

Comparing the irregularity and the total irregularity of graphs

Darko Dimitrov

Institut für Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Riste Škrekovski *

*Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana
and Faculty of Information Studies, 8000 Novo Mesto, Slovenia*

Received 9 June 2012, accepted 15 August 2013, published online 13 June 2014

Abstract

Albertson [4] has defined the *irregularity* of a simple undirected graph G as $\text{irr}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|$, where $d_G(u)$ denotes the degree of a vertex $u \in V(G)$. Recently, in [1] a new measure of irregularity of a graph, so-called the *total irregularity*, was defined as $\text{irr}_t(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|$. Here, we compare the irregularity and the total irregularity of graphs. For a connected graph G with n vertices, we show that $\text{irr}_t(G) \leq n^2 \text{irr}(G)/4$. Moreover, if G is a tree, then $\text{irr}_t(G) \leq (n-2)\text{irr}(G)$.

Keywords: The irregularity of graph, the total irregularity of graph.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C05, 05C07, 05C99

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple undirected graph of order $n = |V(G)|$ and size $m = |E(G)|$. For $v \in V(G)$, the degree of v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges incident to v . Albertson [4] defines the *imbalance* of an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ as $\text{imb}_G(uv) = |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|$ and the *irregularity* of G as

$$\text{irr}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \text{imb}_G(uv). \quad (1.1)$$

Obviously, a connected graph G has irregularity zero if and only if G is regular. In [4] Albertson presented upper bounds on irregularity for bipartite graphs, triangle-free graphs

*Partially supported by Slovenian ARRS Program P1-00383 and Creative Core - FISNM - 3330-13-500033.

E-mail addresses: dimdar@zedat.fu-berlin.de (Darko Dimitrov), skrekovski@gmail.com (Riste Škrekovski)

and arbitrary graphs, as well as a sharp upper bound for trees. Some results about the irregularity of bipartite graphs are given in [4, 14]. Related to the work of Albertson is the work of Hansen and Mélot [13], who characterized the graphs with n vertices and m edges with maximal irregularity. Various upper bounds on the irregularity of a graph were given in [19], where K_{r+1} -free graphs, trees and unicyclic graphs with fixed number of vertices of degree one were considered. In [16], relations between the irregularity and the matching number of trees and unicyclic graphs were investigated. More results on irregularity, imbalance and related measures, one can find in [3, 5, 6, 17, 18].

Recently, in [1] a new measure of irregularity of a simple undirected graph, so-called the *total irregularity*, was defined as

$$\text{irr}_t(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|. \tag{1.2}$$

Other approaches, that characterize how irregular a graph is, have been proposed [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15]. In this paper, we focus on the relation between the irregularity (1.1) and the total irregularity (1.2) of a graph.

In the sequel we introduce the notation used in the rest of the paper. For $u, v \in V(G)$, we denote by $d_G(u, v)$ the length of a shortest path in G between u and v . In this short paper the notation of the sets, that will be defined next, is always regarding the graph G we consider. By $V_{a,b}$, we denote a set of vertices of a graph with degrees in $[a, b]$, and by $V_{\geq a}$ (resp. $V_{\leq a}$), we denote a set of vertices of a graph with degrees at least a (resp. with degrees at most a). Similarly, by $V_{\geq a}^x$ (resp. $V_{\leq a}^x$), we denote a set of neighboring vertices of a vertex x with degrees at least a (resp. with degrees at most a). The corresponding cardinalities of the above mentioned sets, we denote by small v (e.g., $v_{\leq a} = |V_{\leq a}|$ or $v_{\leq a}^x = |V_{\leq a}^x|$).

A subgraph $T = v_1v_2 \cdots v_l$ of a graph G , where v_l is a leaf in G , is called a *tread* if $d_G(v_1) = d_G(v_2) = \cdots = d_G(v_{l-1}) = 2$, and v_1 is adjacent to a vertex with degree at least three. Let $T_1 = v_1v_2 \cdots v_s$ and $T_2 = u_1u_2 \cdots u_l$ be two threads of a graph G with leaves v_s and u_l , respectively, and let v_0 be the other neighbour of v_1 . By $G' = G(T_2 \circ T_1)$ we denote a graph that is obtained from G after a *concatenation* of T_2 to T_1 , i.e., after deleting the edge v_0v_1 and adding an edge between u_l and v_1 .

