



ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 14 (2018) 285–318 https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.862.bb5 (Also available at http://amc-journal.eu)

Growth of face-homogeneous tessellations

Stephen J. Graves *

The University of Texas at Tyler, Tyler, TX, USA

Mark E. Watkins[†]

Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, USA

Received 2 June 2015, accepted 23 July 2017, published online 13 September 2017

Abstract

A tessellation of the plane is *face-homogeneous* if for some integer $k \ge 3$ there exists a cyclic sequence $\sigma = [p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ of integers ≥ 3 such that, for every face f of the tessellation, the valences of the vertices incident with f are given by the terms of σ in either clockwise or counter-clockwise order. When a given cyclic sequence σ is realizable in this way, it may determine a unique tessellation (up to isomorphism), in which case σ is called *monomorphic*, or it may be the valence sequence of two or more non-isomorphic tessellations (*polymorphic*). A tessellation whose faces are uniformly bounded in the hyperbolic plane but not uniformly bounded in the Euclidean plane is called a *hyperbolic tessellation*. Such tessellations are well-known to have exponential growth. We seek the face-homogeneous hyperbolic tessellation(s) of slowest growth rate and show that the least growth rate of such monomorphic tessellations is the "golden mean," $\gamma = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, attained by the sequences [4, 6, 14] and [3, 4, 7, 4]. A polymorphic sequence may yield non-isomorphic tessellations with different growth rates. However, all such tessellations found thus far grow at rates greater than γ .

Keywords: Face-homogeneous, tessellation, growth rate, valence sequence, exponential growth, transition matrix, Bilinski diagram, hyperbolic plane.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05B45, 05C63, 05C10, 05C12

^{*}Much of the material in this work comes from the doctoral dissertation [4] of the first author written under the supervision of the second author.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ The second author was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#209803 to Mark E. Watkins).

E-mail addresses: sgraves@uttyler.edu (Stephen J. Graves), mewatkin@syr.edu (Mark E. Watkins)

1 Introduction

It has long been known that there are finitely many homogeneous tessellations of the Euclidean plane; they all have quadratic growth rate. However, in the hyperbolic plane, for various definitions of "homogeneity," infinitely many homogeneous tessellations are realizable, and their growth rate, if not quadratic, is always exponential. Presently we will give a rigorous definition of growth rate, but for now one should think of this parameter intuitively as the asymptotic rate at which additional tiles (or faces) accrue with respect to some chosen center of a tessellation. In this schema, all Euclidean tessellations have growth rate equal to 1, and hyperbolic tessellations have growth rate strictly greater than 1. The first author has shown by construction in [5] that, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a hyperbolic tessellation with growth rate exactly $1 + \epsilon$. In general, these latter tessellations have few if any combinatorial or geometric symmetries. The question then becomes one of determining the growth rates of hyperbolic tessellations when some sort of homogeneity is imposed. In particular, subject to a homogeneity constraint, how small can the gap be between quadratic and exponential growth?

In a seminal work [8], Grünbaum and Shephard defined a graph to be *edge-homogene*ous with edge-symbol $\langle p, q; k, \ell \rangle$ if every edge is incident with vertices of valences p and q and faces of covalences k and ℓ . They proved that the parameters of an edge-symbol uniquely determine an edge-homogeneous tessellation up to isomorphism.

The notion of homogeneity was extended by Moran [10]. She defined a tessellation to be *face-homogeneous with valence sequence* $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ if every face is a k-gon incident with vertices of valences p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1} in either clockwise or counter-clockwise consecutive order. Unfortunately, no uniqueness property analogous to the Grünbaum-Shephard result holds in general for face-homogeneous tessellations.

Moran's work on growth rates of face-homogeneous tessellations led the authors (together with T. Pisanski) to return to edge-homogeneous tessellations and conclusively determine their growth rates. In [6] they determined the growth rate of any edge-homogeneous tessellation as a function of its edge-symbol and proved that the least growth rate for an exponentially-growing, edge-homogeneous tessellation is $\frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 2.618$.

The goal of this article is to obtain an analogous result for face-homogeneous tessellations. Our main result is that if a face-homogeneous tessellation with exponential growth rate is determined up to isomorphism by its valence sequence, then its growth rate is at least $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$, namely the "golden mean." Moreover, we determine exactly the valence sequences for which this golden mean is realized. A significant by-product of our investigation is an abundance of machinery for computing the growth rates of many classes of face-homogeneous planar tessellations.

Section 2 consists of six subsections. Following some general definitions concerning infinite graphs in the plane, we present (Subsection 2.2) a system for labeling sets of vertices and sets of faces of a tessellation; such a labeling is called a "Bilinski diagram." Subsection 2.3 presents the notion of face-homogeneity and associated notation. Polynomial and exponential growth, defined on the one hand with respect to the standard graph-theoretic metric, and on the other hand with respect to the notion of angle excess, appear in Subsection 2.4. Subsection 2.5 presents a rigorous theoretical treatment of growth rate with respect to regional distance in a Bilinski diagram. Subsection 2.6 concludes the Preliminaries with a review of the completely resolved case of edge-homogeneous tessellations, summarizing results from [8] and [6].

In Subsection 3.1 we lay out our method for filling in the formulas obtained in Sub-

section 2.5 while introducing the notion of a transition matrix. Analogous to a Markov process, this matrix encodes for given $n \ge 1$ how many faces of each possible configuration are "begotten" at regional distance n + 1 from the root of a Bilinski diagram by a face at regional distance n from the root. The maximum modulus of the eigenvalues of the transition matrix are key to the growth rate of T.

Subsection 3.2 applies the machinery of Subsection 3.1 to the significant class of valence sequences that are *monomorphic*, i.e., that are uniquely realizable as a face-homogeneous tessellation and whose Bilinski diagrams are in a certain sense well-behaved, called *uniformly concentric*. It is shown in Theorem 3.7 that for such valence sequences, the partial order defined in Subsection 2.3 is preserved by their growth rates. The six classes of monomorphic sequences of lengths 3, 4, and 5 whose Bilinski diagrams are not uniformly concentric are identified in Subsection 3.3, where it is proved that they are indeed monomorphic. The exhaustive proof that this list is complete is contained in the Appendix [7]. Finally, we present in Subsection 3.4 the main result of the paper, that the least growth rate of a face-homogeneous tessellation with monomorphic valence sequence is the golden mean $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$.

Those valence sequences (described as *polymorphic*) which admit multiple non-isomorphic tessellations are alive and well in Subsection 4.1. A general sufficient condition for polymorphism is given. The difficulties posed by polymorphism are illustrated by an example; the polymorphic sequence [4, 4, 6, 8] is considered in some depth in Subsection 4.2. In particular, we see by this example that two different tessellations having the same (polymorphic) valence sequence may well have different growth rates. We conclude the chapter with some conjectures in Subsection 4.3.

The appendix [7] alluded to above is to be found with this article on the arXiv, at arXiv:1707.03443. All references therein are to results in the present paper. Due to the considerable length (and tedium!) of the appendix, it will not appear in *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea* with this article.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Tessellations

For a graph Γ , the symbol $V(\Gamma)$ denotes the vertex set of Γ . If M is a planar embedding of Γ , we call M a *plane map* and denote by F(M) the set of faces of M.

A graph Γ is *infinite* if its vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ is infinite; Γ is *locally finite* if every vertex has finite valence. A graph is 3-connected if there is no set of fewer than three vertices whose removal disconnects the graph. It is well known that if the underlying graph Γ of a plane map M is 3-connected (as is generally the case in this work), then every automorphism of Γ induces a permutation of F(M) that preserves face-vertex incidence and can be extended to a homeomorphism of the plane. Thus we tend to abuse language and speak of "the faces of Γ ." When a plane map is 3-connected, every edge is incident with exactly two distinct faces. In this case, the number of edges (and hence of vertices) incident with a given face is its *covalence*. A map is *locally cofinite* if the covalence of every face is finite.

An *accumulation point* of an infinite plane map M is a point x in the plane such that every open disk of positive radius (in either the Euclidean or hyperbolic metric) containing x intersects infinitely many map objects, be they faces, edges, or vertices. A map is 1-*ended* when the deletion of any finite submap leaves exactly one infinite component.

Definition 2.1. A *tessellation* is an infinite plane map that is 3-connected, locally finite,

locally cofinite, 1-ended, and also admits no accumulation point.

In the terminology of Grünbaum and Shepherd's exhaustive work [9] on tilings of the plane, a tessellation T is *normal* if there is an embedding of T in the plane and radii 0 < r < R under a specific metric such that the boundary of each face lies within some annulus with inner radius r and outer radius R. A *Euclidean tessellation* is a tessellation that is normal with respect to the Euclidean metric, and a *hyperbolic tessellation* is one that is normal with respect to the hyperbolic metric but not with respect the Euclidean metric.

