

Puyŏ and Han: Morphological and Lexical Analysis of Two Distinct Language Groups of the Early Korean Peninsula

Andrew E. SHIMUNEK

Woosong University, Republic of Korea
andrewshimunek@woosong.org

Abstract

There were many different languages spoken on the Korean Peninsula in the past, and not all of them were 韓 Han (Koreanic). In the traditional approach, Puyŏ and Han – the two best attested non-Chinese languages of early Korea – are treated as daughter branches of a common Puyŏ-Han proto-language. Christopher I. Beckwith has solidly demonstrated that the Puyŏ or Puyo-Koguryoic languages form a unique branch of the Japanese-Koguryoic language family, unrelated to the Han or Koreanic languages. Nevertheless, speculation on Puyŏ-Han linguistic unity continues to persist. The comparative data in this paper, focusing on the earliest attested Puyo-Koguryoic grammatical morphemes and content words and their translational equivalents in early Han (Koreanic), thoroughly disproves the Puyŏ-Han hypothesis, demonstrating that Puyŏ (Puyo-Koguryoic) and Han (Koreanic) are two mutually distinct unrelated language groups.

Keywords: Puyo-Koguryoic languages, Koreanic languages, historical-comparative linguistics, ethnolinguistic contact, history of the early Korean Peninsula

Povzetek

Na Korejskem polotoku so v preteklosti govorili veliko različnih jezikov in vsi niso bili del skupine hanskih jezikov 韓 (korejske jezikovne družine). Tradicionalno gledano sta pujščina in hanščina – dva najbolj dokazana jezika zgodnje Koreje, ki ne spadata med kitajske jezike – obravnavana kot hčerinski veji skupnega pujohanskega prajezika. Christopher I. Beckwith je prepričljivo dokazal, da pujski ali pujokogurjojski jeziki tvorijo edinstveno vejo japonsko-kogurjojske jezikovne družine, ki ni povezana s hanskimi ali korejskimi jeziki. Kljub temu se domneve o jezikovni enotnosti pujohanskega prajezika še vedno pojavljajo. V tem članku s primerjavo podatkov, ki se osredotočajo na najzgodnejše dokazane pujokogurjojske slovnične morfeme in polnopomenske besede ter njihove prevodne ustreznice v zgodnji hanščini, ovržemo hipotezo o pujohanskem prajeziku in dokažemo, da sta pujščina (pujokogurjojski jeziki) in hanščina (korejska jezikovna družina) dve medsebojno različni, nepovezani jezikovni skupini.

Ključne besede: pujokogurjojski jeziki, korejska jezikovna družina, zgodovinsko-primerjalno jezikoslovje, etnolingvistični stik, zgodovina zgodnjega Korejskega polotoka



1 Introduction

In Korea today, the language family to which Modern Standard Korean, Korean dialects, and other closely related languages such as Cheju (Jeju), Koryŏ-mar, and Yukchin belong is conventionally called 韓國語族 *Han'guk ŏjok*, literally, 'Korean National Language Family'.¹ The inclusion of the word 國 *kuk* 'country' in the term 'Korean National Language Family' implies an a priori assumption that all languages spoken within the modern borders of the modern states of Korea (i.e., South and North) are included in this 'national language family'. By this same logic, Old Chinese, which was spoken in the Four Han Chinese Commanderies (漢四郡 *Han sagun*) of Korea's early history and which was spoken in the state of 辰韓 Chin Han (Beckwith, 2010, p. 215), as well as Middle Chinese, Middle Mongol, Yuan Mandarin, Jurchen, and other languages spoken at one time or another in the area that is now modern Korea should all be included in this 'national language family.'

Given the inherent logical problem of the 'national language family' terminology, I prefer to call the language family to which Modern Standard Korean belongs the 韓語族 *Han ŏjok* 'Han language family' or in English, the Koreanic language family. This terminology correctly asserts a connection with the 韓 Han (earlier read *Kara*) ethnolinguistic group and removes the unintentional or intentional connotations of the 國 *kuk* 'country' element.

The dominant variant of the 'Korean National Language Family' theory treats Puyŏ and Han – the two best-attested non-Chinese languages of early Korea – as daughter branches of a common Puyŏ-Han proto-language, which some have labeled as “扶餘・韓祖語” *Puyŏ-Han choŏ*, or 'Puyŏ-Han Ancestral Language' (e.g. Lee, 1972; Lee, 2017, p. 414).

Christopher I. Beckwith (*KLJ*) has demonstrated the Japanese-Koguryoic language family and the Koguryŏ language's place within that family. In this paper, I will incorporate additional 韓 Han (hereafter: 'Koreanic') and Puyo-Koguryoic data and offer functional morphological and lexical data further demonstrating that Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic are separate language groups: Koreanic is a unique language family in its own right, and Puyo-Koguryoic is a divergent branch of the Japanese-Koguryoic language family.

Claims of Puyŏ-Han linguistic unity are often based on little to no actual linguistic data, and no study has yet systematically and comprehensively examined the primary sources on the Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic languages to demonstrate or disprove a relationship between Koreanic and

¹ For the romanization of Modern Standard Korean I employ the McCune-Reischauer system because of its phonetic precision and because it is the international standard for the fields of Korean history and historical-comparative linguistics.

Puyo-Koguryoic. In this paper, I analyze the Chinese, Korean, and Japanese primary historical sources on the early Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic languages, including the *Zhou shu*, the *Samguk sagi*, the *Samguk yusa*, and the *Nihon shoki*. This data is supplemented by Chinese, Korean, and Japanese primary sources on Early Middle Korean, including the 12th century *Jilin Leishi* (*Kyerim yusa*), the Koryō *Toijanga* (1120 CE), the 12th century Middle Japanese sources *Nichūreki* and *Sezokujiruishō*, and the 13th century *Hyang'yak Kugūppang*, as well as Koryō kugyōl readings (q.v. Lee, 2011), supplemented by references to Chosŏn Late Middle Korean as attested in the earliest Hangŭl texts. Thus, this work focuses on the earliest solidly attested linguistic data on the Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic languages.

Through a systematic comparison of grammatical morphemes and content words from both language groups, I demonstrate that the correspondences between Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic are exceedingly few. Those that do exist are loanwords, the primary directionality being from the Puyo-Koguryoic languages to the Koreanic languages as a result of the prestige associated with the Puyō dialects after the Puyō invasion and subsequent political domination of the Korean Peninsula which lasted until the Tang-Silla alliance led to the unification of the Korean Peninsula under the Unified Silla state in 668 CE. Fascinating sporadic loanword correspondences with Nivkh (Gilyak), Serbi-Mongolic, and Jurchen-Manchu are highlighted when etymologically relevant.

Following a strictly data-based approach (following *KLJ*), this paper provides new lexica on both Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic languages. As much as possible, I focus on phonetic readings, and words and grammatical morphemes with semantically glossed or clearly discernible meanings. Speculative traditional readings have been avoided, with few exceptions.

Some traditional readings are undoubtedly correct. For example, it has been long known in the field of Korean studies that the Chinese glyph 火 (MChi *χ^wa) is sometimes used to render the phonetic value 伐 (MChi *buar) (*KLJ* 74n, 77n, 78, 83) in transcriptions of early Koreanic languages. That is, the glyph 火 functioning phonetically as 伐 can render the phonetic sequences *pūr ~ *pīr ~ *puar in non-Chinese languages of the early Korean Peninsula: For example, early Koreanic *pūr 'city' and *pīr 'fire' are sometimes written with the Chinese glyph 火 'fire'. Another, albeit lower frequency, example may be the glyph 文 'writing' for the phonetic value 峴 *kir in rendering Old Koguryō *kir 'writing' (*KLJ* 87). The hyangch'al writing system of the *Samguk yusa* also includes some well-known examples of this kind of mixed, partially semantic and partially phonetic writing. However, such traditional readings are only accepted in this paper if they are solidly

confirmed, especially with unambiguous phonetic transcriptions, ideally with variant transcriptions, such as the example of the partially semantic, partially phonetic rendering of Han-Silla 齒叱今 with the semantic value 齒 ‘tooth’ and the final phonetic sequence 叱今 *tś-kim and its purely phonetic variants 尼叱今 *ni-tś-kim and 尼師今 *ni-s-kim, which clearly indicate that in Silla territory, the Chinese glyph 齒 ‘tooth’ could be traditionally read with the phonetic value 尼 *ni rendering the Han-Silla word for ‘tooth’, cognate to Koryŏ Early Middle Korean *ni, Chosŏn Late Middle Korean *ní*, and Modern Standard Korean *i* ‘tooth’ (see section §6 lexicon below).

By and large, the most reliable data on early Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic languages are straightforward phonetic transcriptions in Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese. This Chinese dialect is not the same as that spoken in the Tang capital. Beckwith has termed it ‘Archaic Northeastern Middle Chinese’ for which Shimunek prefers the term ‘Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese’. I will employ the latter term in this paper. (On this phonetically conservative variety of Chinese, see *KLJ* 93-105; *LASM* 81-82, 84, 86, 87-88; Shimunek, 2021a, pp. 65-67, 72-75; Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 133, 152, 156, 160; and Shimunek, 2023, p. 85.)

This article does not provide an exhaustive listing of all identifiable Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic linguistic lexica – there are many more grammatical morphemes and words attested in early Koreanic and also some additional data in Puyo-Koguryoic languages, especially from Puyŏ-Paekche and Han-Paekche. The data set in this paper has naturally been limited to Puyo-Koguryoic words and grammatical morphemes which have semantic translational equivalents in Koreanic.

2 Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese

Traditional studies on languages of the early Korean Peninsula often rely on Modern Standard Korean readings, or at best, Late Middle Korean readings, but these are inaccurate. “The Middle Chinese dialect or dialects of the Korean Peninsula before the middle of the Unified Silla period were highly conservative, retaining phonological characteristics of Late Old Chinese” (Shimunek, 2021b, p. 133). This uniquely Korean Peninsular variety of Early Middle Chinese – hereafter ‘Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese’ (equivalent to Beckwith’s ‘Archaic Northeastern Middle Chinese’, *KLJ* 93-105) – is a *sine qua non* for historical-comparative work on the languages of the early Korean Peninsula. The author has employed Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese readings to reconstruct the phonetic forms of Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic data.

3 Language families of the early Korean Peninsula

Historically documented and identified languages of the early Korean Peninsula, defined here as Korea before the 高麗 Koryō period, include languages belonging to the Koreanic language family, languages belonging to the Puyo-Koguryoic branch of the Japanese-Koguryoic language family and varieties of Chinese (Beckwith, 2005, pp. 34-64; *KLJ* 28, 118-163; Shimunek, 2021a, p. 66; Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 129-167; Shimunek, 2023, pp. 98-100, 102-103). This is not an exhaustive list – as the author has demonstrated elsewhere, there may be other distinctive and previously unidentified extinct languages of the early Korean Peninsula, vestiges of which remain in the *Samguk sagi* toponym corpora. Some fascinating and unique lexical data is attested in Kara and Paekche, indicating a third (or more), non-Han, non-Puyō language of unknown identity. This data will be the subject of a separate study in the future.

4 Koreanic languages vs. Puyo-Koguryoic languages

The Puyo-Koguryoic languages are demonstrably divergently related to Japanese, and do not form a cognate relationship with the Koreanic language family (*KLJ* 1-28, 232; Beckwith, 2005, pp. 49-51 et passim). In the currently dominant tradition, Puyō (Puyo-Koguryoic) and Han (Koreanic) are often portrayed as two divergent branches of a common proto-language, as exemplified by Lee Seungjae's summary of Lee Ki-Moon's view (Lee, 2017, p. 414). The traditionalists' primary motivation for including the Puyō languages as a sister branch to Han seems to be the preconceived view that they *should be* related, rather than a scientific approach to the data.

4.1 Koreanic vs. Puyo-Koguryoic functional morphology

If we examine the earliest attested Puyo-Koguryoic grammatical morphemes and words, they are clearly unrelated to early Koreanic (see Table 1).²

² For a discussion on grammaticalization in the Korean aspectual system, see Kumar (2025) in the same issue.

Table 1: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic grammatical morphemes

Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic*
CJK *na ‘genitive’ > AKog *na : Ajp *nâ > Ojpn *nô > MSJ <i>no</i>	≠ CKor *-hiy > HS *-hiy : HP *-iy : MSK /-iy/ ‘genitive’
CJK *si ~ *ši ‘attributive’ > OKog *si ~ *ši : Ojpn *-si > MSJ <i>-shi</i>	≠ CKor *-tś ~ *-ts > HK *-s : HK/HP *-(t)s : HS *-tś ~ *-ts > LMK -s ‘genitive- attributive’

* ‘Early Koreanic’ denotes the earliest attested 韓 Han languages and dialects.

4.2 Koreanic vs. Puyo-Koguryoic content words

A comparison of content words in Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic languages reveals no regular cognates. If Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic were indeed related as two branches of a common source node (i.e. a common proto-language) as is claimed in most variants of the traditional ‘Korean National Language Family’, one should expect a significant number of lexical cognates, especially in the highest frequency words. This basic law of language change is summarized below:

THE LAW OF FREQUENCY AND RETENTION

“The highest frequency morphemes in any language have heavy functional load and light semantic load, and are inherited from the proto-language” (Beckwith, 2008, p. 19; cited here from *LASM* 283).

Hypothetically, any words and morphemes can be borrowed between any languages, but if the Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic languages were indeed two branches of a “Korean National Language Family” as is often claimed, the *highest frequency morphemes* (including both grammatical morphemes as well as content words) of these two language groups should exhibit many regular correspondences.

The Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic words compared below are very close to being an exhaustive list of all the Puyo-Koguryoic words and early Koreanic words available to the author at the time of writing for which semantic equivalents or near-equivalents exist in the respective languages. That is, the lists below consist of near-exhaustive lists of semantically or functionally equivalent words and morphemes in the two language groups.

I am not an adherent of any of the ‘basic vocabulary’ theories: As shown by Beckwith and many others, Swadesh lists, lexicostatistics, and glottochronology are untenable at best, if not pseudoscience (*KLJ*). Nevertheless, organizing the data into semantic categories is useful for

demonstrating that the earliest grammatical morphemes and words in Han languages exhibit no regular cognates with Puyō. In the current data set employed in this article, there are exceedingly few lexical correspondences between Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic, and the few that exist are well-known loanwords with very clear or inferable historical contexts (e.g., see notes below on Han-Silla *paŋiy ‘rock, precipice’, and Koryō Early Middle Korean *namur ‘lead (metal)’ and *kir ‘writing’ in Table 5 and in entries §6.1.1.14 and §6.1.2.1.15).

In order to aid the reader in processing the lexical data, I have organized the lexical items by general semantic spheres. I do not advocate for any of the ‘basic vocabulary’ theories; the general semantic groupings employed below are simply to help the reader see the scope of lexical comparisons available to the comparativist. The content words discussed below are listed by their lexical categories: numerals, nouns, adjectival verbs, and verbs. The nouns are further divided into the following semantic groupings:

- direction words;
- body part terms;
- rocks, minerals, metals, and geological formations;
- human made structures;
- plant names;
- zoonyms;
- people;
- water and watercourses;
- and supernatural concepts.