2 General graphs

Obviously, $\text{irr}(G) \leq \text{irr}_t(G)$. And, it is not hard to show that equality holds precisely when all non-adjacent vertices have same degree. Such a class of graphs are the complete k -partite graphs. More examples of graphs with equal irregularity and total irregularity can be found in [11]. Now, we give an upper bound on $\text{irr}_t(G)$ in term of $\text{irr}(G)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let G be a connected graph on n -vertices. Then

$$\text{irr}_t(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} \text{irr}(G).$$

Moreover, the bound is sharp for infinitely many graphs.

Proof. Let T be a spanning tree of G . Then, any two vertices a, b of G are connected by an unique path $P_{ab} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_s$ in T , where $x_1 = a$ and $x_s = b$. By the triangle inequality,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}_t(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b \in V(G)} |d_G(a) - d_G(b)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b \in V(G)} |d_G(x_1) - d_G(x_2)| + |d_G(x_2) - d_G(x_3)| + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

For an edge $uv \in E(T)$, let $n_u = \{x \mid x \in V(T) \text{ and } d_T(x, u) < d_T(x, v)\}$. Similarly, let $n_v = \{x \mid x \in V(T) \text{ and } d_T(x, u) > d_T(x, v)\}$. Each summand $|d_G(u) - d_G(v)|$ in the last sum of (2.1) occurs in the sum exactly $n_{uv} = n_u n_v$ times. Also, each summand $|d_G(v) - d_G(u)|$ occurs n_{uv} times. Thus,

$$\text{irr}_t(G) \leq \sum_{uv \in E(T)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)| n_{uv}.$$

As $n_{uv} \leq (n/2)(n/2) = n^2/4$, and $\sum_{uv \in E(T)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)| \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|$, we obtain the desired inequality.

Now, we show that the bound $n^2/4$ is sharp. Let a, b be two distinct integers, say $a < b$. Consider a graph G_a whose all vertices are of degree a , with exception of one vertex u which is of degree $a - 1$. Similarly, consider a graph G_b whose all vertices are of degree b , with exception of one vertex u which is of degree $b - 1$. Let G^* be the graph obtained from G_a and G_b by connecting u and v . Let $n_a = |V(G_a)|$ and $n_b = |V(G_b)|$. Observe that $\text{irr}(G^*) = b - a$ and $\text{irr}_t(G^*) = (b - a)n_a n_b$. Choosing $n_a = n_b = n/2$, we obtain

$$\frac{\text{irr}_t(G^*)}{\text{irr}(G^*)} = n_a n_b = \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

In order to show that such graphs G_a and G_b exist, one may use the theorem of Erdős-Gallai [12] which states that a sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ of non-negative integers with even sum is graphic (i.e., there exist a graph with such a degree sequence) if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^r d_i \leq r(r-1) + \sum_{i=r+1}^n \min(r, d_i), \quad (2.2)$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq n$.

So, fix a, b , and $n_a = n_b$ to be odd numbers with $n_a \gg \max\{a, b\}$. We will show the existence of the graph G_a . In a similar way, one can show the existence of the graph G_b . As $(n_a - 1)a + (a - 1)$ is even, the parity condition of the theorem of Erdős-Gallai is satisfied. So, we need to show only (2.2). For this we consider three cases regarding r and a :

- $r \leq a - 1$. Then, (2.2) can be written as $ra \leq r(r - 1) + (n_a - r)r$. It obviously holds since $a \ll n_a - r$.
- $r = a$. In this case, (2.2) can be written as $ra \leq r(r - 1) + (n_a - r)r - 1$, which holds for a similar reason as the previous case.
- $r \geq a + 1$. Similarly, (2.2) can be written as $ra \leq r(r - 1) + (n_a - r)a - 1$, and it holds as $ra \ll r(r - 1)$.

□

3 Trees

In this section, we give an upper bound on $\text{irr}_t(G)$ in term of $\text{irr}(G)$, when G is a tree. To show the bound, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a tree, x a vertex of degree $d \geq 3$ incident with threads T_1 and T_2 , and let $G' = G(T_2 \circ T_1)$. Then,*

- (a) $\text{irr}_t(G) - \text{irr}_t(G') = 2v_{2,d-1}$;
- (b) $\text{irr}(G) - \text{irr}(G') = 2(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1)$.

Proof. Let $T_1 = a_1a_2 \cdots a_s$ and $T_2 = b_1b_2 \cdots b_l$. We consider the identities separately.