2.2 Bilinski diagrams

A very useful tool for computing "growth rate" is what we have called a *Bilinski diagram*, because these diagrams were first used by Stanko Bilinski in his dissertation [1, 2].

Definition 2.2. Let M be a map that is rooted at some vertex x. Define a sequence of sets $\{U_n : n \ge 0\}$ of vertices and a sequence of sets $\{F_n : n \ge 0\}$ of faces of M inductively as follows.

- Let $U_0 = \{x\}$ and let $F_0 = \emptyset$.
- For n ≥ 1, let F_n denote the set of faces of M not in F_{n-1} that are incident with some vertex in U_{n-1}.
- For n ≥ 1, let U_n denote the set of vertices of M not in U_{n-1} that are incident with some face in F_n.

The stratification of M determined by the set-sequences $\{U_n\}$ and $\{F_n\}$ is called *the Bilinski diagram of* M rooted at x. In a similar way one can define a Bilinski diagram of M rooted at a face f. In this case $U_0 = \emptyset$ and $F_0 = \{f\}$. Given a Bilinski diagram of T, the induced submap $\langle F_n \rangle$ of T is its n^{th} corona.

A Bilinski diagram is *concentric* if each subgraph $\langle U_n \rangle$ induced by U_n $(n \ge 1)$ is a cycle; otherwise the Bilinski diagram is *non-concentric*. If a plane map yields a concentric Bilinski diagram regardless of which vertex or face is designated as its root, then the map is *uniformly concentric*; analogously a map which for every designated root yields a non-concentric Bilinski diagram is *uniformly non-concentric*.

To answer the question as to which tessellations are uniformly concentric we state a sufficient condition and a necessary condition. Let $\mathscr{G}_{a,b}$ denote the class of tessellations all of whose vertices have valence at least a and all of whose faces have covalence at least b. Let $\mathscr{G}_{a+,b}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{G}_{a,b}$ of tessellations with no adjacent a-valent vertices.

Proposition 2.3 ([3, Corollary 4.2], [11, Theorem 3.2]). Every tessellation $T \in \mathcal{G}_{3,6} \cup \mathcal{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathcal{G}_{4,4}$ is uniformly concentric, and in every Bilinski diagram of T, for all $n \ge 1$, every face in F_n is incident with at most two edges in $\langle U_{n-1} \rangle$.

Proposition 2.4 ([3, Theorem 5.1]). *If an infinite planar map admits any of the following configurations, then the map is not uniformly concentric:*

- 1. a 3-valent vertex incident with a 3-covalent face;
- 2. a 4-valent vertex incident with two nonadjacent 3-covalent faces;
- 3. a 4-covalent face incident with two nonadjacent 3-valent vertices;
- 4. an edge incident with two 3-valent vertices and two 4-covalent faces;
- 5. an edge incident with two 4-valent vertices and two 3-covalent faces.

2.3 Face-homogeneity and realizability

Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and let an equivalence relation be defined on the set of ordered k-tuples $(p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1})$ of positive integers whereby

- $(p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}) \equiv (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{k-1}, p_0)$, and
- $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}) \equiv (p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}, \dots, p_0).$

The equivalence class of which (p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}) is a member is the *cyclic sequence* $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, and k is its *length*. There is a natural partial order \leq on the set of cyclic sequences:

$$[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}] \le [q_0, \ldots, q_{\ell-1}]$$

if and only if $k \leq \ell$ and there exists a cyclic subsequence $q_{i_0}, q_{i_1}, \ldots, q_{i_{k-1}}$ occurring in either order in $[q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{\ell-1}]$ such that $p_j \leq q_{i_j}$ for each $j \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$. We write $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ if $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ but $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are cyclic sequences.

Example 2.5. Let $\sigma_1 = [4, 6, 8, 10]$, $\sigma_2 = [6, 8, 12, 4]$, and $\sigma_3 = [10, 8, 12, 6, 4]$. Then $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ and $\sigma_1 < \sigma_3$, but σ_2 and σ_3 are not comparable.

Definition 2.6. Let $\sigma = [p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}]$ be a cyclic sequence of integers ≥ 3 . Then σ is the valence sequence of a k-covalent face f of a tessellation T if the valences of vertices incident with f in clockwise or counter-clockwise order are p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1} . If every face of T has the same valence sequence σ , then T is face-homogeneous and σ is the valence sequence of T. Thus, to say briefly that a tessellation T has valence sequence σ implies that T is face-homogeneous.

Definition 2.7. Let the cyclic sequnce σ be realizable as the valence sequence of a tessellation. If every tessellation having valence sequence σ is uniformly concentric, then we say that σ is *uniformly concentric*. Otherwise σ is *non-concentric*. If every tessellation having valence sequence σ is non-concentric, then σ is *uniformly non-concentric*.

Notation. By convention, when distinct letters are used to represent terms in a cyclic sequence (e.g. [p, p, q, r, q]), the values corresponding to distinct letters are all presumed to be distinct; that is, $p \neq q \neq r \neq p$. Moreover, if some term in the cyclic sequence is given as an integer (usually 3 or 4), then the terms given by letters are presumed to be greater than that integer. For example, if $\sigma = [4, p, q]$, then we understand that p, q > 4 and $p \neq q$. When using subscripts in the general form $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, we do not make this assumption.

Remark 2.8. Not all cyclic sequences are realizable as vertex sequences of face-homogeneous tessellations of the plane. For instance, the map with valence sequence [3, 3, 3] (the tetrahedron) is a tessellation of the sphere but not of the plane. More importantly, there are many cyclic sequences for which no face-homogeneous map exists at all. For instance, the valence sequence [4, 5, 6, p] for any $p \ge 3$ is not realizable, because in any such map the valences of the neighbors of a 5-valent vertex in cyclic order would have to alternate between 4 and 6. However, this does not generalize to all cyclic sequences containing a subsequence [p, q, r] where q is odd and $p \ne r$; for instance, [5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 8] is realizable.

Conjecture 2.9. Suppose σ is the valence sequence of a face-homogeneous tessellation and that σ contains [p, q, r] as a subsequence, with q odd and $p \neq r$. Then σ must contain at least three terms equal to q.

2.4 Polynomial versus exponential growth

Let x be a vertex of a connected graph Γ . For each nonnegative integer n, the ball of radius n about x is the set of vertices of Γ at distance $\leq n$ from x, written

$$B_n(x) = \{ v \in V(\Gamma) : d(x, v) \le n \},$$
(2.1)

where d(-,-) is the standard graph-theoretic metric, that is, d(u, v) is the length of a shortest path with terminal vertices u and v.

Definition 2.10. An infinite, locally finite, connected graph Γ has *exponential growth* if for some vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ there exist real numbers $\alpha > 1$ and C > 0 such that, for all n > 0, one has $|B_n(x)| > C\alpha^n$; otherwise Γ has *subexponential growth*. We say that Γ has *polynomial growth* of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 n^d \leq |B_n(x)| \leq C_2 n^d$ for all but finitely many n.

For example, the graph underlying the square lattice in the plane has quadratic growth (d = 2). If x is any vertex, then $|B_n(x)| = 2n^2 + 2n + 1$ for all $n \ge 1$, and one can set $C_1 = 2$ and $C_2 = 3$.

Continuing the notation of Equation (2.1) and Definition 2.10, we consider the generating function

$$\beta_x(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_n(x)| \, z^n \tag{2.2}$$

We denote the radius of convergence of $\beta_x(z)$ by R_B and define the *ball-growth rate of* Γ *about x* to be the reciprocal of R_B .

If Γ has exponential growth, then we have

$$\beta_x(z) \ge \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C\alpha^n z^n = \frac{C}{1 - \alpha z},$$
(2.3)

where $\alpha > 1$ is the supremum of values for which the series of Equation (2.2) converges. The convergence is absolute if and only if $|z| < 1/\alpha < 1$. If Γ has polynomial growth of degree d, then

$$C_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^d z^n \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_n(x)| z^n \le C_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^d z^n$$

By the "ratio test," the first and third series converge if and only if |z| < 1. These computations yield the following.

Proposition 2.11. Let R_B denote the radius of convergence of the generating function of Equation (2.2). Then $R_B < 1$ if and only if Γ has exponential growth, and $R_B = 1$ if and only if Γ has polynomial growth. Moreover, R_B is independent of the vertex x about which $|B_n(x)|$ is determined.

It will be seen in the next subsection (see Theorem 2.16) that the value of R_B is independent of the choice of the root vertex x.

It is well known (for example, see [9]) that there exist exactly eleven face-homogeneous Euclidean tessellations, namely the Laves nets. Their valence sequences $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$

correspond to integer solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{k-2}{2}.$$

A necessary condition for the existence of a face-homogeneous hyperbolic tessellation with valence sequence $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ is that the inequality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{k-2}{2} \tag{2.4}$$

hold. This condition is not sufficient, because as we have seen, not every such integer solution of the inequality (2.4) is realizable as a valence sequence.