Unless otherwise noted, Archaic Koguryō (AKog), Old Koguryō (OKog), Old Japanese (OJpn), and Common Japanese-Koguryoic (CJK) data cited below are from Christopher I. Beckwith (*KLJ*).

The semantic values in the tables below have been abbreviated and simplified for ease of reading. The reader is advised to consult section §6 for detailed notes on the semantic values of each word listed below, etymological notes, and sources.

4.2.1 Numerals

The Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic languages have clearly distinct numeral systems which do not exhibit any cognates between them (see Table 2).

Table 2: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic numerals

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic
'one'	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *pitō	≠ HS *...tʌ : EMK *hatʌn ~ *harʌn : LMK <i>hʌnàh</i> ~ <i>hʌn-</i> : MSK <i>hana</i>
'two'	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *puta	≠ HS *...yir ~ *...r > EMK *tuβur(h?) (KYS, NCR, SZR) : LMK <i>türh</i> ~ <i>tǔ-</i> > MSK /tur/ ~ /tu-/
'three'	OKog *mir : Ojpn *mi	≠ HK *ts(w)əy(h) : EMK *səyh > LMK <i>sə̃yh</i> ~ <i>sə-</i> ~ <i>sək-</i> > MSK <i>set</i> ~ <i>se-</i> ~ <i>sək-</i>
'four'	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *yō	≠ HS *(nəʔ)ri : EMK *nəyh ~ * ⁿ dəyh : LMK <i>nə̃yh</i> ~ <i>nə-</i> ~ <i>nək-</i> > MSK <i>net</i> ~ <i>ne-</i> ~ <i>nək-</i> (cf. Nivkh)
'five'	OKog *ütsi : Ojpn *itu	≠ EMK *tasis ~ *tasas : LMK <i>tàsás</i> ~ <i>tày-</i>
'six'	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *mu	≠ EMK *yəsis ~ *yəsas : LMK <i>yèsís</i> ~ <i>yəy-</i> ~ <i>yəs-</i> > MSK /yəset/
'seven'	OKog *nan : Ojpn *nana	≠ EMK *irkip ~ *nirkup ~ *nirkup : LMK <i>nìrkúp</i> : MSK /irkup/ [ilgup]
'eight'	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *ya	≠ EMK *yətarp : LMK <i>yə̃t̃irp</i> > MSK /yətər/ [jədəl]
'nine'	PP *tir (≠ Ojpn *koko ← Ch.)	≠ EMK *ahurp : LMK <i>àhúp</i> > MSK /ahup/
'ten'	OKog *tək : Ojpn *tə ~ *təwo	≠ EMK *yə(hʔ) : LMK <i>yérh</i> > MSK /yə/ [jəl]
'hundred'	Not attested	≠ EMK *hun : LMK <i>hún</i>
'thousand' ~ 'ten thousand'	PP *ti ~ *tsir ~ *tir '1,000 ~ 10,000, abundant' → Ojpn *ti	≠ LMK <i>tsîmîn</i> 'thousand'

As shown above, the attested Puyo-Koguryoic numerals exhibit cognates only with Japanese, not with any of the Koreanic languages. The Puyo-Koguryoic numerals and the Koreanic numerals thus form two clearly distinct lexical sets unrelated to each other.

By contrast, the numeral sets of well-demonstrated language families of the world regularly exhibit systematic correspondences in their numeral systems. For example, English *three*, German *drei* /dʁaɪ/, Italian *tre*, French *trois* /tʁwa/, Persian *se(h)*, Pashto *dre*, Agnean *tre*, Kuchean *trey* ~ *trai* /tʁaɪ/, Hittite *teri*, and numerous others are cognate reflexes of a common Indo-European root denoting 'three'. Likewise, for the Serbi-Mongolic languages: Kitan ***ɕur** 'three' (LASM), Middle Mongol *qurban* ~ *yurban* /gurban/, Khalkha *gürəw*, Buryat *gurbən*, Kalmyk *gurwn*, Daur *kʷarpə*, Shira Yoghör *kurwan*,

Kangjia *kurɔ*, Santa *quran*, Moghol *qurban* ‘three’, and others are cognates (Svantesson et al., 2005, p. 164). Numerous other examples could be cited from any of the world’s well-demonstrated language families.

There are also counterexamples in languages of the world, but most have very clear contexts. For example, the numerals of Mangghuer (a Mongolic language) have been replaced by Chinese in the speech of younger speakers due to intensive language contact with Chinese (Dpal-Idan-bkra-shis et al., 1996, p. 4). Modern Standard Korean also has a unique set of Sino-Korean numerals alongside ‘native’ Koreanic numerals, with each set used in overwhelmingly (but not entirely) mutually exclusive environments (e.g., when telling time, ‘native’ numerals are used for telling the hour and Sino-Korean numerals are used for telling the minute). (One rare but striking example of free variation between ‘native’ and Sino-Korean numerals is Modern Standard Korean *사거리 sagōri* /sakəri/ and its free variant *네거리 negōri* /nekəri/, both denoting ‘intersection’, literally ‘four roads’, and which both occur in daily speech with free variation between Sino-Korean *sa* ‘four’ and the ‘native’ numeral root *ne-* ‘four’). Even English numerals have been borrowed in Modern Standard Korean, albeit in a highly limited context – for tallying baseball scores – but all of these are exceptions to a general trend of numerals retained in divergently related languages.

4.2.2 Nouns

Direction words

The attested Puyo-Koguryoic and Early Koreanic direction words exhibit numerous cognates *within* each language grouping but no cognates between each other (see Table 3).

Table 3: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic direction words

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic *
front ~ south	AKog *kor ‘front’ : PS *kur ‘south’ : PP *kurɔ	≠ HS *mak ‘south’ : LMK <i>màh</i> ‘south’ HK/HP *arp : LMK <i>àrpʰ</i> ‘south, front’ > MSK /apʰ/ ‘front’
back ~ behind ~ north ~ west ~ above ~ evening	AKog *tsiar ‘back, behind’ > OKog *tširi ‘north’ : Ojpn *tsiri ~ *siri ‘back, behind; rump, buttocks’ OKog *faip ‘west’ : Ojpn *yami ‘darkness, evening’	≠ HS *tira/*tura ‘west’ ~ *tiy ‘north’ : HP *ti : LMK <i>tùyh</i> > MSK <i>twi</i> ‘behind; north’ HK/HP *uk/*uk ‘above, top’ : HS *ukuk/*uyuk/*uruk ‘north’ : LMK <i>ùh</i> > MSK <i>wi</i> ‘above’

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic *
		HS 夜音 *...m (genitive 夜未 *...m-iy) : LMK <i>pàm</i> ~ <i>pám</i> > MSK <i>pam</i> 'evening'
east	OKog *kati 'east', OJpn *kəti ~ *koti 'east wind' ~ *pimukatši 'east' > MSJ <i>higashi</i> 'east'	≠ HK *ša 'east' : HS *səyra 'east' ~ HS dial. *sey 'south' : LMK <i>sáy</i> 'east' > MSK /sɛ-/ 'east'
root/base > below	OKog *tsiam 'root, base', OJpn *tsiməw 'below' > MSJ <i>shimo</i> 'below'	≠ HK/HP *ari : HS *ara : LMK <i>ara</i> : MSK /arɛ/ 'below'

* (See 'Body part terms' for the metaphorical extension of 'heart' > 'center'.)

The attested Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic direction words are distinct and clearly unrelated to each other. The only words that appear even hypothetically relatable are Archaic Koguryō ***tsiar** 'back, behind' and Han-Silla dial. ***tira**/***tura** 'west' ~ Han-Silla dial. ***tiy** 'north'. If the primary semantic value of the Han-Silla word-forms is 'behind', then one could exploratorily hypothesize a loanword scenario between these Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words, but before such a proposal can be demonstrated or disproven, the Korean-internal etymologies of the Han-Silla words and their cognates in Koreanic must first be established. If the hypothetical metathesis and affrication that must be proposed for such a scenario to be possible can be explained, it could be a loanword of uncertain directionality. All other direction words listed above exhibit no cognates between Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic.

Body part terms

The attested body part terms in Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic are strikingly different (see Table 4).

Table 4: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic body part terms

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic
tooth	OKog *keyr 'tooth' : OJpn *ki ~ *gi 'canine tooth'	≠ HS *ni > EMK *ni > LMK <i>ní</i> 'tooth'
heart > center	PS *kir 'center, central' : OKog *kir : OJpn 'heart' > MSJ <i>kokoro</i>	≠ HS *...m 'heart' LMK <i>m̥l̥z̥l̥m</i> 'heart; mind' LMK <i>ryəmtʰuŋ</i> ~ <i>nyəmtʰuŋ</i> 'heart (anat.)' LMK <i>kàβántály</i> 'center, central'
foot	OKog *ha : OJpn *a-	≠ EMK *par : LMK <i>pár</i>

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
arm ~ shoulder	OKog *mai ‘arm, shoulder’	≠	LMK pàrh ‘arm’ LMK əskáy ‘shoulder’
head	OKog *kan : Ojpn *ka-	≠	EMK *mati : LMK <i>mərí ~ mări</i>

Words for ‘tooth’ and ‘heart’ are usually cognate in many of the world’s demonstrated language families. For example, English *tooth*, Latin *dens*, Armenian *atam*, Ancient Greek *odoús*, Sanskrit *dát ~ dánta* and others derived from a common Indo-European word for ‘tooth’; Hungarian *fog*, Mansi *puṅk*, Finnish *pii* and others from a common Proto-Uralic word for ‘tooth’; and Akkadian *šinnu*, Arabic *sinn*, Hebrew *šén*, Ge’ez *sənn* and others derived from a common Proto-Semitic word for ‘tooth’, to name just a few examples from well-known language families.

In contrast, Puyo-Koguryoic **keyr* ‘tooth’ and early Koreanic **ni* ‘tooth’ are distinct from each other and are unrelatable. Likewise, Puyo-Koguryoic **kir* ‘heart’ and early Koreanic ‘heart’ (e.g. LMK *məzəm ~ ryəmtʰŭŋ*) exhibit no demonstrable connection.

Rocks, minerals, metals, and geological formations

Table 5: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words for rocks, minerals, and geological formations

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
mountain	AKog *yapma > OKog *haip : MSJ <i>yama</i> OKog *tar ‘mountain, high’, Puyo-Kara *ta ‘mountain; high’ < CJK *tar	≠	HS *mur : HK *pu : HP *mura ~ *mure : EMK <i>muy(hʔ)</i> : LMK <i>mũ ʔyh ~ mŭrú ~ mŭh</i> HS *...im : Old Cheju (T’amna) *urim
valley	OKog *tan ‘valley’ : Ojpn *tani ‘valley’	≠	LMK kũ ʔr ‘valley’
mountain pass ~ highland	CJK *taw ‘pass’ > OKog *taw ‘mountain pass’ : PP ‘highland’ : Ojpn *təwpu ~ *təpu ~ *təpaw ‘pass’	≠	HS *tsəyra ‘highland’ : LMK <i>tsáy</i> > MSK S. /təɛ/ ~ N. /tsɛ/ ‘ridge, mountain pass’
stone/rock, cliff, precipice	Nivkh ⇌ OKog *pahi ‘cliff, mountain, crag, precipice’	→	HS *pahi > LMK <i>pàhúy</i> > MSK <i>pawi</i> ‘rock, stone; cliff; precipice’

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
silver/white/gold	OKog *tšiar ‘silver’ : OJpn *tšira- ~ *širö- > MSJ <i>shiro</i> ~ <i>shira-</i> ‘white, silver’ : PS *sira ‘gold; Silla’	≠ →	EMK *tur : LMK <i>tur</i> > MSK /tur/ [tʉ] ‘stone’ HS *sira ‘Silla’
metal ~ iron	OKog *taw ‘iron’ ← Ch. dial.	≠	HP *ziri/*ziri : EMK *suy : LMK <i>suy</i> ‘iron, metal’
jade	OKog *ku ‘jade’	← Ch. →	LMK *úk ‘jade’
lead (metal)	OJpn *namari : OKog *namur	→	EMK *namur
		≠	LMK *náp

Among the currently decipherable and reconstructible Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic words for geological formations, only one exhibits a clear connection between Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic: Old Koguryō ***paŋiy** and Han-Silla ***paŋiy** both denote ‘rock, stone; cliff, crag, precipice’. These words are clearly related to the Nivkh word for ‘stone’ (*KLJ*). Given the political dominance of Puyō speakers after their invasion of the Korean Peninsula, the directionality must be from Puyo-Koguryoic to Koreanic. The word is retained in Modern Standard Korean as *pawi* ‘rock, stone, cliff, crag, reef’.

In the territory of Paekche, the attested word for ‘rock, stone’ is 珍惡 ***tširak** ‘stone, rock (石)’ (*SS* 36, 37). This interpretation relies on a regular Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese (KPEMC) phonetic reading of the characters 珍 ***tšir** and 惡 ***ak**, and supersedes previous *a priori* attempts to force a Koreanic reading (*pace* [Toh, 2005](#)).

As the Puyō people were located in Manchuria, neighboring the 鮮卑 ***Serbi** (*Xianbei*) and other Serbi-Mongolic speakers before their invasion of the Korean Peninsula, it is highly likely that this should be identified as a Puyō-Paekche word. I thus propose the following etymologies:

Puyō-Paekche ***tširak** ‘stone, rock’ ← ? early Serbi-Mongolic dialect ***čhɪlay** < ***čhɪla-yU** < Common Serbi-Mongolic ***čhɪla** ‘stone, rock’ > Kitan ***čala** ‘stone, rock’. (The Kitan word was discussed by György Kara ([2021](#))).

Common Serbi-Mongolic ***čhɪla** ‘stone, rock’ > pre-Proto-Mongolic ***čhɪla-yU** > Proto-Mongolic ***čhɪlayu** > MMgl *čila’u-n* [tʃɪlaɥu-n] > modern Khalkha Mongolian **чулуу** [tʃuˈluː] ~ attributive **чулуун** [tʃuˈluːn] ‘stone, rock’.

These words are clearly unrelated to Han-Silla *pahiŋ (and its reflexes Late Middle Korean *pàhúy* and MSK *pawi* ‘rock, stone; cliff; precipice’) and are likewise unrelated to Early Middle Korean *tur ~ Late Middle Korean: 돌 *tu* ~ rh ~ MSK 돌 /tur/ [tʌ] ‘stone, rock’.

The retention of the velar *k in the Paekche form indicates a borrowing from a language of the Mongolic branch of Serbi-Mongolic as it exhibits a reduced reflex of the *-ŋU suffix which is one of the morphological innovations distinguishing the Mongolic branch from the Serbi branch of the Serbi-Mongolic language family (see *LASM* 415-416, 449, 459). One may speculate a loanword scenario connected with the historically documented interaction between the 柔然 Jou-jan (*Rouran*) Avar Empire of Mongolia and the Koguryō kingdom in 479 CE. Alternatively, it could be the result of a much earlier borrowing from a Serbi-Mongolic dialect into Proto-Puyo-Koguryoic before the establishment of the Koguryō kingdom, at a time when Serbi-Mongolic and Puyo-Koguryoic speakers lived together in Manchuria. (On the linguistic homeland of Serbi-Mongolic in Manchuria and North China, see *LASM*.)

