs, $Vy\check{c}$ *isl. Sistemy* **9** (1963), 30–43.