Definition 2.12. The *angle excess* of a cyclic sequence $\sigma = [p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ is given by

$$\eta(\sigma) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{p_i - 2}{p_i}\right) - 2.$$

Motivation for this definition comes from Descartes' notion of angular defect in the Euclidean plane. When $\eta(\sigma) > 0$, there are too many faces incident at a vertex for the faces to be regular k-gons in the Euclidean plane.

Proposition 2.13. For a cyclic sequence $\sigma = [p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, inequality (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\eta(\sigma) > 0 \tag{2.5}$$

and is a necessary condition for σ to be a valence sequence of a face-homogeneous hyperbolic tessellation.

Angle excess provides a quick gauge of the growth behavior of a tessellation with valence sequence σ . If $\eta(\sigma) < 0$, the tessellation is finite. If $\eta(\sigma) = 0$, the tessellation is one of the Laves nets and has polynomial growth of degree 2. If $\eta(\sigma) > 0$, the tessellation has exponential growth. Additionally, we have the following comparison result.

Proposition 2.14. Let σ_1 and σ_2 be cyclic sequences that are comparable in the partial order. Then $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ if and only if $\eta(\sigma_1) < \eta(\sigma_2)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, where $\sigma_1 = [p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ and $\sigma_2 = [q_0, \ldots, q_{\ell-1}]$. By definition there exist $q_{i_0}, \ldots, q_{i_{k-1}}$ with $p_j \leq q_{i_j}$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$. So

$$\eta(\sigma_1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{p_j - 2}{p_j} \le \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{q_{i_j} - 2}{q_{i_j}} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{q_i - 2}{q_i} = \eta(\sigma_2).$$
(2.6)

If $k = \ell$, then $p_j < q_{i_j}$ for some j and the first inequality in (2.6) is strict. If $k < \ell$, the second inequality in (2.6) is strict. Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, at least one such strict inequality must hold.

2.5 Growth formulas

In Definition 2.10, the standard graph-theoretical metric was used to define polynomial and exponential growth of a connected graph. However, to measure growth rates of tessellations, it is more convenient to use the notion of "regional distance;" we will count the number of graph objects in the n^{th} corona of a Bilinski diagram centered at a given vertex, and our working definition of "growth rate" will be the following.

Definition 2.15. Let T be a tessellation labeled as a Bilinski diagram rooted at a vertex x. Let R be the radius of convergence of the power series

$$\varphi_x(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |F_i| z^i.$$
(2.7)

When $0 < R < \infty$, we define the growth rate of T (with respect to x) to be $\gamma(T) = 1/R$.

Although it was shown in [6] (see pages 3–4) that, for any connected planar map with bounded covalences, the above definition of growth rate is equivalent to the growth rate with respect to the standard graph-theoretic metric, we need to show that said growth rate is independent of the root of the Bilinski diagram in question.

Theorem 2.16. The growth rate $\gamma(T)$ of a face-homogeneous tessellation T computed by means of a Bilinski diagram is invariant under the choice of the root of the diagram.

Proof. Choose an arbitrary vertex x of T and consider a Bilinski diagram rooted at x. Recall that the sequences $\{U_n(x): 0 \le n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{F_n(x): 1 \le n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitute the conventional labeling of T as a Bilinski diagram with root vertex x. As T is face-homogeneous, all faces are k-covalent for some $k \ge 3$. Hence for any $n \ge 1$ and any vertex $v \in U_{n+1}(x)$ there exists a vertex $u \in U_n$ such that $d(u, v) \le \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. By induction on n, we obtain $d(x, v) \le (n+1) \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, yielding

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} U_i(x) \subseteq B_{n\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}(x)$$
(2.8)

and similarly,

$$B_n(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i(x).$$
(2.9)

In addition to the power series $\varphi_x(z)$ of Definition 2.15 with radius of convergence R_F , we require the power series $v_x(z) = \sum |U_n(x)| z^n$ with radius of convergence R_U . Writing

$$\Upsilon_x(z) = \frac{\upsilon_x(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n |U_i(x)| \right) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i(x) \right| z^n,$$

we have that the radius of convergence of $\Upsilon_x(z)$ equals $\min \{R_U, 1\} \leq R_B$ by Equation (2.8) (where R_B is as in Proposition 2.11). But similarly by Equation (2.9) we have that $R_B \leq \min \{R_U, 1\}$. Hence the radii of convergence of $\Upsilon_x(z)$ and $\beta_x(z)$ are equal, for any choice of root vertex x.

If p is the maximum valence of the vertices in T, each vertex is also incident with at most p faces, while each face is incident with k vertices, giving

$$|U_n(x)| \le k |F_{n+1}(x)| \le pk |U_{n+1}(x)|$$

for each $n \ge 0$, or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{k} |U_n(x)| \le |F_{n+1}(x)| \le p |U_{n+1}(x)|.$$

Hence the radii of convergence of $v_x(z)$ and $\varphi_x(z)$ are equal, and more importantly, $R_F = R_B$; that is, the rate of ball-growth equals the rate of growth when the Bilinski diagram is labeled from a vertex x.

Finally, it follows from Proposition 2.11 that ball-growth rates computed about distinct vertices are asymptotically equal in locally finite, connected, infinite graphs. Hence the radii of convergence of $\varphi_x(z)$, $\beta_x(z)$, $\beta_y(z)$, and $\varphi_y(z)$ are equal for all $x, y \in V$. That is to say, the growth rate of the graph is independent of the choice of root vertex.

Notation. The subscript on the symbol φ of Definition 2.15 has now been shown to be superfluous and will henceforth be suppressed.

Consider the function $\tau \colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$, (where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$) given by

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |F_i|.$$

The quantity

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} \tag{2.10}$$

was the definition of the growth rate of a face-homogeneous tessellation used by Moran [10] provided that this limit exists, in which case she called the tessellation *balanced*. Moran's limit fails to converge only when there exist subsequences of the sequence $\left\{\frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with distinct limits.

The following proposition shows that the parameters of a face-homogeneous tessellation determine an upper bound for the limit in Equation (2.10).

Theorem 2.17. Let T be a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, labeled as a Bilinski diagram. Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} \le 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i - 2k < \infty.$$

Proof. By hypothesis, each face of the tessellation shares an incident vertex with exactly

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (p_i - 2) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i - 2k$$

other faces. So for n > 0,

$$|F_{n+1}| \le |F_n| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i - 2k \right),$$

which in turn gives that for all n > 0,

$$\frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} \le 1 + \frac{|F_n|}{\sum_{i=0}^n |F_i|} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i - 2k\right)$$
$$\le 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i - 2k < \infty,$$

since T is locally finite.

By the "ratio test" of elementary calculus, the above proof implies that in the case of a "balanced" tessellation, Moran's definition of growth rate concurs with Definition 2.15, and

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)}$$

The definition of growth rate in terms of the radius of convergence of a power series also allows us to prove the following result, which is essential in many comparisons of growth rates of various tessellations.

Lemma 2.18 (Comparison Lemma). Let T_1 and T_2 be tessellations, and for i = 1, 2 let $|F_{i,n}|$ be the number of faces in the n^{th} corona of a Bilinski diagram of T_i . Suppose that for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|F_{1,n}| \leq |F_{2,n}|$ for all $n \geq N$. Then $\gamma(T_1) \leq \gamma(T_2)$.

Proof. Let

$$\phi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |F_{1,n}| z^n, \quad \phi_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |F_{2,n}| z^n,$$

and for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let R_i be the radius of convergence of $\phi_i(z)$ about 0. Then since $|F_{1,n}| \leq |F_{2,n}|$ for sufficiently large n, and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|F_{i,n}|} = \frac{1}{R_i} = \gamma(T_i),$$

we have $\gamma(T_1) \leq \gamma(T_2)$.

2.6 The edge-homogeneous case

We conclude our presentation of preliminary material with a quick review of what is known about growth rates of edge-homogeneous tessellations, as this case has been completely resolved and its consequences turn out to be useful here and there in attacking the present problem. The point of departure here is the following classification theorem of Grünbaum and Shephard. (Edge-symbols were defined in Section 1.)

Proposition 2.19 ([8, Theorem 1]). Let $p, q, k, \ell \ge 3$ be integers. There exists an edgehomogeneous, 3-connected, finite or 1-ended map with edge-symbol $\langle p, q; k, \ell \rangle$ if and only if exactly one of the following holds:

- 1. all of p, q, k, ℓ are even;
- 2. $k = \ell$ is even and at least one of p, q is odd;
- *3.* p = q is even and at least one of k, ℓ is odd;

4. p = q, $k = \ell$, and all are odd.