Human-made structures and inventions

The earliest unambiguous attestation of a Koreanic word for ‘writing’ is Koryō Early Middle Korean *kir ‘writing’, a well-known borrowing from Old Koguryō with a plausible historical context (*KLJ* 174). Attested words for humanmade structures and human inventions exhibit no cognates between Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic (see Table 6 below).

Table 6: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words for humanmade structures and inventions

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
fortress/city/capital ~ mound ~ place ~ land ~ plot of land	AKog * kuru > OKog *kuər ~ *kir : PP *ki ~ *ki ~ *kur : PK *kūr : PS *kir ~ *kūr PS * na : OKog *na ‘land’	≠	HK * pur : HP *puri : HS *puri ~ *pūr ‘mound, fortress, city’ HK/HP *(t) sas : HS *...tśh : LMK *tsás ‘walled city’ HS * miti : HP * meti ~ * miti : LMK <i>màt^h</i> ~ <i>màt</i> ‘plot of land’ LMK <i>stáh</i> ~ <i>stàh</i> ‘land’
ford	OKog * u ~ * uy ~ * wəy	≠	LMK <i>nàrlà</i>
well (for water)	PS * ir : OKog *ir : Ojpn *wi	≠	EMK * umur > LMK <i>ùmîr</i>
door ~ gate	PK tuk ~ * tu : Ojpn *tö	≠	LMK <i>ùráy</i>
writing	OKog * kir	→	EMK * kir

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic
drum (musical instrument)	OKog *taw : Ojpn *tutumi	≠ EMK *puk : LMK púp ~ púp^h ~ púp^h : MSK <i>puk</i>

Plant names

Table 7: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic plant names

	Puyo-Koguryoic	Early Koreanic
tree, wood, forest	OKog *kir ~ *key : Ojpn *ki : PP *ki	≠ EMK *namk > LMK nàmk ~ nàmú HP *sip : LMK súp ~ sùp^hir
bamboo	OKog *na : Ojpn *nō	≠ HK *tay : EMK *tay : LMK <i>táy</i>
vegetable ~ vine	PS *na : Ojpn *na	≠ LMK nèts^húr LMK nàm^hl^hrh
soybean	OKog *piy	≠ LMK k^hùŋ
orchid ~ bloom ~ cherry ~ flower	OKog *śayk : Ojpn *sakura ~ *tsakura Ojpn *pana ‘flower’	≠ LMK pès ~ pèt ‘cherry’ LMK p^hiy- ‘to bloom’ EMK *kur/*ku(ts[?]) : LMK <i>kùts</i> ~ <i>kùs</i> ‘flower’
garlic ~ chives	OKog *meyr : Ojpn *mira	≠ LMK màn^hl^hr
leek ~ onion	OKog *kakey : Ojpn *ki	≠ LMK p^há
chestnut	OKog *taw	≠ LMK pām
pine	OKog *kur ~ *ku	≠ LMK súr
willow ~ poplar ~ aspen	OKog *kü ~ *ki OKog *ya	≠ LMK pèt^hir Early Modern Korean sas^hl (17th c.) ~ sasiy (18 th c.) ‘aspen, white poplar’*

* MSK /**sasi**-namu/ [s^haɕinamu] ‘aspen, white poplar’ is now read in as *sashi* and folk-etymologizable as Sino-Korean 四時 ‘four seasons’, but perhaps the tree name was originally a distinct non-Chinese word.

Zoonyms

It is not uncommon in languages of the world for zoonyms to be borrowed. The currently deciphered Puyo-Koguryoic words for ‘ox’ are loanwords from Chinese and Serbi-Mongolic, and are unrelated to the earliest Koreanic words for ‘ox’.

It is well known that words for ‘horse’, ‘bear’, and certain other animal names are widespread areal words transcending language boundaries and

are thus not usable for determining language family relationships (*KLJ*). See Table 8 below.

Table 8: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic zoonyms

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
ox ~ cow ~ cattle	OKog *u ← Ch. PP *ker ← ? SM	≠	HS *śu > EMK > LMK syú > MSK /su/
pig	OKog *u	≠	EMK *tur/*tu(tʰ) ← Ch.
horse	OKog *meru	← areal →	EMK *mar/*mar
owl	OKog *tsu	≠	LMK útpámí LMK púhəŋ
vulture ~ eagle	OKog *kami	≠	LMK sùrí
bear	OKog *kum	← areal →	HP *kuma (*kù má) LMK <i>kũm</i>
fowl ~ bird ~ pheasant ~ chicken	OKog *tawr ← Ch.	≠	LMK skwəŋ (onom.) LMK săy 'bird, fowl' LMK tàrk 'chicken, hen' (← areal word)

People

Table 9: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words for people

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
human ~ person	OKog *pen : OJpn *pi-	≠	LMK núm LMK sărám ~ sărəm ~ sàrəm
man ~ boy ~ child	OKog *pai 'man' : PS *pai 'boy' OKog *ku 'child' < CJK ← SM	≠	HS *puk : HK *muk 'child, boy'
king ~ ruler ~ lord	OKog *kay ~ *key 'king' ← East Scythian	≠	HK/HP *nirim : LMK <i>nīm</i>

Water and watercourses

Table 10: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words for water and watercourses

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
water ~ river	OKog *mey : OJpn *mi	≠	HK *mur 'water' HK *ta ~ *tay : HP *nari ~ *nare : LMK <i>năyh</i> 'river' HS *kuər ~ *kur : LMK <i>kàrám</i> > MSK <i>karam</i> 'river'

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
sea ~ ocean	OKog *pa : Ojpn *pa-	?	HS *...ta ~ *...ak LMK pàtáh ~ pàrá

“If the Old Koguryo word and the root of the Middle Korean words are related, it is by convergence—Korean having borrowing *pa ‘sea’ from Koguryo and subsequently adding further derivational elements to it” (KLJ 178-179).

Supernatural concepts

Attested words for ‘spirit, soul, ghost’ exhibit no cognates across Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic (see Table 11 below).

Table 11: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic words for supernatural concepts

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
spirit ~ ghost ~ soul	PS *ti : PP *tir ~ *tsir ~ *ti : Ojpn *ti	≠	EMK *(nəkʔ)ś-i : LMK nəks (cf. MSK /kwisin/ ← Ch. 鬼神)

4.2.3 Adjectives and Adjectival Verbs

Table 12: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic adjectives and adjectival verbs

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
yellow	AKog *kweru > OKog *kuær : Ojpn *ki ~ *ku	≠	HK *tura- : LMK <i>núra-</i>
red	OKog *sapiy : Ojpn *(t)sapi ~ *(t)sabi	≠	MSK / parka- / < /parkah-/ < LMK <i>pàrk-a hɬ-</i> ~ LMK <i>pàrk-</i> ‘bright, brilliant’ (cf. Common Nivkh *bayla- ‘red’)
shallow ~ flat ~ level ~ wide ~ broad ~ vast	OKog *pirar ~ *piriar ~ OKog *piar : Ojpn *pira- ~ *pirö	≠	LMK nyèt- ‘shallow’ LMK nəp- ‘wide, broad, vast’
deep	OKog *puk : Ojpn *puka-	≠	EMK *kip- : LMK kìp-
abundant ~ flourishing ~ rich	OKog *śa : Ojpn *sa-	≠	LMK nək nək LMK mǎn hɬ- ~ màn hɬ-
cool ~ cold	OKog *śamiar < CJK *sam-	≠	LMK tsʰip- ~ tsʰip- (cf. Common Nivkh *tiv-)

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
good	OKog *mey 'good' : PP *mey 'peaceful'	≠	HK *na- 'outstanding'
long	OKog *namey , Ojpn *naga- ~ *na ^ŋ ga-	≠	HK *kir : LMK <i>kīr-</i> (cf. Common Nivkh *gəl- 'long')
happy ~ praise ~ enjoy	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *tanō- 'enjoy'	≠	HK *ki : HS *ki : LMK <i>kis-</i>

4.2.4 Verbs

Attested verbs in Puyo-Koguryoic and early Koreanic reveal no cognates (see Table 13 below). However, there may be one Serbi-Mongolic verb borrowed into Puyo-Koguryoic (details in the entry for Puyō-Paekche *ti 'gather, meet' in §6.2.2.13 below).

Table 13: Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic verbs

	Puyo-Koguryoic		Early Koreanic
overlook ~ look	OKog *ha	≠	HS *pu-
take	OKog *taw : Ojpn *təwr- < CJK *taw- 'take'	≠	LMK kàtsí- 'take' LMK pàt- 'receive' LMK ə̃t- 'acquire'
enter	OKog *i ~ *yi- : Ojpn *ir ~ *yir-	≠	LMK tīr-
encounter ~ meet	OKog *paik	≠	LMK màts-
open	OKog *tawpi	≠	LMK yə̃r-
gather ~ meet	PP *ti (← ? SM)	≠	LMK mùt-
exist	Not attested; cf. Ojpn *ar-	≠	HS *its̥- ~ *its̥- > LMK <i>is-</i>

5 Concluding remarks

As demonstrated by the grammatical morpheme comparisons in §4.1 Table 1 and by the numerous lexical comparisons in section §4.2, the Puyo-Koguryoic languages and the Koreanic languages clearly do not form a language family relationship. (See section §6 below for primary and secondary sources and for detailed etymological notes.)

The available linguistic data thus cannot support the traditional speculative attempts to connect the Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic languages as two branches of a common source node: That is, Puyo-

Koguryoic and Koreanic are unrelated and mutually distinctive language groups.

From this sample of attested Puyo-Koguryoic words and their semantic equivalents in early Koreanic, it is clear that the functional morphology and the vast majority of the lexicon of these two language groups are distinct, aside from a few loanwords from Puyō to Han.

Other loanwords include borrowings from Chinese to Puyō, Serbi-Mongolic to Puyō, and from Puyo-Koguryoic to Jurchen-Manchu. There are also a few Nivkh-Puyō and Nivkh-Koreanic lexical correspondences indicative of loanwords. The Han (Koreanic) data in this paper exhibits only one possible connection with Serbi-Mongolic but this is doubtful: Early Koreanic *mur ‘water’ resembles Common Serbi-Mongolic *murə ‘large river’ (LASM 354).

The logical conclusion is that Puyo-Koguryoic and Koreanic are two distinct, mutually exclusive language groups: That is, Puyō or Puyo-Koguryoic is a branch of the Japanese-Koguryoic language family (as demonstrated by Beckwith, *KLJ*) and Koreanic (Han) is a unique language family.

6 Attested and reconstructed Early Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic forms

Early Koreanic and Puyo-Koguryoic words and morphemes in this article are discussed in detail below with cognates, etymologies, sources, and earliest reconstructible etyma.

6.1 Early and Medieval Koreanic

This paper addresses some of the earliest linguistic data from the Koreanic language family, including Early Koreanic and Middle Korean. Common Koreanic (CKor) denotes reconstructions based on the comparative method applied to the earliest Koreanic linguistic data. Lexical entries in the sections below are organized alphabetically by their reconstructed forms. Words transcribed in the *Samguk sagi* (SS) and the *Samguk yusa* (SY) are reconstructed based on Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese (KPEMC) readings (q.v. *KLJ*; [Shimunek, 2021b](#); [Shimunek, 2021a](#); and [Shimunek, 2023](#)).

6.1.1 Early Koreanic ('Old Korean dialects')

Early Koreanic languages or 'Old Korean dialects' in this paper include Han-Silla, Han-Paekche, and Han-Kara.

6.1.1.1 Han-Silla (HS)

Han-Silla is the Koreanic language spoken Silla. The data here primarily dates to the Unified Silla period, and encompasses the earliest *hyangga* data, semantically glossed and phonetically transcribed words in the Silla toponym corpus in the *Samguk sagi* as well as data from the *Samguk yusa*.

1. HS 二脛 (SY) *...yir ~ 二尸 (SY) *...r 'two' < CKor > EMK *tuβur (KYS, NCR, SZR) : LMK *tür(h)* > MSK *tur* [tu] ~ *tu-* 'two' (Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-147).
2. HS 矣 ~ 衣 (SY) *-hiy : HP *-iy (see HP *sip 'forest' below) < CKor *-hiy ~ *-iy 'genitive-attributive suffix' > > MSK 의 /-iy/ 'genitive suffix'.
3. HS 隱 (SY) *-in : EMK *-n < CKor *-in ~ *-n 'deverbal relative clause forming suffix'.
4. HS 於叱 ~ 有叱 (SY) *its-/ *its- > LMK *is-* > MSK 있- /is-/ [it̚ ~ is̚] 'to exist' (Shimunek, 2021b, p. 146; Shimunek, 2023, p. 96 n. 74, p. 101). The kugyöl orthographic form 有叱 in the 13th century text 舊譯仁王經 *Kuyök Inwanggyöng* (Lee, 2011, p. 60) renders the same verbal root, which I reconstruct as EMK *its-/ *its- 'to exist'. On the change of final *t̚/*ts to later s, see entry for HS *-t̚ ~ *-ts 'genitive-attributive suffix' below.
5. HS 岳音 (SY) *...im 'mountain' : Old Cheju (T'amna) *urim 'mountain' < CKor *uri- 'to ascend, go up'. Cf. Common Nivkh *ul- 'high' (CND 186).
6. HS 近 (SS) *ki-r ~ 巾 (SS) *ki-r 'good, praise, commend (嘉)' < HS *ki- ~ *ki- 'to praise, commend, be good' + *-r 'relative clause forming suffix' (cf. *ki- 'praise' + *its- 'exist' > LMK *kis-* 'to rejoice, to be happy').
7. HS 骨 (SS) *kuər ~ *kur 'river (川)', perhaps cognate to LMK *kàrám* > MSK *karam* 'river', though the vocalism is problematic.
8. HS 心音 (SY) *...m 'heart' (also attested as 心未 *...m-iy with *-iy 'genitive-attributive suffix') : LMK *màzəm* 'heart' > MSK *maim* 'heart'.
9. HS 莫 *mak 'south' (erroneously glossed in SS as 北 'north', corrected to *南 'south' by Toh, 1987) : LMK *màh* 'south'.
10. HS 彌知 (SS) *miti 'vicinity of the capital, royal domain (畿)' : HP *meti ~ *miti 'city' : LMK *màth* ~ *màt* 'plot of land' < CKor *mati (perhaps *mat-i with -i 'nominative case suffix'). Cf. Jpn *miti* (MSJ *michi*) 'street, road', *mati* (MSJ *machi*) 'town' ← ? early Koreanic.