- (a) Notice that all other vertices except x and b_l have the same degree in G and G' . Hence, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}_t(G) - \text{irr}_t(G') &= \sum_{u \neq b_l} (|d_G(x) - d_G(u)| - |d_{G'}(x) - d_{G'}(u)|) \\ &\quad + \sum_{u \neq x} (|d_G(u) - d_G(b_l)| - |d_{G'}(u) - d_{G'}(b_l)|) \\ &\quad + |d_G(x) - d_G(b_l)| - |d_{G'}(x) - d_{G'}(b_l)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x) - 1 = d - 1$ and $d_{G'}(b_l) = d_G(b_l) + 1 = 2$, further we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}_t(G) - \text{irr}_t(G') &= \sum_{u \neq b_l} (|d - d_G(u)| - |d - 1 - d_G(u)|) \\ &\quad + \sum_{u \neq x} (|d_G(u) - 1| - |d_G(u) - 2|) + 2. \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in V_{\leq d-1}$, then $|d - d_G(u)| - |d - 1 - d_G(u)| = 1$, otherwise $|d - d_G(u)| - |d - 1 - d_G(u)| = -1$. Hence, the first sum in (3.1) is equal to $v_{\leq d-1} - 1 - v_{\geq d}$. Similarly, if $u \in V_{\geq 2}$, then $|d_G(u) - 1| - |d_G(u) - 2| = 1$, otherwise $|d_G(u) - 1| - |d_G(u) - 2| = -1$. Thus, the second sum in (3.1) is equal to $v_{\geq 2} - 1 - v_1$. Applying these observations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}_t(G) - \text{irr}_t(G') &= v_{\leq d-1} - 1 - v_{\geq d} + v_{\geq 2} - 1 - v_1 + 2 \\ &= v_{\leq d-1} - v_1 + v_{\geq 2} - v_{\geq d} \\ &= 2v_{2,d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $e_1 = xa_1, e_2 = xb_1, e_3 = b_{l-1}b_l$ and $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Denote by E_2 the set of edges incident to x that are different from e_1 and e_2 . Notice that every edge not in $E_1 \cup E_2$ contributes zero to the difference $\text{irr}(G) - \text{irr}(G')$. So, we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}(G) - \text{irr}(G') &= \sum_{uv \in E_2} (\text{imb}_G(uv) - \text{imb}_{G'}(uv)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{uv \in E_1} (\text{imb}_G(uv) - \text{imb}_{G'}(uv)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the first sum is equal to $-v_{\geq d}^x + (v_{\leq d-1}^x - 2)$ (we have -2 as the edges e_1 and e_2 are excluded in this sum). In G' , the edge $e_1 = xa_1$ does not exist anymore, but there is a new edge $e'_1 = b_1a_1$. Observe that after the concatenation $T_2 \circ T_1$ all other edges preserve their end-vertices. First, we consider the contribution of e_1 and e'_1 in $\text{irr}(G) - \text{irr}(G')$. There are two possibilities regarding the length of T_1 :

- $s = 1$: Then, $\text{imb}_G(e_1) = d - 1$ and $\text{imb}_{G'}(e'_1) = 1$;
- $s \geq 2$: In this case, $\text{imb}_G(e_1) = d - 2$ and $\text{imb}_{G'}(e'_1) = 0$.

In both of them, we obtain $\text{imb}_G(e_1) - \text{imb}_{G'}(e'_1) = d - 2$.

Next, we consider the contributions of e_2 and e_3 together. Again, consider two possibilities regarding the length of T_2 :

- $l = 1$: Then, $e_2 = e_3$ and $\text{imb}_G(e_2) = d - 1$ and $\text{imb}_{G'}(e_2) = d - 3$;
- $l \geq 2$: In this case, $e_2 \neq e_3$, and $\text{imb}_G(e_2) = d - 2$, $\text{imb}_{G'}(e_2) = d - 3$, $\text{imb}_G(e_3) = 1$ and $\text{imb}_{G'}(e_3) = 0$.

In both cases, we obtain that $\sum_{e \in \{e_2, e_3\}} (\text{imb}_G(e) - \text{imb}_{G'}(e)) = 2$. So finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irr}(G) - \text{irr}(G') &= -v_{\geq d}^x + (v_{\leq d-1}^x - 2) + d - 2 + 2 \\ &= -v_{\geq d}^x + v_{\leq d-1}^x - 2 + d \\ &= 2(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a tree with n vertices. Then

$$\text{irr}_t(G) \leq (n - 2)\text{irr}(G).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if G is a path.