Such a tessellation is edge-transitive, and the parameters p, q, k, ℓ determine the tessellation uniquely up to homeomorphism of the plane. If p = q, then the tessellation is vertex-transitive. If $k = \ell$, then it is face-transitive.

Following up on the Grünbaum-Shephard result, the authors together with T. Pisanski completely determined the growth rates of all edge-homogeneous tessellations. Their main result is the following.

Proposition 2.20 ([6, Theorem 4.1]). Let the function

$$g\colon \{t\in\mathbb{N}:t\geq 4\}\to [1,\infty)$$

be given by

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(t - 2 + \sqrt{(t - 2)^2 - 4} \right).$$
(2.11)

Let T be an edge-homogeneous tessellation with edge-symbol $\langle p,q;k,\ell \rangle$, and let

$$t = \left(\frac{p+q}{2} - 2\right) \left(\frac{k+\ell}{2} - 2\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Then exactly one of the following holds:

- 1. the growth rate of T is $\gamma(T) = g(t)$; or
- 2. the edge-symbol of T or its planar dual is (3, p; 4, 4) with $p \ge 6$, and the growth rate of T is $\gamma(T) = g(t 1)$.

Observe that each value of $t \ge 4$ corresponds to only finitely many edge-homogeneous tessellations and that pairs of planar duals correspond to the same value of t. As the growth rates of edge-homogeneous tessellations are determined by an increasing function in one variable, the following is immediate.

Corollary 2.21. The least growth rate of an edge-homogeneous hyperbolic tessellation is $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$. This value is attained only by the tessellations with edge-symbols $\langle 3, 3; 7, 7 \rangle$, $\langle 4, 4; 4, 5 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 7; 4, 4 \rangle$, and their planar duals.

Remark 2.22. It is evident from Proposition 2.19 that if a tessellation is both edge- and face-homogeneous, then its edge-symbol and valence sequence have, respectively, either the forms $\langle p, p; k, k \rangle$ and $[p, p, \dots, p]$ or the forms $\langle p, q; k, k \rangle$ and $[p, q, \dots, p, q]$, the latter pair being possible only when k is even.

We mention that, by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 2.17, one easily obtains the following upper bound for the growth rate of an edge-homogeneous tessellation.

Proposition 2.23. Let T be an edge-homogeneous tessellation with edge-symbol $\langle p, q; k, \ell \rangle$. Then for any labeling of T as a Bilinski diagram, one has

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} \le 1 + \max\{pk, qk, p\ell, q\ell\}.$$

3 Accretion and monomorphic valence sequences

3.1 Accretion

Given an arbitrary face-homogeneous tessellation T with valence sequence $\sigma = [p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, we wish to apply Definition 2.15 to determine its growth rate. Letting T be labeled as a Bilinski diagram, we require a means to evaluate $|F_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is done inductively; each face $f \in F_n$ "begets" a certain number of facial "offspring" in F_{n+1} , and that number is determined by the configuration of f within $\langle F_n \rangle$, that is, what the valences are of the vertices incident with f (in the rotational order of σ) that belong, respectively, to U_{n-1} and more importantly to U_n .

A class of identically configured faces (in any corona) is a *face type*, and is denoted by \mathbf{f}_i for some range of i = 1, ..., r. The benefit of using face types is that we can define an *r*-dimensional column vector \vec{v}_n , called the n^{th} distribution vector, which lists the frequency with which each face type occurs in the n^{th} corona. Thus, if \vec{j} is the *r*-dimensional vector of 1s, then $|F_n| = \vec{j} \cdot \vec{v}_n$ via the standard dot product.

Figure 1 depicts a face $f \in F_n$ of some tessellation and the faces in F_{n+1} which are determined by the face type of f. These faces are called the *offspring* of f, and the figure is accordingly called the *offspring diagram* for f. As the vertex labeled p_j is incident with



Figure 1: A face f in F_n of a tessellation T, along with the offspring of f in F_{n+1} .

both faces f and $f' \in F_n$, one-half of those faces in F_{n+1} labeled as A in the figure count as offspring of f, and one-half are counted as offspring of f'. Similarly, half of the faces labeled by B count as offspring of f and half as offspring of f''. All those faces between labels A and B in Figure 1 are wholly offspring of f. Those faces which are offspring of f, or offspring of offspring of f, and so on, are called collectively *descendants* of f.

Definition 3.1. With respect to the labeling of a Bilinski diagram, each vertex incident with a face $f \in F_n$ lies in U_{n-1} or U_n . The pattern of valences of vertices in U_{n-1} and in U_n determines the *face type* of f. The three face types occurring most routinely are called wedges, bricks, and notched bricks. A face f in F_n is a wedge if it is incident with exactly one vertex in U_{n-1} . The face f is a brick if it incident with exactly two adjacent vertices in U_{n-1} and at least two vertices in U_n . Finally, f is a notched brick if it is incident with three consecutive vertices of U_{n-1} , of which the middle vertex is 3-valent, and f is incident with

two or more vertices in U_n . For a given labeling of a tessellation T as a Bilinski diagram, the face types of T are indexed $\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$; we explain the method by which indices are assigned after the statement of Theorem 3.7.

An algorithm by which one can describe the faces, corona by corona, of a tessellation labeled as a Bilinski diagram is called an *accretion rule*. Often some homogeneous system of recurrence relations determines such an accretion rule. In this case, the n^{th} distribution vector \vec{v}_n defined above has the property that the j^{th} component of \vec{v}_n is the number of faces of type \mathbf{f}_j in the n^{th} corona. We then encode the system of recurrences into a *transition matrix* M such that $\vec{v}_{n+1} = M\vec{v}_n$ holds for all $n \ge 1$. When $M = [m_{i,j}]$ is such a matrix, the entry $m_{i,j}$ is the number of faces of type \mathbf{f}_j that are offspring of a face of type \mathbf{f}_i . We require the following result from [6].

Proposition 3.2 ([6, Theorem 3.1]). Let T be a tessellation labeled as a Bilinski diagram with accretion rule specified by the transition matrix M and first distribution vector \vec{v}_1 . Then the ordinary generating function for the sequence $\{|F_n|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is

$$\varphi(z) = |F_0| + z \left(\vec{j} \cdot (I - zM)^{-1} \vec{v}_1 \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where I is the identity matrix and \vec{j} is the vector of 1s.

By using Definition 2.15, we can prove the following more directly than we did in Theorem 3.4 of [6].

Theorem 3.3. If M is the transition matrix of a tessellation T and Λ is the maximum modulus of an eigenvalue of M, then $\gamma(T) = \Lambda$.

Proof. We can write the generating function $\varphi(z)$ of Proposition 3.2 as a rational function u(z)/v(z), with v(z) determined entirely by $(I - zM)^{-1}$. Specifically, using Cramer's rule where r denotes the order of M, we have

$$(I - zM)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(I - zM)} \operatorname{adj}(I - zM)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(-z)^r \det(M - \frac{1}{z}I)} \operatorname{adj}(I - zM)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(-z)^r \chi(\frac{1}{z})} \operatorname{adj}(I - zM)$$
(3.2)

where $\chi(\frac{1}{z})$ is the characteristic polynomial (in $\frac{1}{z}$) of M. Entries of the adjoint $\operatorname{adj}(I-zM)$ are polynomials in z of degree at most r-1, and so $v(z) = (-z)^r \chi(\frac{1}{z})$. As $\chi(\frac{1}{z})$ is a polynomial in $\frac{1}{z}$ of degree exactly r, v(z) has a nonzero constant term and the roots of voccur precisely at the roots of $\chi(\frac{1}{z})$. These are precisely the reciprocals of the eigenvalues of M. Thus the minimum modulus of a pole of $\varphi(z)$ is $1/\Lambda$. As this is the definition of the radius of convergence of a power series expanded about 0, we have $\gamma(T) = \Lambda$.

3.2 Monomorphic, uniformly concentric sequences

As we have already remarked, valence sequences of face-homogeneous tessellations are unlike edge-symbols of edge-homogeneous tessellations in two significant ways: (i) the requirements for realizability of an edge-symbol are simpler and less stringent than the realizability criteria for a cyclic sequence, and (ii) two or more non-isomorphic face-homogeneous tessellations may share a common valence sequence. This latter property motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.4. Let σ be a cyclic sequence. If there exists (up to isomorphism) a unique face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence σ , then we say that σ is *monomorphic*. If there exist at least two (non-isomorphic) tessellations with valence sequence σ , then σ is *polymorphic*.

Proposition 3.5 (Moran [10]). All realizable cyclic sequences of length 3 are monomorphic.

A second property of interest is whether a given valence sequence is uniformly concentric. These two properties thus yield four classes of valence sequences. Not surprisingly, the class most amenable to an elegant and simple accretion rule consists of those that are both monomorphic and uniformly concentric.