- 'Seoul' (see [Kōno, 1987, p. 83](#), and [Lee & Ramsey, 2011, pp. 47, 75](#) for similar proposals). The Han-Silla reading *sira ~ *sira 'Silla' – and specifically the form 新羅 (KPEMC *sirla), read as HS *sira – was replaced in MSK with the later, modern Sino-Korean reading 신라 [ʃɪl:a] 'Silla'.
23. HS 首 (SS) *śu 'ox, cow, cattle (牛)' > EMK *śu : LMK syú > MSK /sɯ/ 'ox' ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 96, 101](#); *KLJ* 168)
24. HS 一等 (SY) *...tɰ(N) 'one' : EMK *hatɰ ~ *harɰ (*KYS, NCR, SZR*) : LMK *hɰnəh* ~ *hɰn-* : MSK *hana* ~ *han-* 'one' ([Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-147](#), revised; Lee Seungjae 2017, p. 145, revised; [Tsuji, 2000a, 2000b](#)).
25. HS 海等 (SY) *...tɰ(N) ~ 海惡 (SY) *...ak 'ocean, sea'. Insufficient phonetic details to confirm or demonstrate a connection with LMK *pàrlɰr* ~ *pàtáh* 'ocean, sea' nor for a loanword relationship with OKog *pa 'ocean, sea' (q.v. *infra*).
26. HS dial. 豆良 (SS) *tira/*tura 'west (西)' ~ HS dial. 知 (SS) *tiy/*ti 'north (北)' : HP *ti 'north' : LMK *tŭyh* 'behind, back; north' > MSK *twi* 'back, behind'.
27. HS 叱 (SS) *-ts ~ HS northern dial. 次 (SS) *-ts 'genitive-attributive suffix' : HK *-s : HK/HP *(t)s : LMK -s (-ㅅ) 'genitive-attributive' < CKor *-ts ~ *-ts ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 94-98](#)). As noted by Ross King, 19th century Russian sources on northern dialects attest an affricate /-ts/ 'genitive-attributive suffix' corresponding to Modern Standard Korean orthographic -s 'genitive-attributive' ([King, 1991, p. 121](#); [Shimunek, 2023, p. 96](#)).
28. HS 城叱 (SY) *...ts (*tsátś) : HK/HP *(t)sas : LMK *tsás* 'walled city, fortification' ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 95-96 n. 72, p. 101](#), with revision *pace* [Kōno, 1987, p. 79](#)).
29. HS 切也 (SS) *tseyra 'highland (阜)' : LMK *tsáy* 'ridge, mountain pass, ridge' > MSK *chae* S. [tɕɛ] ~ N. [tɕɛ] 'ridge, mountain pass' < CKor *tsayră 'ridge, mountain pass'. On the KPEMC reading of the HS transcription, see [Shimunek, 2023, p. 86 n. 12](#).
30. HS 雨谷 (SS) *ukɯk/*uyɯk/*urɯk 'north (北)' : HK/HP *uk-/uk- 'above' : LMK *ùh* 'above' > MSK *wi* 'above, upper' ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97, 101](#)).

6.1.1.2 Han-Paekche (HP)

Han-Paekche is the Koreanic language spoken in the bilingual Paekche kingdom. This language, like all Han languages, is divergently related to the modern Korean language. It was spoken alongside the unrelated Puyō-Paekche language (below).

1. HP *-iy 'genitive-attributive case suffix' (see entry for HP *sip 'forest' below).
2. HP *kuma (*kù má) 'bear', attested in transmitted form in Late Middle Korean in the Paekche toponym *kù má *nà rǎ 'Bear Ford', the name of the Paekche capital Ungjin near modern-day Kongju (Gongju). See LMK *kũm* 'bear' for etymological notes.
3. HP 仇知 (SS) *kuti 'gold (金)' : LMK *kùrí* 'copper' > MSK *kuri* 'copper'.
4. HP 未知 (SS) *miti ~ 旅知 (SS) *meti 'city (邑)' < CKor *mati (perhaps */mat-i/ with *-i 'nominative case suffix') 'plot of land' > LMK 말 *màtʰ* ~ 말 *màt* 'plot of land' > MSK 마당 *madang* 'plot of land, yard', a blend of LMK 말 *màt* + Chosŏn Sino-Korean 당 (場) *tyang* 'plot of land' (cf. Jpn *miti* 'street', *mati* 'town' ← ? early Koreanic).
5. HP 毛良 (SS) *mura ~ 牟レ ~ 武例 ~ 牟礼 ~ 茂梨 (NS) *muri 'tall, high (高); mountain (山)' : HS *mur 'mountain' : HK *pʊ 'mountain' : LMK *mũyʰ* ~ *mùrú* ~ *mùh* 'mountain' > MSK 뫼 *moe* [mwe] ~ 메 *me* [me] 'mountain' (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 98-101, pace Kōno, 1987, pp. 76-77).
6. HP ナリ (NS) *nari ~ ナレ (NS) *nari 'river' : HK *ta ~ *tay 'river' : LMK *nǎyh* > MSK /nɛ/ 'river, stream' (Shimunek, 2023, p. 101).
7. HP 夫里 (SS) *puri 'soil mountain (阜); walled city, fortification (城); high ground (敞)' : HS *puri ~ *pur 'mound, fortress, city' (cf. Kōno, 1987, p. 83).
8. HP *sip 'forest' (SS, attested in the genitive case form 所非 *sip-iy '[of the] forest (林)' = *sip 'forest' + *-iy 'genitive-attributive case suffix') : LMK *súp* 'marsh overgrown with wild plants' ~ *sùpʰir* 'forest' > MSK 숲 /supʰ/ [supʰ ~ supʰ-] 'forest'.
9. HP 實於 (SS) *ziri/*ziri 'iron (鐵)' < ? CKor *ziri/*zúri > EMK *suy 'iron' > LMK *súy* 'iron, metal'.
10. HP 知 (SS) *ti 'north (北)' : HS dial. *tira/*tura 'west' ~ HS dial. *tiy 'north' : LMK *tũyh* 'back, behind; north' > MSK *twi* 'back, behind'.

6.1.1.3 Han-Kara (HK)

Han-Kara is the Koreanic language spoken in the southern kingdom of Kara (Kaya) before it was absorbed by Silla in the early years of its territorial expansion.

1. HK 吉 (SS) *kir 'long time (永)' : LMK *kĩr* 'to be long' : MSK /kir-/ [ki|- ~ kir- ~ ki-] 'long' (cf. Common Nivkh *gəl- 'long', CND). The word 吉 'long time' has previously been treated as a word from Silla territory (Lee & Ramsey, 2011, p. 52). Toh (1987) correctly identifies

this as a word from former Kara territory. It is thus a Han-Kara word.

2. HK 木 (SS) *muk ‘child, son’ : HS *puk ‘child, youth, boy’; no cognates in medieval or modern Koreanic ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 92-94](#)).
3. HK 浦 (SS) *pu ‘mountain (山)’ : HS *mur ~ HP *mura ~ *muri : LMK *mũ˘yh* ~ *mũrũ* ~ *mũh* > MSK 모 *moe* [mwe] ~ 메 *me* [me] ‘mountain’ ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 98-101](#)).
4. HK 勿 (SS) *mur ‘water (水)’ : EMK *mur/*mir : LMK *mĩr* > MSK /mur/ [mu] ~ [mbu] ‘water’ (cf. Late Kitan *mur ‘river’ < CSM *murə ‘large river’, *LASM* 354).
5. HK 內 (SS) *na- ‘outstanding (善)’ : MSK 나- *na-* ‘to excel, be outstanding’ as in MSK 잘나- *challa-* ‘to be excellent, extraordinary, remarkable’ (literally: ‘to emerge well’) < CKor *na- ‘emerge; excel, be outstanding, remarkable’.
6. HK 斯 (SS) *-s : HK/HP *(t)s : HS *-ts ~ *-ts > LMK -s ‘genitive-attributive’ < CKor *-ts ~ *-ts ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97-98](#)).
7. HK 沙 (SS) *śa ‘east (東)’ (~ dialectal ‘south’) : HS *səyra ~ *salyr ‘east’ : LMK *sáy* ‘east’ > MSK /sə-/ ‘east’ (e.g. MSK sailor’s jargon *saebaram* [səbaram] ‘east wind’) ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 90-91, 100-101](#)).
8. HK 多 (SS) *ta ~ 大 (SS) *tay ‘river (河); brook (谿)’ : HP *nari ~ *nari ‘id.’ : LMK *năyh* > MSK /nɛ/ ‘river, stream’ ([Shimunek, 2023](#)).
9. HK 推 (SS) *tay ‘bamboo (竹)’ : HS *...r ~ *...i ~ *...ri : LMK *táy* > MSK /tɛ/ ‘bamboo’.
10. HK 推 = *崔 (KLJ 15) *ts(w)əy(h) ‘three’: EMK *səy(h) > LMK *sə˘yh* > MSK *set* ~ *se-* ~ *sək-* ‘three’.
11. HK 召羅 (SS) *tura (MChi *ḡiawla) ‘yellow (黃)’ : EMK Kaesŏng dial. *naru- : LMK Hanyang dial. *nũrá-* ‘yellow’ < CKor *ⁿdura- ~ *nura- ‘yellow’.

6.1.1.4 Han-Kara or Han-Paekche (HK/HP)

Some of the early Koreanic words transcribed in kana in the *Nihon shoki* are difficult to identify as Kara or as Paekche (cf. [Kōno, 1987, p. 77](#)). The words are certainly from one of these two kingdoms, but in instances when the exact provenance between these two is unknown, I have indicated them as ‘Han-Kara or Han-Paekche’ to remain faithful to the source material.

1. HK/HP *ari- (NS アル- OJpn *aru- ~ アロ- OJpn *aro- ~ 阿留- OJpn *aru-) ‘below, lower (下)’ : LMK *àrà* ‘below’ : MSK /arɛ/ ‘below’ ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97, 100, pace Kōno, 1987, p. 77](#)).

2. HK/HP *arp- (NS アリヒ- ~ 阿利比- Ojpn *aripi-) ‘south (南)’ : LMK 앞 *àrpʰ* ‘front, south’ > MSK 앞 /apʰ/ [ap̚ ~ apʰ-] ‘front’ (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97, 100, *pace* Kōno, 1987, p. 77).
3. HK/HP *nirim (*nirím) (NS ニリム Ojpn *nirimu) ‘lord’ (cf. Kōno, 1987, p. 76): LMK *nīm* : MSK -*nim* ‘respectful person suffix’ (see etymology in entry for LMK *nīm* below).
4. HK/HP *(t)s (NS -シ Ojpn *tsi/*si) : HS *-tś ~ *-ts > LMK -s < CKor *-tś ~ *-ts ‘genitive-attributive suffix’ (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97-98).
5. HK/HP *(t)sas (NS サシ Ojpn *tsatsi/*sasi) ‘walled city, fortification’ : HS *...tśʰ ‘walled city, fortification’ : LMK *tsás* (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 95-96n72, 101, with revision).
6. HK/HP *uk-/uk- ‘upper, above part’ (NS オコ- ~ ヲコ- ~ ウヲコ- Ojpn *oko- ~ *woko- ~ *uwoko-, glossed ‘upper; highest, head, foremost; thriving’) : HS *ukuk/*uyuk/*uruk ‘north’ : LMK *ùh* > MSK *wi* ‘above’ (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97, 101).

6.1.2 Middle Korean

Middle Korean is solidly attested in two different periods: Koryŏ Early Middle Korean (EMK) and Chosŏn Late Middle Korean (LMK) (see Lee & Ramsey, 2011 for this periodization). Modern Standard Korean (MSK) forms are only cited when particularly progressive or otherwise informative.

6.1.2.1 Koryŏ Early Middle Korean (EMK)

This data is primarily attested from the early part of the Koryŏ Kingdom before it became a vassal state of the Mongol Empire. The primary sources of EMK data in this paper are sourced in the 12th-century *Jilin Leishi* (Kyerim Yusa), the *Nichūreki* (NCR), the *Sezokujiruishō* (SZR), the 1120 *Toijangga* (TJ), the mid-13th century *Hyang’yak Kugūppang* (HYKP), and kugyŏl readings in the 13th century *Kuyŏk Inwanggyŏng* (Lee, 2011). Some of the differences between attested EMK and attested LMK which cannot be explained as regular changes from one period of the language to the next may be due to geographical dialectal differences: In Koryŏ when EMK was spoken, the capital was in Kaesŏng, whereas LMK data is mostly attested from the Chosŏn capital of Hanyang (Seoul).

1. EMK *ahurp (SZR アフル ~ KYS 鴉好) : LMK *àhúp* > MSK /ahup/ [aɦup] ‘nine’. No word for ‘nine’ is attested in earlier Han (Koreanic) sources. If the final glyph 𪛗 in the Middle Japanese transcription アフル (Mjpn *aɦuru) is faithful to the original, taken together with the Chinese transcription 鴉好 (KPEMC *axu ~ MChi Pul. 354, 121

- *ʔaiχaw) it suggests EMK *ahurp ‘nine’. (Cf. Attested Middle Chinese 好 *hau* ~ *hou* Cob./Tak. 0428).
2. EMK *han/*hʌn *suy (KYS 漢歲) ‘silver (銀)’ (literally: ‘white metal’) : LMK *háy-n* ‘white’ – based on the KYS form, Nam reconstructs LMK **hʌy-n* **suy* ‘silver’ (Nam, 2024, p. 1470; I have added the asterisks to indicate that this LMK form is not attested in LMK sources – the source Nam cites is KYS, so the LMK form is thus Nam’s reconstruction).
 3. EMK *hatʌn ~ *harʌn (KYS 河屯, NCR カタナ, SZR カラナ) : LMK *hʌnʌh* : MSK *hana* ~ *han-* ‘one’ (Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-146). Considering the limitations of Middle Japanese phonotactics, the kana transcriptions カタナ (NCR) Mjpn *katana and カラナ (SZR) Mjpn *karana transcribe EMK *hatʌn ~ *harʌn ‘one’, as Middle Japanese does not have /h/, /ʌ/, nor does it have coda /n/ (Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-146).
 4. EMK *hʌn (KYS 醞) : LMK *hʌn* ‘hundred’.
 5. EMK *irkip ~ *nirkup ~ *nirkup (KYS, NCR, SZR) : LMK *nirkúp* > Early Modern Korean *nirkup* > MSK /irkup/ [ilgup] ‘seven’. As Tsuji Seiji (2000a, 2000b) has correctly demonstrated, the EMK numeral ‘seven’ is misglossed as ‘eight’ in the Middle Japanese sources. The Middle Japanese phonetic transcriptions in NCR are the most problematic and clearly involve several layers of copyist errors. I propose that チリクニ is an error for *ニリクチ, and that チ is an error for *フ. The original transcription should thus be reconstructed as *ニリクフ (Mjpn *nirikuφu), rendering EMK *nirkup ‘seven’ (though erroneously glossed as ‘eight’). The transcription タリクニ is an error for *ニリクタ in which the glyph タ is an error for *フ, rendering the same transcription as above, i.e., *ニリクフ (Mjpn *nirikuφu). These necessary revisions, though complicated, are clearly correct, as the SZR transcription ニリコフ (Mjpn *nirikoφu) for EMK *nirkup precisely matches the KYS transcription 一急 *irkip ‘seven’ and LMK *nirkup* ~ *nirkup* ‘seven’.
 6. EMK *itś/*its- (Kuyōk Inwanggyōng 有亡) ‘to exist’ (see entry for HS *itś- ‘to exist’ above).
 7. EMK *kipʰ- ‘deep’, attested in EMK *kipʰ-in (KYS 及欣) ‘deep (深)’ : LMK *kìpʰ* > MSK /kipʰ-/ ‘deep’.
 8. EMK *kir (KYS 乞) ‘letter, glyph (字); writing (書)’ > LMK *kír* ~ *kír* > MSK /kir/ [kī] ‘writing’ (see OKog *kir ‘writing’ for etymology).
 9. EMK *kur/*kʉ(s?)/*kʉ(ts?) (KYS 骨) ‘flower (花)’ : LMK *kùts* ~ *kùts* > MSK *꽃* /kʉtʰ/ [kʉtʰ ~ kʉtʰ-] ‘flower, blossoms’.