Proof. Let $n_1(G)$ be the number of vertices of G with degree one. We will prove the second inequality by induction on $n_1(G)$. If $n_1(G) = 0$, then $G \simeq P_1$, $\text{irr}(G) = \text{irr}_t(G) = 0$, and the equality in the theorem holds. Since G is a tree, $n_1(G) \neq 1$. If $n_1(G) = 2$, then $G \simeq P_n$. In this case $\text{irr}(G) = 2$ and $\text{irr}_t(G) = 2(n - 2)$, hence we obtain equality.

Now, assume $n_1(G) > 2$. Then, it is easy to see that G has a vertex x of degree $d \geq 3$, incident with at least two threads T_1 and T_2 . Let $G' = G(T_2 \circ T_1)$. Since $n_1(G') = n_1(G) - 1$, we can assume that inequality holds for G' , i.e.,

$$\text{irr}_t(G') \leq (n - 2)\text{irr}(G'). \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{irr}(G') = \text{irr}(G) - 2(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{irr}_t(G') = \text{irr}_t(G) - 2v_{2,d-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Plugging (3.3) in (3.2), we obtain

$$(n - 2)\text{irr}(G) \geq \text{irr}_t(G) - 2v_{2,d-1} + 2(n - 2)(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1). \quad (3.4)$$

As $d(x) = d \geq 3$ and x is incident with two threads, we infer $v_{\geq d}^x + 2 \leq d$, and so $2(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1) \geq 2$. Observe also that $v_{2,d-1} \leq n - 3$. Hence $2(n - 2)(d - v_{\geq d}^x - 1) > 2(n - 3) \geq 2v_{2,d-1}$. This together with (3.4) gives $(n - 2)\text{irr}(G) > \text{irr}_t(G)$. □

References

- [1] H. Abdo, S. Brandt and D. Dimitrov, The total irregularity of a graph, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **16** (2014), 201–206.
- [2] Y. Alavi, A. Boals, G. Chartrand, P. Erdős and O. R. Oellermann, k -path irregular graphs, *Congr. Numer.* **65** (1988) 201–210.
- [3] Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, F. R. K. Chung, P. Erdős, R. L. Graham and O. R. Oellermann, Highly irregular graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **11** (1987) 235–249.
- [4] M. O. Albertson, The irregularity of a graph, *Ars Comb.* **46** (1997) 219–225.
- [5] F. K. Bell, A note on the irregularity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **161** (1992) 45–54.
- [6] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, Graphs with large variance, *Ars Comb.* **57** (2000) 151–162.
- [7] G. Chartrand, P. Erdős and O. R. Oellermann, How to define an irregular graph, *Coll. Math. J.* **19** (1988) 36–42.
- [8] G. Chartrand, K. S. Holbert, O. R. Oellermann and H. C. Swart, F -degrees in graphs, *Ars Comb.* **24** (1987) 133–148.
- [9] L. Collatz and U. Sinogowitz, Spektren endlicher Graphen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **21** (1957) 63–77.
- [10] D. Cvetković and P. Rowlinson, On connected graphs with maximal index, *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique (Beograd)* **44** (1988) 29–34.
- [11] D. Dimitrov, T. Réti, Graphs with equal irregularity indices, *Acta Polytech. Hung.* **11** (2014), 41–57.
- [12] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, Graphs with prescribed degrees of vertices, (in Hungarian) *Mat. Lapok.* **11** (1960) 264–274.
- [13] P. Hansen and H. Mélot, Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs 9. Bounding the irregularity of a graph, *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **69** (1962) 253–264.
- [14] M. A. Henning and D. Rautenbach, On the irregularity of bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007) 1467–1472.
- [15] D. E. Jackson and R. Entringer, Totally segregated graphs, *Congress. Numer.* **55** (1986) 159–165.
- [16] W. Luo and B. Zhou, On the irregularity of trees and unicyclic graphs with given matching number, *Util. Math.* **83** (2010) 141–147.
- [17] D. Rautenbach and I. Schiermeyer, Extremal problems for imbalanced edges, *Graphs Comb.* **22** (2006) 103–111.
- [18] D. Rautenbach and L. Volkmann, How local irregularity gets global in a graph, *J. Graph Theory* **41** (2002) 18–23.
- [19] B. Zhou and W. Luo, On irregularity of graphs, *Ars Combin.* **88** (2008) 55–64.