One can find in [13] a complete classification of cyclic sequences of length k for $3 \le k \le 5$ in terms of Definition 3.4 which will help us to narrow our investigation. (It is actually the equivalent dual problem that is treated in [13], and the term "covalence sequence" is used. In the present work we have opted to follow Moran [10], speaking rather in terms of "valence sequences.")

We now turn to considering the relative growth rates of tessellations with monomorphic valence sequences. The ideal condition would be to have that the partial order on cycic sequences is mirrored by the natural order on growth rates: that is, if T_1 and T_2 are tessellations with valence sequences $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$, then $\gamma(T_1) \leq \gamma(T_2)$. For monomorphic, uniformly concentric valence sequences, this is precisely the case, as stated below in Theorem 3.7. In order to prove the theorem, we now demonstrate the necessary machinery via the following example, which can be readily generalized.

Example 3.6. Consider T_1 and T_2 to be face-homogeneous tessellations with monomorphic valence sequences $\sigma_1 = [4, 5, 4, 5]$ and $\sigma_2 = [4, 6, 6, 4, 5]$, respectively, both labeled as face-rooted Bilinski diagrams. Note that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. We continue the convention that $F_{i,n}$ denotes the set of faces of the n^{th} corona of T_i for i = 1, 2. (The reader may follow Figures 2 through 7.) Starting with T_1 , we construct by induction a sequence $\{T'_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of tessellations such that:

- 1. $T'_0 = T_1$ as a base for the induction,
- 2. if we denote by $F'_{j,n}$ the set of faces in the n^{th} corona of T'_j , then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the unions of the first n coronas of T'_j satisfy

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{n=1}^{j} F_{j,n}' \right\rangle \cong \left\langle \bigcup_{n=1}^{j} F_{2,n} \right\rangle$$

as induced subgraphs, and

3. $|F_{1,n}| \leq |F'_{i,n}|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

To construct T'_1 from T'_0 , the valence sequence of the root face of T'_0 must change from σ_1 to σ_2 . To do so, we augment the valence of a 5-valent vertex $v \in U_1$ to 6-valent and then



Figure 2: The first three coronas of T_1 .



Figure 3: The first three coronas of T'_1 . The dark gray region is a subgraph inserted by augmentation of the valence of a vertex from 5 to 6; the light gray region is a subgraph inserted while interpolating a 6-valent vertex along an incident edge. These insertions continue throughout all coronas of T'_1 .

subdivide an edge of $\langle U_1 \rangle$ incident with v by inserting a 6-valent vertex. Augmentation and interpolation are both performed via the insertion of an infinite "cone" as follows. We choose a sequence of edges e_2, e_3, e_4, \ldots , with $e_i \in \langle U_i \rangle$, such that e_2 and v are incident with a common face in F_1 , and for each $i \ge 2$, e_i and e_{i+1} are incident with a common face in F_i . On each of these edges we interpolate vertices, and we insert edges connecting vertices between U_i and U_{i+1} ensuring that every face so created has covalence 5. Furthermore, if a created face is incident only with interpolated vertices, then its valence sequence is σ_2 . This insertion is well-defined precisely because σ_2 is monomorphic, i.e., the vertices and edges may be inserted in exactly one way.

The resulting tessellation after the procedure just described is denoted by T'_1 . Faces of T'_1 fall into three classes: first, there are faces which have valence sequence σ_1 and in T'_0 were not incident with any part of the inserted cone; second, there are those faces with valence sequence σ_2 that have been inserted; finally, there are faces which are incident with newly inserted edges but which have neither valence sequence σ_1 nor σ_2 . A face f in this third class has covalence equal to the length of σ_2 , but some vertices incident with f have valences from σ_1 . These faces may occur in all coronas outward from the first corona.



Figure 4: An expanded view of the subgraph inserted when increasing the valence of a 5-valent vertex to 6-valent. Note that the 5-valent vertex in the upper left, marked by the arrow, is disrupting the valence sequence of the white face with which it is incident; if the marked vertex were 6-valent, that face would have valence sequence $\sigma_2 = [4, 6, 6, 4, 5]$.

We compare now the tessellations T_1 , T'_1 , and T_2 . In each case, the 0th corona contains only the root face. So from our construction,

$$|F_{1,0}| = |F'_{1,0}| = |F_{2,0}|$$
, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $|F_{1,n}| \le |F'_{1,n}|$,

as we have inserted faces into every corona outward from the first.

We construct T'_2 from T'_1 just as we created T'_1 from $T'_0 = T_1$; there is, however, one additional type of interpolation which may occur. Specifically, a vertex must be interpolated in an edge incident with two adjacent faces in $F'_{1,1}$. In Figure 6, an example of such an edge is marked with an arrow. This obstacle proves to be minor, as the necessary interpolation is shown in Figure 7 – rather than interpolating a vertex on an edge incident with vertices in both U_1 and U_2 , the vertex and its two neighbors are interpolated in U_2 , replacing a (5, 4, 5)-path in $\langle U_2 \rangle$ with a (5, 4, 6, 4, 5)-path.



Figure 5: An expanded view of the subgraph inserted when interpolating a 6-valent vertex along an edge incident to the root. Again note the marked 5-valent vertex in the upper left. (The large shaded region represents a number of faces of valence sequence [4, 6, 6, 4, 5] which are too dense to draw nicely in the Euclidean plane.)



Figure 6: Beginning the construction of T'_2 from T'_1 .



Figure 7: In the diagram to the left, the (4, 6)-edge at the bottom must have a 6-valent vertex interpolated, along with the attendant subgraph. However, we wish to avoid non-concentricity; hence the single 4-valent vertex x is expanded to a (4, 6, 4)-path as in the diagram on the right.

We continue by induction; suppose a tessellation T'_j has been created by this process. Then in the j^{th} corona, there are finitely many faces which require a finite number of vertices to have their valences increased and a finite number of edges along which we must interpolate a vertex. This creates T'_{j+1} such that

$$|F_{1,n}| \le |F'_{j+1,n}| = |F_{2,n}|$$

for n < j + 1, as the first j coronas are comprised only of faces with valence sequence σ_2 . Furthermore,

$$|F_{1,n}| \le \left|F_{j+1,n}'\right|$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this manner we can construct an infinite sequence of tessellations, namely $\{T'_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, with the properties that $|F_{1,n}| \leq |F'_{j,n}|$ for any $j, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $|F'_{j,n}| = |F_{2,n}|$ whenever j > n.

In the previous example, we constructed the sequence in the process of transforming T_1 with valence sequence [4, 5, 4, 5] into T_2 with valence sequence [4, 6, 6, 4, 5]; however, the process of creating $\{T'_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is identical in any case where T_1 and T_2 are face-homogeneous and uniformly concentric with monomorphic valence sequences σ_1 and σ_2 , respectively, where $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. Thus by Lemma 2.18, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.7 (Growth Comparison Theorem). Let σ_1 and σ_2 be monomorphic valence sequences realized by tessellations $T_1, T_2 \in \mathscr{G}_{4,4} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3,6}$, with $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. Then $\gamma(T_1) \leq \gamma(T_2)$.

Our convention is to index the face types $(\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_r)$ for some r in the following order: first wedges, then bricks, then notched bricks, and finally, other face types if any. A wedge in F_n with face type \mathbf{f}_i is incident with a p_{i-1} -valent vertex in U_{n-1} , for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Similarly, the indices of face types of bricks begin with a brick in F_n incident with a p_0 -valent vertex and a p_{k-1} -valent vertex in U_{n-1} . A new index \mathbf{f}_j is not introduced if there is some \mathbf{f}_i for i < j with the same configuration of vertices in U_{n-1} and U_n , up to orientation. For example, the valence sequence [4, 6, 8, 8, 6, 4] yields seven face types $\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_7$, of which $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2$, and \mathbf{f}_3 are wedge types and \mathbf{f}_4 through \mathbf{f}_7 are brick types. When a monomorphic sequence $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ is realized by a tessellation in $\mathscr{G}_{4,4} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3,6}$, then every face, with respect to *any* Bilinski diagram, can be only a wedge, a brick, or a notched brick. The indexing of face types when $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$ allows a stricter labeling which we can use in several other cases. A face f in F_n is a wedge of type \mathbf{w}_i when the vertex incident with f in U_{n-1} corresponds to valence p_{i-1} in σ . If instead f is a brick with incident vertices in U_{n-1} corresponding to valences p_{i-1} and p_{i-2} (indices here taken modulo k), then f has face type \mathbf{b}_i . Finally, if f a notched brick whose incident vertices in U_{n-1} have valences p_i , $p_{i-1} = 3$, and p_{i-2} , then f has face type \mathbf{n}_i . It is important to note that if $p_{i-1} \neq 3$, then faces of type \mathbf{n}_i never occur as offspring. This stricter labeling is used explicitly only for the few theorems which follow, by which we determine the number of offspring of each instance of these general face types. Furthermore, we demonstrate a first application of the accretion rules and half-counting of faces that were introduced in Section 3.1.