10. EMK *mar/*m̥ar ‘horse’ ← non-distinctive areal word. See LMK *m̥ar* > MSK /mar/ [ma] ‘horse’ below.
11. EMK (KYS 麻帝) *mati ‘head (頭)’ : LMK *m̥arí* ‘head’ > MSK ‘head; hair on one’s head’. The EMK word is also the etymon of the LMK sortal unit classifier *m̥arí* used for counting certain animals, and its MSK reflex *mari*, e.g., MSK /mar han-**mari**/ ‘one horse’. (On phoronyms, including classifiers, see [Beckwith, 2007b](#))
12. EMK *mur/*m̥ir (KYS 沒) ‘water (水)’ : LMK *m̥ir* ‘water’ (see HK *mur ‘water’ above).
13. EMK *m̥uy(h?) (KYS 每) ‘mountain (山)’ : LMK *m̥y̆yh* ~ *m̥ur̥ú* ~ *m̥uh* > MSK 모 *moe* [mwe] ~ 메 *me* [me] ‘mountain’ ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 99](#)).
14. EMK */namk-i/ (KYS 南記) ‘tree (木)’ = */namk/ ‘tree’ with /-i/ ‘nominative case suffix’ > LMK *n̥amk* ~ *n̥am̥ú* > MSK *namu* ‘tree, wood’.
15. EMK *namur (HYKP 那勿) ‘lead (metal)’ ← OKog *namur ‘lead (metal)’ (KLJ 175; [Lee, 1964, p. 17](#)). Replaced in LMK with the unrelated word *náp* (see below).
16. EMK *nar̥u-n (KYS 那論) ‘yellow (黃)’ (see entry for HK *tura- ‘yellow’ above).
17. EMK *nar̥uniy (KYS 那論義) ‘gold (金)’. The root is EMK *nar̥u- ‘yellow’ (see HK *tura- ‘yellow’ above).
18. EMK (TJ 魂是) *(nəkʔ)ś-i, inflected form of */(nəkʔ)s/ ‘soul’ with */-i/ ‘nominative case suffix’ : LMK 𐏃 *n̥əks* > MSK 𐏃 /n̥əks/ [nək]# ~ [nəks-] ~ [nək̥-] ‘soul, spirit, ghost’.
19. EMK *nəyh ~ *n̥dəyh (KYS 迺 ~ NCR ト𐏃 Mjpn *towi ~ トヒ Mjpn *toϕi ~ SZR トイ Mjpn *toi) : LMK *n̥əy̆yh* ‘four’ ([Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 142-143](#)). See HS *...ri ‘four’ above for etymology. As with EMK *səyh ‘three’ below, Middle Japanese *ϕ in the NCR and SZR transcriptions of Early Middle Korean are attempts to render EMK coda *h*# positionally limited by Middle Japanese phonotactics.
20. EMK *ni (KYS 你) ‘tooth (齒)’ > LMK *ní* > MSK /i/ ‘tooth’ (see HS *nit̥skim above).
21. EMK *par (KYS 潑) ‘foot (足)’ : LMK *pár* ‘foot’.
22. EMK *p̥u- (KYS 鋪) ‘look’ (attested in the sense of 讀 ‘read’) < HS *p̥u ‘look’ above.
23. EMK *puk (KYS 濮) ‘drum (鼓)’ : LMK *púp* ~ *púpʰ* ~ *pùpʰ* > MSK *puk* ‘drum’.
24. EMK *səyh (KYS 洒) > LMK *səy̆yh* > MSK *set* ~ *se-* ~ *sək-* ‘three’. Middle Japanese transcriptions of EMK: NCR サ𐏃 Mjpn *sawi ~ ソヒ Mjpn *soϕi and SZR ソイ Mjpn *soi ‘three’. Tsuji Seiji ([2000a, 2000b](#))

- correctly demonstrates that the semantic glosses for ‘three’ and ‘four’ were flipped in *NCR*. The Middle Japanese (MJpn) segment * ϕ is an attempt to render the final * h of the Korean form. Thus, in my analysis, サ ϕ (MJpn *sa ϕ i) and ソ ϕ (MJpn *so ϕ i) are both attempts to render EMK *səy h ‘three’. Middle Japanese phonotactics do not allow coda $h\#$. Likewise, MJpn * o could render Korean o , u , or \emptyset .
25. EMK *suy (KYS 歲) ‘iron (鐵)’ (see HP *ziri/*ziri ‘iron’).
 26. EMK *śu (KYS 燒) ‘ox, cow, cattle (牛)’ (see HS *śu ‘ox’ above).
 27. EMK *tasis ~ *tasas : LMK tāsās ‘five’. The EMK forms are attested as follows: 打戍 (KYS), ハス、 ~ ハソ、 ~ サソ、 (NCR), and タ、ス ~ タス ソ (SZR). In my analysis, ハ (MJpn * ϕ a) is a scribal error for the premodern kana 太 (MJpn *ta). Thus, the transcription ハス、 should be revised to *太ス、 (MJpn *tasusu) and ハソ、 should be revised to *太ソ、 (MJpn *tasoso). The form サソ、 is a copyist’s error for *太ソ、 (MJpn *tasoso). The form タ、ス is likewise an error. As Tsuji Seiji (2000a, 2000b) has correctly demonstrated, the *NCR* semantic glosses are flipped: The numeral for five is labeled as ‘six’, and the numeral for six is glossed as ‘five’.
 28. EMK *tay (KYS 帶) ‘bamboo (竹)’ : LMK táy > MSK /tɛ/ ‘bamboo’. See EMK *tay above and HS *...r ‘bamboo’ above for etymology.
 29. EMK *tuβur ~ *tuβu(r) ~ *tuβur (KYS 途亭, NCR, SZR) : LMK tūr(h) > MSK tur ~ tu- ‘two’ (Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-147). Middle Japanese kana transcriptions of EMK: ツフリ ~ トフ (NCR) and ツホル ~ ツフル (SZR) (cited from Tsuji 2000a, 2000b), which I read as MJpn *tu ϕ uri ~ *to ϕ u ~ tu ϕ oru ~ tu ϕ uru.
 30. EMK *tur (KYS 突) ‘stone (石)’ : LMK tū \sim rh ‘stone’ > MSK tor [tʊ] ‘stone’.
 31. EMK *tur/*tʊ(t?) (KYS 突) ‘pig (豬)’. See LMK tūt ~ tūt h ‘pig’ below.
 32. EMK *umur (KYS 烏沒) ‘well (井)’ > LMK ùmîr (cf. LMK úmh ‘cellar, hole’ + mîr ‘water’) > MSK umur [umu] ‘well’.
 33. EMK *yər(h?) (KYS 噎 ~ SZR エル) : LMK yárh ‘ten’. It is generally agreed that the *NCR* transcriptions of EMK ‘ten’ are erroneous; as such, I have not cited them here.
 34. EMK *yəsis ~ *yəsas : LMK yəśís ‘six’. The EMK forms are attested as follows: 逸戍 (KYS), エス、 MJpn *yesusu ~ エソ、 MJpn *yesoso (NCR), and エス、 MJpn *yesusu ~ エスソ MJpn *yesuso (SZR). The Japanese glyph 工 is pronounced e in Modern Standard Japanese, but in Middle Japanese it was pronounced * ye (IPA */je/), and could thus transcribe Middle Korean * $yə$ or * \emptyset . Given the Late Middle Korean and Modern Korean forms, the Japanese glyph 工 (MJpn * ye) here is clearly a transcription of EMK */yə/.

35. EMK *yətɹp (NCR エタリ Mjpn *yetari ~ SZR エトロフ Mjpn *yetoroφu ~ KYS 逸答) : LMK yətɹp ‘eight’. The KYS transcription 逸答, read *yærtVp, is undoubtedly an attempted phonetic transcription of EMK *yətVrp limited by the phonotactic constraints of Chinese, which at this point in time did not (and even today still does not) allow consonant clusters.

6.1.2.2 Chosŏn Late Middle Korean (LMK)

Late Middle Korean is the language of the earliest Hangŭl texts of the 15th to early 16th centuries. It is important to distinguish these earlier Hangŭl texts from the later, Early Modern Korean texts. Note that the Late Middle Korean and Modern Standard Korean vowel ㅏ (rendered as “o” in most conventional romanization systems) is phonemically treated as /ʊ/ in this paper. Likewise, Late Middle Korean and Modern Standard Korean Hangŭl ㅓ represents the phonemic /r/. Unless otherwise indicated, the Late Middle Korean words below are my phonemic representations of early Hangul orthographic forms in Nam, *Koŏ sajŏn* 古語辭典 (2024) and Hangŭl Hakhoe, *Uri mal k’ŭn sajŏn* 우리 말 큰 사전 (1992).

1. LMK àhúp > MSK /aɦup/ ‘nine’ (see EMK *ahurp ‘nine’ above).
2. LMK àrà ‘below’ < CKor > HS *ara : HK/HP *ari- ‘below’ (Shimunek, 2023, p. 97).
3. LMK àrph (see entry for HK/HP *arp above).
4. LMK èskáy ‘shoulder’ > MSK /əkɛ/ ‘shoulder’.
5. LMK ə̃t- > MSK /ət-/ ‘get, have, obtain, acquire, etc.’
6. LMK hɹnàh ~ hɹn- : MSK hana ~ han- ‘one’ (See EMK *hatɹn ~ *harɹn ‘one’ above).
7. LMK hún ‘hundred’ (replaced in MSK with Sino-Korean 百 (백) paek [pɛk] ‘hundred’).
8. LMK ɹs- ‘to exist’ (see HS *itś- ~ *itś- above for etymology).
9. LMK kàβántáy > MSK /kaunte/ [kaunde] ‘center, central’.
10. LMK kàtsí- ‘to take, bring’. Superficially similar to Manchu gajĩ- ‘bring’, but perhaps unrelated, cf. Manchu gai- ‘take’ and Manchu jĩ- ‘come’.
11. LMK k’òŋ ‘soybean’ > MSK ‘bean’ (in general).
12. LMK kiph- > MSK /kipʰ-/ ‘deep’.
13. LMK kír- ‘to be long’ : MSK kir- [ki| ~ kir- ~ ki-] ‘long’. See HK *kir ‘long time’ above for etymology.
14. LMK kìs- ‘to rejoice, to be happy’ < HS *ki- ‘to praise, commend, be good’ + *itś- ‘exist’ (see HS *ki-r and HS *itś- above). Cf. MSK 기쁘-

- kippŭ-* /kipi-/ 'to be happy' < LMK *kis-pŭ-* < LMK *kis-* 'to be happy, rejoice'.
15. LMK *kŭr* ~ *kŭr* > MSK /kir/ [ki] 'writing' as in /han kir/ [hangŭi] 'Hangŭl' (see EMK *kir 'writing' above for etymology).
 16. LMK *kŭrŭm* > MSK *karam* 'river'. (See HS *kur 'river' above.)
 17. LMK *kŭm* 'bear' ← widespread areal word with comparanda in Chinese, Tibeto-Burman, Koreanic, and Japanese- Koguryoic (*KL* 152-153). See HP *kuma (*kŭmá) 'bear' above.
 18. LMK *kŭr* 'valley' > MSK 골짜기 'valley, vale, canyon, ravine, gorge'.
 19. LMK *kŭts* ~ *kŭs* > MSK /kŭtəh/ [kŭtʰ ~ kŭtəh-i] 'flower, blossoms' (see EMK *kur/*ku(s?)/*ku(ts?) above).
 20. LMK *màh* 'south' (see HP *mak 'south' above). Replaced in MSK with Sino-Korean 南 *nam* 'south' but retained in sailor's jargon as 마파람 [mapʰaram] 'south wind' from */mah-param/ 'south-wind'.
 21. LMK *mǎn hál* - ~ *màn hál* - 'to be abundant, plentiful, many' (← Ch. 萬 'ten thousand; plentiful' + LMK *hál*-) > MSK 많- *manh-* 'to be plentiful, abundant'.
 22. LMK *mànŭr* 'garlic' (compare HS 蒜尸 *...r 'garlic').
 23. LMK *màrí* sortal unit classifier for counting certain animals (see EMK *mati 'head' above).
 24. LMK *màts-* 'meet, receive, greet, encounter, etc.', the root of MSK 맞- /matə-/ 'match, correct, agree' etc. and MSK 만나- /manna-/ 'to meet, encounter' etc.
 25. LMK *màrí* 'head' (see EMK *mati 'head' above).
 26. LMK *mār* > MSK /mar/ [ma] 'horse' (see EMK *mar/*mār 'horse' above for this non-distinctive areal word).
 27. LMK *màth* ~ *màt* < CKor *mati (perhaps */mat-i/ with *-i 'nominative case suffix') > HP *meti ~ *miti (SS) : HS *miti (SS) (cf. Jpn *miti* 'street', *mati* 'town' ← ? early Koreanic).
 28. LMK *mŭr* 'water' (see HK *mur 'water' above).
 29. LMK *mŭt-* > MSK /mŭi-/ 'gather'.
 30. LMK *mŭyh* ~ *mŭrŭ* ~ *mŭh* 'mountain' > MSK 뽕 *mwe* ~ 뽕 *me-* 'mountain'.
 31. LMK *mλzλm* 'heart' (> MSK *maim* 'heart') < CKor (see HS 心音 *...m 'heart' above).
 32. LMK *nàmk* ~ *nàmŭ* 'tree, wood' (see EMK *namk above) > MSK *namu* 'tree, wood'.
 33. LMK *náp* 'lead (metal)' > MSK *nap* 'lead'. The LMK and MSK words are unrelated to EMK *namur (see above).
 34. LMK *nək nək* > MSK /nək nək/ [nəŋnək] 'plenty, wealthy, well-off, sufficient, rich, abundant'.

35. LMK *nəks* > MSK /nəks/ [nəkʃ]# ~ [nəks-] ~ [nəkə-] 'soul, spirit, ghost'.
36. LMK *nəp-* > MSK /nərp-/ 'wide, broad, vast'.
37. LMK *nətsʰúr* 'vine' > MSK /nəntəʰur/ [nəntəʰu] 'vine'.
38. LMK *nəʔyh* ~ *nə-* ~ *nəʔk-* > MSK *net* ~ *ne-* ~ *nək-* 'four'. See HS *...ri 'four' above for etymology.
39. LMK *ní* > MSK *i* 'tooth' (cf. HS *niskim 'tooth' above).
40. LMK *nīm* 'lord, monarch, ruler' < CKor *nirim (*nirím) 'lord' > HK/HP *nirim (*nirím?) 'lord' (NS). The Modern Standard Korean reflex -*nim* is a suffix added to titles and personal names to express respect. The older meaning of 'lord' is retained in MSK /imkim/ [imgim] 'lord, monarch, ruler' < LMK *nīm-kīm* ~ *nīm-kúm* < LMK *nīm* 'lord'.
41. LMK *nirkúp* > Early Modern Korean *nirkup* > MSK /irkup/ [ilɣup] 'seven'.
42. LMK *nəmλrh* 'herbs, wild vegetables, sprouts, greens' > MSK /namur/ [namu] 'id.'
43. LMK *nλrλ* 'ford' > MSK *naru* and its bound post-lateral variant *-laru* 'ford' (e.g., Jamsillaru Station on the Seoul Metropolitan Subway).
44. LMK *núm* 'person, human' > MSK /num/ 'guy, blighter, bloke, bastard, jerk' (the modern word is mostly pejorative). Perhaps related to LMK *nám* ~ *nəm* > MSK *nam* 'other person, stranger'.
45. LMK *núra-* 'yellow' (see entry for HK *tura- 'yellow' above).
46. LMK *nyəmtʰùŋ* (see LMK *ryəmtʰùŋ* below).
47. LMK *nyətʰ-* > MSK /yətʰ-/ ~ /yatʰ-/ 'shallow'.
48. LMK *pʰá* 'onion, green onion, scallion, spring onion, leek'.
49. LMK *pàhúy* > MSK *pawi* 'rock; crag; reef' (see OKog and HS *paŋiy above for etymology).
50. LMK *pām* 'chestnut'.
51. LMK *pár* 'foot' < EMK *par 'foot'.
52. MSK 빨가- /parka-/ [paŋga-] 'to be red' < 발강- /parkah-/ 'red' < LMK *pλrk-λ hλ-* ~ LMK *pλrk-* > /park-/ 'to be bright, brilliant' (cf. Common Nivkh *baŋla- 'red' CND 189, *pace* Kang, 1983, p. 123).
53. LMK *pàrír* ~ *pàtáh* > MSK *pada* 'ocean, sea'.
54. LMK *pàt-* > MSK /pat-/ 'get, have, receive, take, obtain, be given, etc.'
55. LMK *pəs* ~ *pət* 'cherry (blossom)' > MSK 벚 (orthographically *pec*, phonemically /pət/), e.g., MSK 벚꽃 'cherry blossom'.
56. LMK *pətʰr* 'willow'.
57. LMK *pʰiy-* > MSK *pʰi-* 'to bloom, blossom'.
58. LMK *pυ̣̣-* ~ *pύ-* ~ *pύ-* 'to look' (see HS *pύ- above for etymology).
59. LMK *pλrh* 'arm' > MSK /pʰar/ [pʰa] 'arm'.
60. LMK *púhəŋ* > MSK /puəŋi/ 'tufted owl'.

61. LMK *púp* ~ *púpʰ* ~ *pùpʰ* 'drum' (see EMK **puk* 'drum' above).
62. LMK *ryə̀mthùŋ* ~ *nyə̀mthùŋ* 'heart (bodily organ)'. This word has no currently identified etymology. It may be an onomatopoeic word, imitating the sound of a beating heart.
63. LMK *sărām* ~ *sărλm* ~ *sàrλm* 'person, human' > MSK *saram* 'human, person'.
64. LMK *səy* 'bird, fowl' > MSK /sɛ/ 'bird'.
65. LMK *sə`yh* ~ *sə-* ~ *sə`k-* > MSK *set* ~ *se-* ~ *sək-* 'three'.
66. LMK *sáy* 'east' < CKor > HS **səyra* ~ HS dial. **sey* 'south' : HK **śa* 'east' (Shimunek, 2023). Though retained in sailor's jargon, this word has been largely replaced in MSK with Sino-Korean 東 *tong* 'east'.
67. LMK *skwəŋ* 'pheasant' (onomatopoeic in origin) > MSK *꿩* [kʷəŋ] 'pheasant'.
68. LMK *stáh* ~ *stàh* 'land' > MSK *땅* [t̚aŋ] 'land'.
69. LMK *súr* 'pine' > MSK /sʊr/ [sʊ] ~ sʊ- 'pine'.
70. LMK *sùrí* 'vulture, eagle' > MSK /tʉksuri/ 'vulture, eagle' with the added Sino-Korean element 禿 /tʉk/ 'bald'.
71. LMK *súy* 'iron, metal' (see HP **ziri*/**ziri* 'iron' above).
72. LMK *syə`βir* ~ *syə`hùr* ~ *syə`húr* ~ *syə`hùrh* ~ *syə`hùrh* 'capital city' < HS **sira* ~ **sira* ~ **sirʉ* 'Silla' (← PS **sira* ~ **sira* ~ **sirʉ* 'gold; Silla') + HS **pʉr* 'city'.
73. LMK *syú* (see HS 首 **śu* 'ox' above).
74. LMK *tàsás* ~ *tày-* > MSK *tasət* 'five'.
75. LMK *táy* > MSK *tae* [tɛ] 'bamboo'. See EMK **tay* above and HS *...r 'bamboo' above for etymology.
76. LMK *tír-* > MSK /tir-/ [ti] ~ tir- ~ ti- 'enter'.
77. LMK *tsás* < CKor **tsátś* > HS *...tśʰ : HK/HP *(t)sas 'walled city, fortification' (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 95-96n72, 101, with revision).
78. LMK *tsáy* 'ridge, mountain pass' > MSK S. [tɛɛ] ~ N. [tɛɛ] 'ridge, mountain pass' (see HS **tsəyra* above for etymology).
79. LMK *tšimìn* 'thousand' (replaced in MSK with Sino-Korean 千 (천) *ch'ŏn* [tɕʰən] 'thousand').
80. LMK *tshíp-* ~ *tshíβ-* > MSK /tɕʰup- ~ tɕʰuw-/ 'cold' (cf. Common Nivkh **tiv-* 'cold' CND 183, *pace* Kang, 1983, p. 110).
81. LMK *tűr(h)* ~ *tű-* > MSK *tur* ~ *tu-* 'two' (Shimunek, 2021b, pp. 145-147).
82. LMK *tűyh* 'behind; north' < CKor > HP **ti* 'north' : HS dial. **tira*/**tura* 'west' ~ HS dial. **tiy* 'north'.
83. LMK *tʉ`rh* 'stone' > MSK /tʉr/ [tʉ] 'stone' (see EMK **tʉr* 'stone' above).

84. LMK *tùt* ~ *tùtʰ* ← LOC dial. 豚 (Shimunek, 2021b, p. 138). MSK *twɛdʒi* < *tuyadʒi* < *tudadʒi* < LMK *tùt* ‘pig’ + - *atsi* ‘diminutive suffix denoting the young of certain domesticated animals’. Cf. EMK **tur*/**tʉ*(t?) ‘pig’.
85. LMK *tàrk* ‘chicken, hen’ is an areal word with comparanda in Serbi-Mongolic, Turkic, Manchu-Tungusic, Hungarian, and other languages (LASM 372).
86. LMK *ùh* ‘above’ < CKor > HS **ukuk*/**uyuk*/**uruk* ‘north’: HK/HP **uk*-/**uk*- ‘above, top’ (Shimunek, 2023, pp. 97, 101).
87. LMK *úk* ‘jade’ ← 玉 Ch. ‘jade’.
88. LMK *ùmîr* ‘well’ (cf. LMK *úm̐h* ‘cellar, hole’ + *mîr* ‘water’ < EMK **umur* ‘well’, KYS) > MSK /*umur*/ [umu] ‘well’.
89. LMK *ùráy* ‘door, gate’, replaced in MSK by Sino-Korean Replaced in MSK by Sino-Korean 門 *mun* ‘door, gate’.
90. LMK *útpámí* > MSK /*urpɛmi*/ [ʊɮpɛmi] ‘owl (without tufts)’.
91. LMK *yə̌r* > MSK /*yər*-/ [jəl- ~ jər- ~ jə-] ‘to open’.
92. LMK *yérh* > MSK /*yər*/ [jəl] ‘ten’.
93. LMK *yəsís* ~ *yəy*- ~ *yəs*- > MSK /*yəsət*/ ‘six’.
94. LMK *yət̚irp* > MSK 여덟 /*yətər*/ [jədəl] ‘eight’. The final /p/ of the LMK form was lost in MSK at the phonemic level, though it is retained in the orthography. According to Lee and Ramsey, 2011, p. 160, the /p/ phoneme is retained in Cheju [jɒɮɒp] ‘eight’.

6.1.2.3 Old Cheju (T’amna)

Old Cheju or Han-T’amna is minimally attested in the 17th century *T’amnaji* (TNJ). The data in that source indicate a language belonging to the Koreanic language family.

1. Old Cheju (T’amna) 兀音 (TNJ) **urim* ‘mountain (岳)’ : HS 岳音 (SY) *...im ‘mountain’ < CKor **uri*- ‘to move up, ascend, rise’.

6.2 Puyō (Puyo-Koguryoic)

The Puyō (Puyo-Koguryoic) languages are distantly related to Japanese, forming a distinct branch of the Japanese-Koguryoic language family (KLJ). These languages were spoken by the Puyō people of Manchuria, who invaded the 三韓 Three Han states of the early Korean Peninsula and formed the powerful kingdoms of Koguryō and Paekche. Puyō people also seem to have been instrumental in the early politics of Silla (KLJ).

Comparative reconstructions based on more than one Puyō dialect are ‘Common Puyo-Koguryoic’ (CPK). Reconstructions based on Puyō and Japanese data are termed ‘Common Japanese-Koguryoic’ (CJK).

6.2.1 Koguryō

Koguryō is the language of Koguryō, the largest kingdom in Korea’s history, which included all of today’s Korean Peninsula, extending north into Manchuria and including parts of modern China and Russia. Unless otherwise noted, all Archaic Koguryō (AKog), Old Koguryō (OKog), Archaic Japanese (Ajpñ), and Old Japanese (Ojpn) cognates cited below are from *KLJ*. As the Koguryō lexica in §6.2.1.1 and §6.2.1.2 below have been studied in detail by Beckwith (*KLJ*), I have not reproduced the original Chinese character transcriptions.

6.2.1.1 Archaic Koguryō (AKog)

Archaic Koguryō is the earliest attested stage of the Koguryō language, documented in Late Old Chinese transcriptions of the ca. 3rd century CE (*KLJ*; Shimunek, 2021b, p. 153).

1. AKog *ɣapma > OKog *ɦaip : MSJ *yama* < CJK *ɣapma ‘mountain’ (*KLJ*).
2. AKog *kor ‘front’ ~ AKog *kör ‘right (side)’ : ? MSJ *ko* ‘this, previous’ < Ojpn *kō < Pjpn *koi (*KLJ*). See entry for PP *kuru ‘south’ below for cognate and CPK etymology.
3. AKog *kuru > OKog *kuər ‘walled city, fort’ : ? Ojpn *kura ‘storehouse’ < CJK *kuru ‘walled city, fort, embankment’ (*KLJ*), and probably also denoting ‘moat’. Additional reflexes of the CJK form include PK *kür (*NS*, Shimunek, 2023, p. 95 n72) and PS *kir ~ *kür (*SS*, see below). See additional comparanda in the entry for PP *ki ~ *ki ‘walled city, fortification’ below.
4. AKog *kweru > OKog *kuər ‘yellow’ : Ojpn *ki ~ *ku (> MSJ *ki* ‘yellow’ in *kiiro*) < CJK *kuer(u) ‘yellow’ (*KLJ*).
5. AKog *mey ‘good’ (see OKog *mey ‘excellent, good’ below for etymology and cognates).
6. AKog *na : Ajpn *nâ > Ojpn *nō < CJK *na ‘genitive-attributive’ (*KLJ* 118-119, 238, 250, 251).
7. AKog *tsiar ~ *ts^wiar ‘back, behind’ > OKog *tsiri ‘north’ : Ojpn *tsiri ~ *siri ‘back, behind; rump, buttocks’ < CJK *tsiri ‘back, behind’ (*KLJ*).

6.2.1.2 Old Koguryō (OKog)

Old Koguryō is the language of the *Samguk sagi* Koguryō toponym corpus (KLJ; Shimunek, 2021b).

1. OKog *ħa ‘overlook’ (KLJ) : PP *ħa ‘riverbank, shore’ < CPK *ħa ‘overlook’. No identified Japanese cognates.
2. OKog *ħa : OJpn *a- ‘foot’ < CJK (KLJ).
3. OKog *ħaip ‘mountain’ (see AKog *ȝapma ‘mountain’ above for etymology).
4. OKog *ħaip ‘west’ : OJpn *yami ‘darkness, evening’ (KLJ).
5. OKog *i ~ *yi- ‘enter’ : OJpn *ir ~ *yir- ‘enter’ < CJK *i ‘enter’ (KLJ).
6. OKog *ir ‘spring, source; well’ : OJpn *wi ‘well’ < CJK *wir ‘spring, well’ (KLJ 142 and Beckwith, 2006, p. 225). Also cognate to PS *ir ‘well’ (see below).
7. OKog *kakey ‘leek blossom’ : OJpn *ka ‘scent’ + OJpn *ki ‘onion’ (KLJ).
8. OKog *kami ‘vulture’ (KLJ).
9. OKog *kan : OJpn *ka- > OJpn *kabu ‘head’ ~ *kapo ‘face’ (KLJ).
10. OKog *kati ‘east’ : OJpn *kəti ~ *koti ‘east wind’ ~ *pimukatši ‘east’ > MSJ *higashi* ‘east’ < CJK *kati ‘east’ (KLJ and Beckwith, 2006, pp. 207-208).
11. OKog *kay ~ *key ‘king’ : PP *key ‘king’ : OJpn *kimi ‘ruler, lord’ < CJK *kay ~ *key ‘ruler, monarch’ (KLJ) ← East Scythian *kay ~ *key < 𐰽𐰺𐰍𐰏 ‘king’ (Christopher I. Beckwith, p.c., 2024).
12. OKog *keyr ‘tooth’ : OJpn *ki ~ *gi ‘canine tooth’ > MSJ *ki-* as in *kiba* ‘animal tooth’ (< OJpn *ki ‘canine tooth’ + *pa ‘tooth’) (KLJ).
13. OKog *kir ‘center’ : < CJK *kiri ‘heart; center’ > OJpn ‘heart’ > MSJ *kokoro* ‘heart’ (KLJ). Also cognate to PS *kir ‘center, central’ (q.v. infra).
14. OKog *kir ‘mound; ruins of a city’ (KLJ) : OKog *kuər ‘walled city, fort’ below.
15. OKog *kir ‘tree, wood’ : OJpn *ki ~ *ki ‘tree’ < CJK *kir ‘tree, wood’ (KLJ).
16. OKog *kir ‘writing’ → EMK *kir (KLJ 174) > LMK *k̄ir* ~ *k̄ir* > MSK /kir/ [k̄i] ‘writing, glyph’.
17. OKog *ku ‘child’ : OJpn *ku (> MSJ *ko*) < CJK *ku ‘child’ (KLJ) ← Serbi-Mongolic > MKit *ku (LASM).
18. OKog *ku ‘jade’ ← Ch. (KLJ).
19. OKog *kuər < AKog *kweru ‘yellow’ : OJpn *ki ~ *ku > MSJ *ki-* ‘yellow’ in *kiro* (KLJ).
20. OKog *kuər ‘walled city, fort’ (see AKog *kuru for etymology).