Notation. Let T be a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence σ , labeled as a Bilinski diagram. We denote by $\Omega(\mathbf{f})$ the number of faces in F_{n+1} that are counted as offspring of a single face of face type \mathbf{f} in F_n , for any n > 0. For $T \in \mathscr{G}_{4,4} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3,6}$ we let $\Omega(\mathbf{w}_i)$, $\Omega(\mathbf{b}_i)$, and $\Omega(\mathbf{n}_i)$ denote the number of offspring of a single wedge, brick, or notched brick of, respectively, of the given type.

Lemma 3.8. For a face-homogeneous tessellation in $\mathcal{G}_{4,4} \cup \mathcal{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathcal{G}_{3,6}$ with monomorphic valence sequence $\sigma = [p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$, one has for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{w}_i) = \frac{p_{i-2} + p_i}{2} - 2k + 3 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} p_j, \text{ and}$$
(3.3)

$$\Omega(\mathbf{b}_i) = \frac{p_{i-3} + p_i}{2} - 2k + 5 + \sum_{j \notin I_2} p_j,$$
(3.4)

where $I_1 = \{i - 2, i - 1, i\}$ and $I_2 = \{i - 3, i - 2, i - 1, i\}$. Also, when $p_{i-1} = 3$,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{n}_i) = \frac{p_{i-3} + p_{i+1}}{2} - 2k + 7 + \sum_{j \notin I_3} p_j$$
(3.5)

with $I_3 = \{i - 3, i - 2, i - 1, i, i + 1\}.$

Proof. The reader is referred to the three offspring diagrams shown in Figure 8.

Letting $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, the first diagram applies when $p_{i-1} \ge 4$. If also $p_{i-2}, p_i \ge 4$ as in the diagram, then we have

$$\Omega(\mathbf{w}_i) = \frac{p_{i-2} - 4}{2} + \frac{p_i - 4}{2} + k - 2 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} (p_j - 3)$$
$$= \frac{p_{i-2} + p_i}{2} - 2k + 3 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} p_j.$$

If instead $p_{i-2} = 3$, then the number of wedge offspring of \mathbf{w}_i is

$$\frac{p_i - 4}{2} + \sum_{j \notin I_1} (p_j - 3),$$



Figure 8: Offspring diagrams for the three general face types (respectively wedges, bricks, and notched bricks) of a tessellation with monomorphic, uniformly concentric valence sequence $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$.

the number of brick offspring is k - 3, and the number of notched brick offspring is $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus when $p_{i-2} = 3$,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{w}_i) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_i - 4}{2} + k - 3 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} (p_j - 3)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_i - 4}{2} + k - 2 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} (p_j - 3)$$
$$= \frac{p_{i-2} - 4}{2} + \frac{p_i - 4}{2} + k - 2 + \sum_{j \notin I_1} (p_j - 3)$$

as before; likewise when $p_i = 3$. Analogous arguments hold for the offspring of bricks and notched bricks.

The process of establishing an accretion rule and accompanying transition matrices is considerably simplified for tessellations in $\mathscr{G}_{4,4}$ by virtue of the absence of notched bricks. By applying the following lemma and Theorem 3.3, one can then compute the growth rate explicitly of any monomorphic valence sequence realizable in $\mathscr{G}_{4,4}$. Recall that by Proposition 2.3, all such valence sequences are uniformly concentric.

Lemma 3.9. Let $[p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ be the monomorphic valence sequence for a tessellation $T \in \mathcal{G}_{4,4}$. Then T has an accretion rule which admits the block transition matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

with $A = (a_{i,j}), B = (b_{i,j}), C = (c_{i,j}), and D = (d_{i,j})$ given by

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j-i = 0\\ \frac{1}{2}(p_{i-1}-4) & j-i \in \{1, k-1\} \pmod{k} \\ p_{i-1}-3 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j-i \in \{0,1\} \pmod{k} \\ \frac{1}{2}(p_{i-1}-4) & j-i \in \{2,k-1\} \pmod{k} \\ p_{i-1}-3 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

$$c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j - i \in \{0, 1\} \pmod{k} \\ 1 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j-i \in \{0,1,k-1\} \pmod{k} \\ 1 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

for $i, j \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Proof. Since all general face types are wedges or bricks, we need demonstrate only that the entries $a_{i,j}$ and $c_{i,j}$ correspond to numbers of offspring of the k face types in wedge configurations and that the entries $b_{i,j}$ and $d_{i,j}$ correspond to numbers of offspring of the k face types in brick configurations.



Figure 9: Offspring of a \mathbf{w}_i face in a tessellation $T \in \mathcal{G}_{4,4}$, where $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

The offspring of wedges of type \mathbf{w}_i are shown in Figure 9, and the offspring of a brick of type \mathbf{b}_i is shown in Figure 10. The ordering of face types is $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k$.



Figure 10: Offspring of a \mathbf{b}_i face in a tessellation $T \in \mathscr{G}_{4,4}$, where $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Recalling that the (i, j)-entry of a transition matrix M is the number of faces of the i^{th}

indexed type which are produced in F_{n+1} as offspring of a face of the j^{th} indexed type in F_n , it is straightforward to verify from these two offspring diagrams that the entries of M are correct.

Remark 3.10. We emphasize the breadth of this class of monomorphic, uniformly concentric valence sequences. In addition to the many monomorphic face-homogeneous tessellations in $\mathscr{G}_{3,6} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3+,5} \cup \mathscr{G}_{4,4}$, there are many with covalence 3 (cf. Proposition 3.5). By Proposition 2.19, all edge-transitive tessellations of constant covalence are included, except for those of the with valence sequence [3, p, 3, p] (edge-symbol $\langle 3, p; 4, 4 \rangle$), as they are not uniformly concentric. By Proposition 2.3, a *k*-covalent tessellation *T* is uniformly concentric whenever $k \ge 6$. If $k \ge 7$ and if σ is monomorphic, then $\sigma \ge [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]$. In that case, Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 2.20 tell us that σ has growth rate at least $\gamma([3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]) = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})$.

3.3 Monomorphic non-concentric sequences

The purpose of this section is to characterize the six forms of monomorphic, non-concentric valence sequences with positive angle excess. These sequences give rise to face types other than wedges, bricks, and notched bricks, and so the foregoing methods cannot be applied to compute their growth rates.

An interesting situation arises when a tessellation is not *uniformly* concentric but nonetheless, by prudent selection of the root, admits *some* Bilinski diagram that *is* concentric. To illustrate this point, we examine sequences of the form [4, p, q].

Example 3.11. Consider the valence sequence $\sigma = [4, p, q]$ with $4 , where <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{4}$, and let T be a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence σ . For σ to be realizable, clearly p and q must be even. Note as well that the inequality (2.4) is satisfied. While σ is monomorphic and admits a concentric Bilinski diagram, σ is not uniformly concentric (cf. the second case of Proposition 2.4).

When a Bilinski diagram of T admits a 4-valent vertex $v_0 \in U_n$ (for some n) adjacent to the vertices $u_1, u_2 \in U_{n-1}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in U_n$, then the diagram is not concentric; the vertices v_1 and v_2 must also be adjacent, as T is 3-covalent. Hence $\langle \{v_0, v_1, v_2\} \rangle$ is a cycle within $\langle U_n \rangle$, causing the Bilinski diagram to be non-concentric. However, it is possible to avoid this configuration by choosing the root of the Bilinski diagram to be either a p-valent or a q-valent vertex. When so labeled, only four face types occur, as demonstrated by the offspring diagrams in Figure 11.



Figure 11: Offspring diagrams for a concentric tessellation with valence sequence [4, p, q].

One sees here that if the root is taken to be a *p*-valent vertex, the first corona consists entirely of faces of type f_1 , which produce in turn only offspring of types f_2 and f_3 . Similarly, given a *q*-valent root, the first corona consists entirely of faces of type f_2 , which produce in turn only offspring of types f_1 and f_4 . The non-concentric configuration described above can never be produced among the descendants of faces of types f_1 or f_2 .