21. OKog *kum 'bear' : Ojpn *kuma < CJK *kuma ← widespread areal word with comparanda in Chinese, Tibeto-Burman, Korean, and Japanese- Koguryoic (*KLJ* 152-153).
22. OKog *kur ~ *ku 'pine' < CJK *kir 'tree, wood' (*KLJ*).
23. OKog *kü ~ *ki 'poplar, willow' < CJK *kir 'tree, wood' (*KLJ*).
24. OKog *mai 'arm, shoulder' (*KLJ*).
25. OKog *meru 'colt' ← areal word, cf. English *mare* (*KLJ* 1 45-146).
26. OKog *mey 'water, river' : Ojpn *mi 'water' > MSJ *mizu* 'water' (*KLJ*).
27. OKog *mey 'excellent, good' < AKog *mey 'good' : Ojpn *mi 'exalted, honored' < 'excellent' < CJK *mey 'excellent, good' (*KLJ*).
Also cognate to PP *mey 'peaceful, pacified'.
28. OKog *meyr 'garlic' : Ojpn *mira 'leek, Chinese chives, fragrant-flowered garlic' < CJK *meyra 'allium' (*KLJ*).
29. OKog *mir 'three' : Ojpn *mi 'three' < CJK *mir 'three' (*KLJ*).
30. OKog *na 'bamboo' : Ojpn *nö > MSJ *-no* in compounds (*KLJ*).
31. OKog *na 'land, province, prefecture' : pre-Ojpn *na- 'earth' > Ojpn *nawi 'earthquake' (*KLJ*) : PS *na 'area in the vicinity of the capital'.
Superficially an exact match to Manchu-Tungusic *na* 'land', possibly suggesting a Puyo-Koguryoic loanword in Manchu-Tungusic. For other identified Puyo-Koguryoic loanwords in Manchu-Tungusic, specifically in Jurchen-Manchu, see Shimunek, (2021a); Beckwith (2014); and Beckwith (2017).
32. OKog *namey 'long' : Ojpn *naga ~ *na^ŋga < CJK *na- 'long' (*KLJ*).
33. OKog *namur : Ojpn *namari (*KLJ* 133) < CJK *namVr- 'lead (metal)'.
OKog *namur → EMK *namur 'lead (metal)' (*KLJ* 175; Lee, 1964, p. 17; pace Lee and Ramsey, 2011, p. 96, who read "namol").
Unrelated to LMK *náp* 'lead (metal)'.
34. OKog *nan 'seven' : Ojpn *nana 'seven' < CJK *nan 'seven' (*KLJ*).
Superficially similar but probably unrelated to Manchu-Tungusic *nadan* 'seven' (*KLJ* 180-181).
35. OKog *pa 'sea' : Ojpn *pa- < CJK *pa 'sea' (*KLJ* 134, 178-179).
Superficially resembles the first syllable of LMK *pàrír* ~ *pàtáh* 'ocean, sea', but a connection, if any, remains to be demonstrated or disproven.
36. OKog *pañiy 'cliff, mountain, crag, precipice' (*KLJ*) ⇄ ? pre-Nivkh > Common Nivkh *bar 'stone'. (See HS *pañiy above).
37. OKog *pai 'man' (*KLJ*) : PS *pai 'boy, youth' (SY) (Shimunek, 2023, p. 93n55, 102) < CPK *pai 'male human'.
38. OKog *paik 'to encounter, meet' (*KLJ* 134, 182).
39. OKog *pen 'human, person' : Ojpn *pi- 'human, person' (*KLJ* xii; Kiyose, 2004, p. 237).

40. OKog *pirar ~ *piriar 'shallow' ~ OKog *piar 'level, flat' : OJpn *pira- 'level, flat' ~ *pirö 'wide, broad, vast' (KLJ).
41. OKog *piy 'soybean' (KLJ). Previously unidentified cognate in OJpn *pi (*pi?) 'soybean', via internal reconstruction methods applied to MSJ *hishio* 'fermented soybean paste' < OJpn *pi(t)sipo < *pi 'soy' + *(t)sipo 'salt'.
42. OKog *puk 'deep' : OJpn *puka- 'deep' < CJK *puk 'deep' (KLJ).
43. OKog *ša 'abundant, flourishing, luxuriant, rich' : OJpn *sa- in OJpn *sati 'fortune, fortunate', *sapa 'much, abundant', *saki 'fortune, fortunate, properous, prosperity', and *sakay- 'flourishing, glory, splendor, abundant, prosperous' (KLJ).
44. OKog *šamiar 'cool' : OJpn *samu- ~ *tsamu- 'cool, cold' < CJK *sam- 'cool' (KLJ).
45. OKog *šapiy 'red' : OJpn *(t)sapi ~ *(t)sabi 'rust, to rust; red' < CJK *sapiy 'red' (KLJ).
46. OKog *šayk 'orchid' : OJpn *sakura ~ *tsakura 'cherry (blossom)' < CJK *sak- 'bloom'.
47. OKog *si ~ *ši 'adjective-attributive suffix' : OJpn *-si- < CJK *si ~ *ši 'adjective-attributive' (KLJ 119, 251; Shimunek, 2023, p. 98 n. 88).
48. OKog *tan 'valley' : OJpn *tani 'valley' (KLJ).
49. OKog *tar 'mountain, high' < CJK *tar 'high, tall; mountain' > OJpn *take- 'high mountain, mountain peak' ~ OJpn *taka- 'high' (KLJ) : PK *ta 'mountain; high' (Shimunek, 2023, p. 102).
50. OKog *taw 'iron' ← ? 鐵 Ch. dial. (KLJ).
51. OKog *taw 'take' : OJpn *təwr- ~ *təwri- 'take' < CJK *taw- 'to take' (KLJ).
52. OKog *taw 'chestnut' : OJpn *tuti 'horse-chestnut' < CJK *taw 'chestnut, horse-chestnut' (KLJ).
53. OKog *taw 'drum' : OJpn *tutumi 'drum' < CJK *taw 'drum' (KLJ).
54. OKog *taw 'mountain pass' : OJpn *təwpu- ~ *təpu- ~ *təpaw- > MSJ *tō*- 'to pass through' < CJK *taw- 'pass' (KLJ) : PP *taw 'highland' (q.v. infra).
55. OKog *tawpi : OJpn *təwpu- ~ *təpu- ~ *təpaw- 'to pass through, open' < CJK *tawpu- 'to open' (KLJ).
56. OKog *tawr 'pheasant' : OJpn *təwri 'fowl, bird' < CJK *tawr 'fowl' ← 鳥 OChi *təwr 'fowl, bird' (KLJ 138).
57. OKog *tək : OJpn *tə ~ *təwo < CJK *təkwo (KLJ).
58. OKog *tsiar 'silver' : PS *sira 'gold' : OJpn *tsira- ~ *širö- > MSJ *shiro* ~ *shira*- 'white, silver' (KLJ).
59. OKog *tsiəm 'root, base', OJpn *tsiməw 'below' > MSJ *shimo* 'below' (KLJ).

60. OKog *tširi ‘north’ (see AKog *tsiar for etymology).
61. OKog *tsu ‘owlet’ : Ojpn *tuku ‘owl’ (KLJ).
62. OKog *u ~ *uy ~ *wəy ‘ford’ : Pjpn *u ‘crossing’ < CJK *u ‘crossing; ford’ (KLJ).
63. OKog *u ‘ox, cow, cattle’ : Ojpn *usi/*utši/*utsi < *u ‘ox’ + *si ‘animal’ < CJK *u ‘ox, cow, cattle’ ← Old Chinese 牛 *ŋû ‘cow, ox, cattle’ (KLJ).
64. OKog *u ‘pig’ : Ojpn *wi ‘boar, pig’ < CJK *wi ‘pig, boar’ (KLJ). Middle Kitan *uy/*wi ‘pig, boar’ may be a borrowing from Japanese-Koguryoic (LASM 410).
65. OKog *ütsi ‘five’ : Ojpn *itu ‘five’ < CJK *itu- ~ *ütu- ‘five’ (KLJ).
66. OKog *ya ‘willow’ : Ojpn *ya- ‘willow’ < CJK *ya ‘willow’ (KLJ).

6.2.2 Puyō-Paekche (PP)

Puyō-Paekche is the Puyō language of the bilingual kingdom of Paekche, founded by Puyō people who had invaded the early Koreanic state or confederation of 馬韓 Ma Han.

1. PP 阿 (SS) *ha ‘river bank (湄), shore (濱)’ : OKog *ha ‘overlook’ < CPK *ha ‘overlook’.
2. PP 支 (ZS) ~ 瑕 *key ‘king (王)’ (see OKog *key ‘king’ above for cognates and etymology).
3. PP 見 (SS) *ker ‘ox, cow, cattle (牛)’ ← ? Serbi-Mongolic (cf. MMgl *hüker* ~ *üker*).
4. PP 其 (SS) *ki ‘forest (林)’ : OKog *kir ‘tree, wood’ (see OKog entry above for etymology).
5. PP 基 ~ 只 ~ 岐 ~ 已 (for *己) (SS) *ki ~ *ki ‘walled city, fortification (城), embankment, ditch (溝)’ ~ *kir 斤 ‘city (邑)’ < earlier PP *kur 屈 ~ *kuər 骨 ~ *xuər 忽 ‘walled city, fortification (城), dike, ditch, embankment (堤)’ : PK *kur ‘fortress, walled city’ : PS *kir ‘mound’ ~ *kur ‘walled city, fortress’ (Shimunek, 2023, p. 95 n. 72, revised. cf. Kōno, 1987, p. 82). See AKog *kuru ‘walled city’ above for cognates and etymology.
6. PP 古龍 (SS) *kuru ‘south (南)’ : PS *kur ‘south’ : AKog *kor ‘south, front’ < CPK *kuru ‘south, front’ (see AKog *kor ~ *kōr above for JK comparanda).
7. PP 買 (SS) *mey ‘peaceful, pacified (安)’ : OKog *mey ‘excellent, good’ (see OKog *mey ‘good’ above for etymology).
8. PP 買 (SS) *mey ‘river (川)’ < ‘water’ < CJK *mi ‘water’ (for detailed etymology and Ojpn cognates, see OKog *mey ‘water, river’ above).

9. PP 乃 (SS) *na 'land, place' > 'walled city, fortification (城)' ~ 'unit of area (頃)' attested in the Puyŏ-Paekche phrase 加知乃 *kati *na and its variant 加乙乃 *kar *na 'market' (literally, 'selling place', with PP *kati ~ *kar 'selling' perhaps cognate to Japanese 借り - *kari*- 'to borrow, rent, owe' or perhaps a borrowing from 價 MChi *kai 'sell'). This word is cognate to OKog *na 'land, province, prefecture' (q.v. above for etymology).
10. PP 斯 (SS) *si 'adjective-attributive morpheme' (see entry for OKog *si ~ *ši above).
11. PP 冬 (SS) *taw 'highland (阜)' < CPK *taw- 'mountain pass; highland'. The CPK form *taw- 'mountain pass' is superficially similar in form to Middle Mongol *daba*- 'to cross a mountain, pass over, overcome an obstacle', but a connection, if any, remains to be demonstrated.
12. PP 近 (SS) *tir/*tir 'ample, abundant (殷)' ~ 豆 (SS) *ti 'ten thousand (萬)': Ojpn 知 *ti 'thousand, thousands, large in number' < CJK *tir/*tir 'thousands, large in number'. Alternatively: 千 EMC dial. *tshēr → PP → Ojpn *ti 'thousand(s), large in number'.
13. PP 豆 (SS) *ti (KPEMC *tu rendering foreign *ti) 'gather, meet (會)' ← Serbi-Mongolic, cf. Middle Kitan *tiw- 'to gather, meet', cognate to Written Mongol *tegü*- and Middle Mongol *temgü*- ~ *tüü*- 'collect, gather up' < Common Serbi-Mongolic *thəyü- 'to gather (transitive or intransitive)' (LASM).
14. PP 突 (SS) *tir (KPEMC *tur rendering foreign *tir) 'spirit, ghost (靈)': Ojpn 智 *ti 'spirit, spiritual power (靈)' (JDB 452) (> MSJ *chi* ち (靈) 'id.') < CJK *tir 'spirit, ghost, spiritual power'.
15. PP 堞 (SS) *tir/*tur 'nine (九)'. No identified cognates. Distinct from MSJ *kokono*- < Ojpn *kōkōnō 'nine', which is ultimately a loanword from Chinese as demonstrated by KLJ 161.
16. PP 珍惡 (SS) *tśirak 'stone (石)' ← ? early Mongolic dialect *čhīlay < *čhīla-yU < Common Serbi-Mongolic *čhīla 'stone, rock' > Kitan *čala 'stone, rock'. My reconstruction *tśirak is based on a straightforward KPEMC phonetic reading of the characters. This reading solves a major problem with traditional readings which attempt to force the Paekche form to match Modern Standard Korean 돌 /tur/ [tu] or its LMK etymon :돌 *tu* rh 'rock, stone'. Given the otherwise attested ethnolinguistic contact between early Japanese-Koguryoic peoples and Serbi-Mongolic peoples, and the lack of identifiable early Koreanic lexical contact with Serbi-Mongolic, this is undoubtedly a Puyŏ-Paekche reflex of an early loan from Serbi-Mongolic. Other words for certain geological

formations are known to be borrowings from neighboring languages, e.g. OKog *pañiy ‘cliff, crag, mountain, precipice’, borrowed from Nivkh (q.v. *KLJ*).

17. PP 舌 (SS) *žiar ‘west (西)’, cognate to AKog 順 *žör ~ 慎 *džir ‘left, east’. Note that dialectal differences in direction orientation are common in languages of Central Eurasia, see [Shimunek, 2023, p. 87](#).

6.2.3 Puyō-Silla (PS)

Although the bulk of linguistic data from Silla consists of the Koreanic language Han-Silla, the *Samguk sagi* provides evidence of an intrusive Puyō dialect as well, especially among the ruling elite of early Silla. On Puyō political influence in early Silla, see *KLJ*. Strikingly, the national progenitor of Silla was born in a place with an obvious Puyō etymology: 奈乙 *na *ir (SS), glossed as 蘿井 ‘Vine Well’, composed of PS *na ‘vine’ and PS *ir, both with unique cognates in Japanese and no cognates in Korean (see below). The early name of the Silla state is also a Puyō word, PS *sira ‘gold; Silla’, borrowed into Han-Silla (see HS *sira ‘Silla’ above), and other recently identified Puyō elements exist among the Silla linguistic data in the *Samguk sagi* and the *Samguk yusa*. The language of later Silla, however, and certainly the language of the *hyangga* is irrefutably uniquely Koreanic (i.e., Han-Silla). Nevertheless, the intrusive, distinctively Puyō linguistic data in early Silla must be acknowledged as such. I term this Puyō linguistic data from Silla territory as ‘Puyō-Silla’.