Inspection of Figure 11 gives the first and second columns of the transition matrix M; the third and fourth columns, corresponding to \mathbf{f}_3 and \mathbf{f}_4 , merit further explanation. A face of type \mathbf{f}_3 in F_{n+1} has a *p*-valent vertex in U_{n+1} ; this vertex is incident with p-5 faces of type \mathbf{f}_1 in F_{n+2} . So the behavior of a face of type \mathbf{f}_3 is effectively to collapse one of the faces in U_{n+2} of type \mathbf{f}_1 begotten by the adjacent face of type \mathbf{f}_2 . Faces of type \mathbf{f}_4 behave similarly, collapsing a face of type \mathbf{f}_2 . These considerations give us

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}(p-4) & -1 & 0\\ \frac{1}{2}(q-4) & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as the transition matrix M for this accretion rule for T. As the characteristic equation for M is of degree 4, it can be solved to determine that the maximum modulus of an eigenvalue of M is

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{[2(p-4)(q-4) - 16] + 2\sqrt{(p-4)^2(q-4)^2 - 16(p-4)(q-4)}}$$

By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 2.16, Λ is the growth rate of T. This quantity can be minimized by minimizing pq subject to the initial conditions $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{4}$ and that p and q be even. We shall see in Section 3.4 the role played by this example.

Growth rate formulas for each of the other five classes are derived in the Appendix.

Theorem 3.12. Let σ be a valence sequence such that $\eta(\sigma) > 0$. Then σ is both monomorphic and non-concentric if and only if σ is of one of the following six forms:

- (i) [3, p, p], with $p \ge 14$ and even;
- (ii) [4, p, q], with p and q both even, $4 , and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{4}$;
- (*iii*) [3, p, 3, p], with $p \ge 7$;
- (iv) [3, p, 4, p], with $p \ge 5$ and even;
- (v) [3, 3, p, 3, p], with $p \ge 5$; or
- (vi) [3,3,p,3,q], with $p,q \ge 4$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. The parity conditions and the inequalities bounding the parameters in each case are minimal such that σ be indeed realizable as a tessellation with $\eta(\sigma) > 0$.

As noted in Remark 3.10, all valence sequences of length at least 6 are uniformly concentric. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.5, all valence sequences of length 3 are monomorphic. Valence sequences [3, p, p], [4, p, q], and [3, p, 3, p] give rise to tessellations exemplifying cases 1, 2, and 4 respectively of Proposition 2.4, and hence cannot be uniformly concentric. As a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence [3, p, 3, p] is also edge-transitive, the sequence must be monomorphic. The proof that the sequence [3, p, 4, p] must be monomorphic and uniformly non-concentric is given in the Appendix, where the growth rate of a corresponding tessellation is determined.

We now prove that [3, 3, p, 3, p] is monomorphic for all p > 5. As a 3-valent vertex is incident with a common face with any two of its neighbors, every 3-valent vertex must be adjacent to at least two p-valent vertices; otherwise some face would be incident with a (3,3,3)-path. Consider a p-valent vertex v_1 . By face-homogeneity, v_1 is adjacent to some 3-valent vertex u_1 , with u_1 adjacent in turn to a 3-valent vertex u_2 which is not adjacent to v_0 . But then the other vertex adjacent to u_1 must be a p-valent vertex v_2 . This forces the pattern of valences at regional distance 1 from v_1 to be $(3, 3, p, \ldots, 3, 3, p)$; as v_1 was arbitrary, this must be the pattern of valences at regional distance 1 from any p-valent vertex. As every vertex is at regional distance 1 from some p-valent vertex, [3, 3, p, 3, p]must be monomorphic; the first two coronas of a tessellation with this valence sequence rooted at a *p*-valent vertex is depicted in Figure 12. Furthermore, this local configuration to a p-valent vertex forces the local behaviors to a (3,3)-edge and a 3-valent vertex shown in Figure 13. Hence when a 3-valent vertex v_0 is taken as the root of the Bilinski diagram of a tessellation with valence sequence [3, 3, p, 3, p], a pendant vertex occurs in $\langle U_3 \rangle$. This is shown in Figure 14. So [3, 3, p, 3, p] is monomorphic but not uniformly concentric; the argument for [3, 3, p, 3, q] is analogous.

We have shown these six forms to be both monomorphic and non-concentric; that these are the only such valence sequences is proved via the exhaustive examination of cases in the Appendix. \Box



Figure 12: The first two coronas of a tessellation with valence sequence [3, 3, p, 3, p] rooted at a *p*-valent vertex. Each shaded region indicates p - 3 faces in F_2 all having the same face type.

3.4 The main result

The following theorem establishes the so-called "golden mean" as the least rate of exponential growth for face-homogeneous tessellations with monomorphic valence sequences.

Theorem 3.13 (Least Exponential Growth Rate of Monomorphic Valence Sequences). *The least growth rate of a face-homogeneous tessellation with monomorphic valence sequence* σ such that $\eta(\sigma) > 0$ is $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ and is attained by exactly the tessellations with valence sequences [4, 6, 14] and [3, 4, 7, 4].



Figure 13: (A) Local configuration along an edge with edge-symbol (3,3;5,5) in a facehomogeneous tessellation with valence sequence [3,3,p,3,p]. (B) Local configuration in the same tessellation when rooted at a 3-valent vertex.



Figure 14: Non-concentricity of [3, 3, p, 3, p] when rooted at a 3-valent vertex v_0 .

Table 1: Table of the least exponential growth rate within each monomorphic class of valence sequences. All rates of growth have been truncated at four decimal places rather than being rounded.

| Class | σ | $\gamma(T_{\sigma}) \approx$ | Class | σ | $\gamma(T_{\sigma}) \approx$ |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| [p,p,p] | [7, 7, 7] | 2.6180 | [p, p, q, r, q] | $\left[4,4,6,5,6\right]$ | 6.6650 |
| [3, p, p] | [3, 14, 14] | 2.6180 | $\left[3,p,q,q,p\right]$ | $\left[3,4,6,6,4\right]$ | 4.9911 |
| [p,p,q] | [6, 6, 7] | 1.722 | [p,q,r,s,t] | $\left[4,6,10,12,8\right]$ | 14.5753 |
| $[{f 4},{f p},{f q}]$ | $[{f 4},{f 6},{f 14}]$ | 1.6180 | [p, p, p, p, p, p, p] | $\left[4,4,4,4,4,4\right]$ | 5.8284 |
| [p,q,r] | [6, 8, 10] | 3.4789 | [p, p, q, p, p, q] | $\left[4,4,5,4,4,5\right]$ | 7.1347 |
| [p,p,p,p] | [5, 5, 5, 5] | 3.7320 | $\left[p,q,p,q,p,q ight]$ | $\left[4,5,4,5,4,5\right]$ | 7.8729 |
| [p,p,q,q] | [4, 4, 6, 6] | 3.4081 | [p,q,q,p,r,r] | $\left[6,4,4,6,8,8\right]$ | 13.1291 |
| [3,p,3,p] | $\left[3,7,3,7 ight]$ | 2.6180 | [p,q,p,r,q,r] | $\left[4,5,4,6,5,6\right]$ | 9.8115 |
| [p,q,p,q] | [4, 5, 4, 5] | 2.6180 | [p,q,r,p,q,r] | $\left[4,6,8,4,6,8\right]$ | 13.5612 |
| [3,p,4,p] | [3, 6, 4, 6] | 2.9655 | $\left[p,q,p,r,s,r\right]$ | $\left[4,5,4,6,7,6\right]$ | 10.9033 |
| $[3,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}]$ | $[{f 3},{f 4},{f 7},{f 4}]$ | 1.6180 | [p,q,r,p,s,t] | $\left[4, 6, 8, 4, 10, 12\right]$ | 18.1174 |
| [p,q,p,r] | [4, 5, 4, 6] | 3.1462 | $\left[p,q,r,s,t,u\right]$ | $\left[4,6,10,14,12,8\right]$ | 23.9963 |
| [p,q,r,s] | [4, 6, 10, 8] | 7.0367 | $\left[3, p, p, 3, p, p\right]$ | $\left[3,4,4,3,4,4\right]$ | 4.3306 |
| $\left[p,p,p,p,p\right]$ | [4, 4, 4, 4, 4] | 3.7320 | $\left[3, p, 3, p, 3, p\right]$ | $\left[3,4,3,4,3,4\right]$ | 3.7320 |
| $\left[3,3,3,3,p\right]$ | $\left[3,3,3,3,7\right]$ | 1.7553 | $\left[3,3,3,p,q,p\right]$ | $\left[3,3,3,4,5,4\right]$ | 4.0265 |
| $\left[3,3,3,p,p\right]$ | $\left[3,3,3,6,6\right]$ | 3.0217 | $\left[3,p,q,3,q,p\right]$ | $\left[3,4,6,3,6,4\right]$ | 6.8091 |
| $\left[3,3,p,3,p\right]$ | $\left[3,3,5,3,5\right]$ | 2.6180 | $\left[3,p,3,q,3,r\right]$ | $\left[3,4,3,5,3,6\right]$ | 5.6723 |
| $\left[3,3,p,3,q\right]$ | $\left[3,3,4,3,5\right]$ | 1.9318 | [3, p, q, r, q, p] | $\left[3,4,6,5,6,4\right]$ | 8.0601 |

Proof. With respect to the partial order on valence sequences, if a valence sequence σ has length at least 7, then $[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3] \leq \sigma$. A face-homogeneous tessellation T_0 with valence sequence [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3] is edge-homogeneous with edge-symbol $\langle 3, 3; 7, 7 \rangle$ and so has growth rate $\gamma(T_0) = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})$ by Proposition 2.20. But then if $[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3] < \sigma$ and T is a tessellation with monomorphic valence sequence σ , then $\gamma(T_0) \leq \gamma(T)$, by Theorem 3.7. We proceed then by exhaustion: there are only finitely many forms of valence sequences of length at most 6. The Appendix contains an exhaustive classification of realizable valence sequences as monomorphic or polymorphic. For each form of monomorphic valence sequence, the least rate of growth is either determined or bounded below. The minimum growth rate of a minimal representative of each form is listed in Table 1. Of these forms, [4, 6, 14] and [3, 4, 7, 4] have the least rate of growth, shown to be $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ in the Appendix.