1. PS 乙 (SS) *ir ‘well (井)’ (see OKog *ir ‘well’ above for Ojpn cognate and CJK etymology).
2. PS 根 (SS) *kir ‘center, central (中)’ : OKog *kir (q.v. for etymology).
3. PS 近 (SS) *kir ‘mound (丘)’ ~ 月 (Tang AMC *ḡ^war*, Cob./Tak. 0734) ~ 活 (SS) *kur (SS) ‘walled city, fortress (城)’ : PK *kur (SS) : PP *ki ~ *ki ‘walled city, fortification’ ~ PP *kir ‘city’ < earlier PP *kur ~ *kuər ~ *xuər ‘walled city, fortification, dike; ditch; embankment’ ([Shimunek, 2023, p. 95 n. 72](#); see AKog *kuru above for Puyo-Koguryoic etymology).
4. PS 官 (SS) *kur ‘south (南)’ : PP *kuru ‘south’ : AKog *kor ‘south, front’ < CPK *kuru ‘south, front’ (see AKog *kor ~ *kōr above for JK comparanda).
5. PS 乃 (SS) *na ‘area in the vicinity of the capital’ : OKog *na ‘land, province, prefecture’ (q.v. for etymology).
6. PS 奈 (SS) *na ‘creeping plants, vines (蘿)’ : Ojpn *na ‘vegetable’ < CJK *na ‘vines, vegetation, vegetables’.

7. PS 巴 (SY) *pai 'boy, youth' : OKog *pai 'man' < CPK *pai 'male human; boy, man' (pace [Lee, 1970, pp. 201-210](#)).
8. PS 徐耶 (SS, KPEMC *siɿa) ~ 斯羅 (SS, KPEMC *sila) ~ 新羅 (SS, KPEMC *sirla) *sira ~ 斯盧 (SS, KPEMC *silu) *siru 'gold (金); Silla' : OKog *tšiar 'silver' (q.v. etymology). On the KPEMC readings of the Chinese transcriptions and on KPEMC *ɿ, which is capable of phonetically transcribing foreign *r and *y, see [Shimunek, 2023, p. 86 n. 12](#). See HS *sira 'Silla' above.

6.2.4 Puyŏ-Kara (PK)

The Kara (Kaya) state was absorbed by Silla early in its history. Nevertheless, important linguistic data from Kara can be recovered by analyzing the toponym corpus in the *Samguk sagi* (see [Toh, 1987](#); and [Shimunek, 2023](#)).

1. PK 巴利 ~ コリ (NS) *kur ~ PK 支 (SS) *key 'fortress, walled city' : PS *kir ~ *kur 'mound; walled city, fortress' ([Shimunek, 2023, p. 95 n. 72, p. 102](#); see AKog *kuru above for Puyo-Koguryoic etymology).
2. PK 多 (SS) *ta 'high mountain (嶽)' ([Shimunek, 2023, pp. 87, 98, 102](#); see OKog *tar above for detailed etymology).
3. PK 督 ~ 梁 *tuk ~ *tu 'door, gate (門)' : OJpn *tö 'door, gate' < CJK *tuk > CPK *tuk → Jurchen-Manchu /duka/ [duqa] 'door, gate' → ? Late Kitan 墮瑰 *tuqay 'door, gate (門)' ([Shimunek, 2021a, pp. 71-76](#); [Shimunek, 2023, p. 102](#)).

Symbols

- :
 - ≠
 - ?
 - #
 - >
 -
 - ↔
 - *
 - ☆
 - [abc]
 - /abc/
- cognates between languages
not cognate
uncertain segment or etymology
word boundary
language-internal change
borrowing or loanword across languages
borrowing or loanword of uncertain directionality
reconstruction according to mainstream historical-comparative linguistic methods
speculative reconstruction or traditional reading using rhymes, 反切 *fanqie*, or other traditional methods
phonetic transcription
phonemic transcription

- morpheme boundary
- *a/*b competing reconstructions
- a ~ b variant forms (free or conditioned variation)
- V vowel

Sigla and Abbreviations

- Ajpn Archaic Japanese
- AKog Archaic Koguryō
- AMC Attested Middle Chinese
- anat. anatomy
- CJK Common Japanese-Koguryoic
- CKor Common Koreanic
- CN Common Nivkh (Fortescue's "Proto-Nivkh", cited from *CND*)
- CND* Comparative Nivkh Dictionary ([Fortescue, 2016](#))
- Cob. Coblin ([1994](#))
- CPK Common Puyo-Koguryoic
- CSM Common Serbi-Mongolic (*LASM*)
- dial. dialect, dialectal
- EMC Early Middle Chinese
- EMK Early Middle Korean
- HK Han-Kara
- HP Han-Paekche
- HS Han-Silla
- HW Ham'an wooden tablets, from Lee ([2017](#)) with revision
- HYKP* 鄉藥救急方 *Hyang'yak Kugŭppang*
- JDB* Omodaka ([1967](#))
- JK Japanese-Koguryoic
- JNAH* *Journal of Northeast Asian Studies* (NAHF)
- KLJ* Beckwith ([2007a](#))
- KPEMC Korean Peninsular Early Middle Chinese
- KYS* 雞林類事 *Jilin Leishi* (*Kyerim Yusa*), 12th century
- LASM* Shimunek ([2017](#))
- LMK Late Middle Korean
- MChi Middle Chinese

MJpn	Middle Japanese
MSJ	Modern Standard Japanese
MSK	Modern Standard Korean
N.	northern
NAHF	Northeast Asian History Foundation
NCR	日中歴 <i>Nichūreki</i> (based on 12th c. Japanese sources).
OChi	Old Chinese
OJpn	Old Japanese
OKog	Old Koguryō
onom.	onomatopoetic
p.c.	personal communication
PJpn	Proto-Japanese
PK	Puyō-Kara
PP	Puyō-Paekche
PS	Puyō-Silla
Pul.	Pulleyblank (1991)
S.	southern
SM	Serbi-Mongolic
SS	三國史記 <i>Samguk sagi</i>
SY	三國遺事 <i>Samguk yusa</i>
SZR	世俗字類抄 <i>Sezokujiruishō</i> (12th c.)
Tak.	Takata (1988)
TJ	悼二將歌 <i>Toijangga</i> (1120)
TNj	耽羅志 <i>T'amnaji</i> (1653)
ZS	周書 <i>Zhou shu</i>

References

Primary Sources

- Anonymous (12th c.). *Nichūreki* 日中歴.
- Anonymous (12th c.). *Sezokujiruishō* 世俗字類抄.
- Anonymous (13th c.). *Kuyōk Inwanggyōng* 舊譯仁王經.
- Anonymous. (13th c.). *Hyang'yak Kugūppang* 鄉藥救急方.
- Iryōn 一然 (13th c. original, cited from 1512 ed.). *Samguk yusa* 三國遺事.
- Kim Pusik 金富軾 (12th c. original, cited from 1512 ed.). *Samguk sagi* 三國史記.

- Linghu Defen 令狐德棻 (636 original, cited from 1971 ed.). *Zhou shu* 周書. Beijing: Zhonghua shuju.
- Sun Mu 孫穆 (12th c.). *Jilin Leishi* 雞林類事 (Kyerim Yusa 계림유사) (see Sasse, 1976).
- Yejong 睿宗, King of Koryō (1120). *Toijangga* 悼二將歌.
- Yi Wōnjin 李元鎮 (1653). *Tamnaji* 耽羅志.

Secondary Sources

- Beckwith, C. I. (2005). The ethnolinguistic history of the early Korean Peninsula region: Japanese-Koguryoic and other languages in the Koguryo, Paekche, and Silla kingdoms. *Journal of Inner and East Asian Studies*, 2(2), 34-64.
- Beckwith, C. I. (2006). Methodological Observations on Some Recent Studies of the Early Ethnolinguistic History of Korea and Vicinity. *Altai Hapko*, 16, 199-234.
- Beckwith, C. I. (2007a). *Koguryo, the Language of Japan's Continental Relatives*. Second Edition. Leiden: Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004160255.i-274>
- Beckwith, C. I. (2007b). *Phoronyms: Classifiers, Class Nouns, and the Pseudopartitive Construction*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Beckwith, C. I. (2008). An introduction to theoretical and methodological problems in the comparative-historical linguistics of Eastern Eurasian languages. In C. I. Beckwith (Ed.), *Medieval Tibeto-Burman Languages III* (pp. 10-48). Halle: IITBS GmbH.
- Beckwith, C. I. (2010). Could There Be a Korean-Japanese Linguistic Relationship Theory? Science, the Data, and the Alternatives. A State-of-the-Field Article. *International Journal of Asian Studies*, 7(2), 201-219. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1479591410000070>
- Beckwith, C. I. (2014). The Aramaic source of the East Asian word for 'Buddhist monastery': On the spread of Central Asian monasticism in the Kushan period. *Journal Asiatique*, 302(1), 111-138. <https://doi.org/10.2143/JA.302.1.3030680>
- Beckwith, C. I. (2017). Once again on the Aramaic word for 'monastery' in East Asia: data and science versus doctrine and belief. *Journal Asiatique*, 305(2), 211-224. <https://doi.org/10.2143/JA.305.2.3262804>
- Coblin, W. S. (1994). A Compendium of Phonetics in Northwest Chinese. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics Monograph Series*, 7, 1-504.
- Dpal-Idan-bkra-shis, Slater, K., et al. (1996). Language Materials of China's Monguor Minority: Huzhu Mongghul and Minhe Mangghuer. *Sino-Platonic Papers*, 69. https://sino-platonic.org/complete/spp069_monguor_language.pdf
- Fortescue, M. (2016). *Comparative Nivkh Dictionary*. Munchen: Lincom.
- Hangŭl Hakhoe 한글학회 (1992). *Uri mal k'ŭn sajŏn* 우리 말 큰 사전. Volume 4: *Yennalgwa idu* 옛날과 이두. Seoul: Ōmunhak.
- Kang, K. 강길운 (1983). Hangugō-wa kiryagō-nŭn tonggye ida 한국어와 길약어는 동계이다. *Hangŭl 한글*, 182, 103-144.

- Kara, G. (2021). Two rhyming Mongolic words in Kitan Assembled Script. *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 74(4), 673-684.
<https://doi.org/10.1556/062.2021.00198>
- King, R. (1991). *Russian Sources on Korean Dialects* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Harvard University.
- Kiyose, G. N. 清瀬義三郎則府 (2004). Nippon no naka no Koria-go no chimei 日本の中のコリア語の地名. In K. Yoshida 吉田金彦 and M. Itoi 糸井通浩 (Eds.), *Nippon chimeigaku wo Manabu hito no tame ni* 日本地名学を学ぶ人のために (pp. 234-456). Kyōto: Seikai shisosha.
- Kōno, R. (1987). The Bilingualism of the Paekche Language. *Memoirs of the Research Department of the Toyo Bunko*, 45, 75-86.
- Kumar, B. (2025). Grammaticalization in the Korean Aspectual System. *Acta Linguistica Asiatica*, 15(1), 47-62. <https://doi.org/10.4312/ala.15.1.47-62>
- Lee, K.-M. (1964). Materials of the Koguryo language. *Bulletin of the Korean Research Center: Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 20, 11-20.
- Lee, K.-M. = Yi Kimun 이기문 (1970). Sillaŏ ūi 'pok' tong e taehayŏ 신라어의 '福' 童에 대하여. *Kugŏ kungmunhak* 국어국문학 49-50, 201-210.
- Lee, K.-M. = Yi Kimun 이기문 (1972). *Kugŏsa kaesŏl* 國語史 概説. Seoul: Minjung Sŏgwan.
- Lee, K.-M., & Ramsey, S. R. (2011). *A History of the Korean Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, S. = Yi Sŏngjae 이승재 (2017). *Mokkan-e kiroktoen kodaŏ hangugŏ* 木簡에 기록된 古代 韓國語. Seoul: Iljogak.
- Lee, Y. (2011). Morphology and syntax in holes and scratches. *Acta Linguistica Asiatica*, 1 (1), 53-69. <https://doi.org/10.4312/ala.1.1.53-70>
- Nam, K. 南廣祐 (2024). *Koŏ sajŏn* 古語辭典. Seoul: Kyohaksa.
- Omodaka, H. 澤瀉久孝 (1967). *Jidaibetsu kokugo daijiten: Jōdaihen* 時代別国語大辞典. 上代編. Tokyo: Sanseido.
- Pulleyblank, E. G. (1991). *Lexicon of Reconstructed Pronunciation in Early Middle Chinese, Late Middle Chinese, and Early Mandarin*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Sasse, W. (1976). *Das Glossar Koryŏ-pangŏn im Kyerim-yusa*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Shimunek, A. (2017). *Languages of Ancient Southern Mongolia and North China: A Historical-Comparative Study of the Serbi or Xianbei Branch of the Serbi-Mongolic Language Family, with an Analysis of Northeastern Frontier Chinese and Old Tibetan Phonology*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Shimunek, A. (2021a). Loanwords from the Puyo-Koguryoic languages of early Korea and Manchuria in Jurchen-Manchu. *Altai Hakpo*, 31, 65-84.
<https://doi.org/10.15816/ask.2021..31.004>

- Shimunek, A. (2021b). On Korean Peninsular Historical Linguistics: A Review of Recent Studies on the Languages of Early Korea. *The Journal of Northeast Asian History*, 18(1), 129-167.
- Shimunek, A. (2023). The earliest Koreanic words for 'child', 'east', 'mountain', 'river', and 'shore': a comparative-historical linguistic study of several Kara (Kaya) toponyms in the Samguk sagi. *Acta Koreana*, 26(1), 83-108.
<https://doi.org/10.18399/acta.2023.26.1.004>
- Sin, C. 신재홍 (2002). *Hyangga ŭi haesök 향가의 해석* [Deciphering hyangga]. Seoul: Chimmundang.
- Svantesson, J.-O. et al. (2005). *The Phonology of Mongolian*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Takata, T. 高田時雄 (1988). *Tonkō shiryō ni yoru Chūgokugo shi no kenkyū 敦煌資料による中国語史の研究*. Tokyo: Sōbunsha.
- Toh, S.-H. = To Suhui 都守熙 (1987). *Paekcheō ōhwi yŏn'gu 1: Chōngiōrŭl chungsimūro 百濟語 語彙 研究 1: 前期語彙 中心으로*. Sŏul: Paekche Munhwa Kaebal Yŏn'guwŏn.
- Toh, S.-H. = To Suhui 都守熙 (2005). *Paekcheō ōhwi yŏn'gu 百濟語 語彙 研究*. Seoul: Cheiaenssi.
- Tsuji, S. 辻星児 (2000a). Nichūreki Sezokujiruishō shoin no chōsengo sūsi ni tsuite 「二中壱」 「世俗字類抄」 所引の朝鮮語数詞について. *Okayama Daigaku Gengo Gakkai Ronsō 岡山大学言語学会論叢*, 8, 1-18.
- Tsuji, S. 辻星児 (2000b). Nichūreki Sezokujiruishō-ŭi hangugō susa-e taehayō 「二中壱」 「世俗字類抄」 의 韓國語 數詞에 대하여. In *21 segi kugōhak-ŭi kwaje 21 세기 국어학의 과제* (pp. 527-544). Seoul: Wŏrin.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Woosong University Academic Research in 2025. This article developed from a paper the author presented at the Seoul International Altaistic Conference (SIAC), July 16-17, 2021, and subsequent research. The author wishes to thank the following people and institutions for helpful advice, resources which the author used in writing this paper, and for supporting the author's research in various ways leading up to the writing of this paper: Christopher I. BECKWITH, György KARA[†], Juwon KIM, Ross KING, Dongho Ko, the Kyujanggak Institute, Joseph Jeong-il LEE, Yong LEE, Maurizio RIOTTO, Seiji TSUJI, Eva VUČKOVIČ, and two anonymous peer reviewers. Any errors in this paper are entirely my own.