Remark 3.14. It is interesting to observe that the two tessellations realizing the minimum exponential growth rate are closely related. The face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence [4, 6, 14] can be realized by the classical tiling of the hyperbolic plane by triangles with interior angles $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\frac{\pi}{3}$, and $\frac{\pi}{7}$. Moreover, a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence [3, 4, 7, 4] is the subgraph of one with valence sequence [4, 6, 14] obtained by the deletion of all edges joining 6-valent and 14-valent vertices. Many artistic renderings of these tilings exist and can be found on web sites regarding the (2, 3, 7)-triangle group, the Order-7 triangular tiling, or triangular tilings of the hyperbolic plane.

4 Polymorphic valence sequences

4.1 A sufficient condition for polymorphicity

With respect to the ordering of cyclic sequences, the least polymorphic valence sequence with positive angle excess is [4, 4, 4, 5]; that is to say, every cyclic sequence σ such that $\sigma < [4, 4, 4, 5]$ is either not realizable as a tessellation, is realizable only by a finite map or a Euclidean tessellation, or is monomorphic. While all valence sequence of length 3 are monomorphic, k-covalent polymorphic valence sequences abound for $k \ge 4$. The following theorem gives a simple sufficient condition under which a realizable valence sequence is polymorphic.



Figure 15: A configuration of faces demonstrating polymorphicity.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\sigma = [p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}]$ be the valence sequence of a face-homogeneous tessellation $T \in \mathscr{G}_{4,4} \cup \mathscr{G}_{3+,5}$. If there exist distinct $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $p_i, p_{i+1} \ge 4$ and either

- 1. $p_i = p_j$, $p_{i+1} = p_{j+1}$, and $p_{i+2} \neq p_{j+2}$, or
- 2. $p_i = p_j$, $p_{i+1} = p_{j-1}$, and $p_{i+2} \neq p_{j-2}$,

then σ is polymorphic.

Proof. As the only two forms of valence sequences of length k = 4 that satisfy the hypothesis, namely [p, p, q, q] and [p, p, q, r], are polymorphic (see Appendix), we assume that $k \ge 5$. Also, since condition (2) is identical to (1) save for orientation within the cyclic sequence, it suffices to assume that there are distinct i, j such that (1) holds. Furthermore, we may assume i = 0 due to the rotational equivalence of valence sequences.

Since $k \ge 5$, there exists for some n a face in F_n incident with three consecutive vertices $u_0, u_1, u_2 \in U_n$ with valence $\rho(u_m) = p_m$ for m = 0, 1, 2. Let b be the brick in F_{n+1} incident with the edge u_0u_1 , and let b' be the brick (or perhaps notched brick if $p_2 = 3$) in F_{n+1} incident with the edge u_1u_2 . Let v_1, \ldots, v_r be the vertices in U_{n+1} incident with u_1 in consecutive order, so that v_1 is incident with b and v_r is incident with b'. Thus $r = p_1 - 2 \ge 2$. If σ contains a subsequence $[q, p_1, p_2]$ with $q \ne p_0$, then $\rho(v_r)$ may equal either p_0 or q, resulting in a choice of face types for b', and we're done. Otherwise we must have $\rho(v_r) = p_0$, which forces the vertex v_{r-2} and subsequent alternate neighbors of u_1 in U_{n+1} also to be p_0 -valent.

If p_1 is even, then $\rho(v_1)$ may equal either p_2 or p_{j+2} in which case the wedge $w \in F_{n+1}$ incident with vertices v_1, u_1, v_2 may be of either type \mathbf{w}_2 or type \mathbf{w}_{j+2} , and T is polymorphic. (See Figure 15.)

If p_1 is odd, then working backward as in the even case forces $\rho(v_1) = p_0$, which implies that either $p_0 = p_2$ or $p_0 = p_{j+2}$, and without loss of generality, we assume the former. Now we may assign $\rho(v_2)$ to be either p_0 or p_{j+2} , and the argument proceeds as in the even case.

The existence of polymorphic valence sequences considerably complicates the computation of growth rates of face-homogeneous tessellations. The above proof suggests that, unlike in the monomorphic case, polymorphic valence sequences may admit many different accretion rules, as we illustrate in the next section.

4.2 Two non-isomorphic tessellations with the same valence sequence

The minimal polymorphic valence sequence under the partial order on cyclic sequences, namely [4, 4, 4, 5], is unfortunately not amenable to study via our methods. In fact, there is no well-defined transition matrix between coronas, and this problem is shared by all valence sequences of the form [4, 4, 4, q] for q > 4. However, [4, 4, 6, 8] provides us with the opportunity to investigate two distinct (but related) accretion rules.

The valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8] is representative of form [p, p, q, r] discussed in the Appendix. As every face is incident with a pair of adjacent 4-valent vertices, every realization of this valence sequence contains a countable infinity of pairwise-disjoint double rays, each induced exclusively by 4-valent vertices. Figure 16 (A) shows a strip-like patch bordering a double ray of 4-valent vertices. To obtain Figure 16 (B) from this (or vice versa), one can fix pointwise the half-plane on one side of the double ray while translating the half-plane on the other side along one edge of the double ray.

To construct still other such (non-isomorphic) realizations, one can choose to "translate" along any one of these double rays by leaving fixed the half-plane on one side of the double ray but translating the half-plane on the other side by one edge. Since there exists



Figure 16: Two non-isomorphic patches of a tessellation with valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8], showing possible neighborhoods of double rays of 4-valent vertices.

a countable infinity of double rays along which one may choose to translate one or the other or neither of the adjacent half-planes, there exists an uncountable class of pairwise non-isomorphic tessellations that all have the same valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8].

While one might expect that all tessellations having the same valence sequence always have the same growth rate, we show that this is not so.

We begin by observing that every 4-valent vertex in a face-homogeneous tessellation with valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8] is adjacent to two other 4-valent vertices and two vertices with valences 6 or 8; thus any given 4-valent vertex either has exactly one 6-valent and one 8-valent neighbor, has two 6-valent neighbors, or has two 8-valent neighbors. Furthermore, every 4-valent vertex lies on a double ray (two-way infinite path) of 4-valent vertices; if one vertex along this path has a 6-valent neighbor and an 8-valent neighbor, then so does every other vertex along the double ray. This is the behavior demonstrated in Figure 16 (A).

If the local configuration specified in Figure 16 (A) is enforced along every double ray of 4-valent vertices, then the tessellation obtained is unique; let this tessellation be T_1 . We can then construct offspring diagrams for T_1 as given in Figure 17. It is interesting to note that T_1 is the dual of the Cayley graph of the group with presentation

$$G_1 = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = (bc)^3 = (caba)^4 = 1 \rangle.$$

Encoding the offspring diagrams into a matrix, we obtain the transition matrix M_1 of T_1 given below. The four entries underlined in the matrix are the only entries which change between this example and the next example, T_2 , that we construct.

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of M_1 is

$$f_1(z) = (z-1)(z+1)\left(z^2 + 3z + 1\right)\left(z^4 - 3z^3 - 4z^2 - 3z + 1\right),$$

which in turn gives that the eigenvalue of maximum modulus of M_1 is

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left(3 + \sqrt{33} + 2\sqrt{\frac{13}{2} + \frac{3\sqrt{33}}{2}} \right) \approx 4.13016$$



Figure 17: Offspring diagrams for T_1 .

Considering again the double-rays of 4-valent vertices, it is trivial to note that if a vertex on such a double ray has two 6-valent neighbors in the tessellation, then both vertices adjacent to it in the double-ray have two 8-valent neighbors. This local behavior is shown in Figure 16 (B).

If this pattern is extended to all such double rays we obtain the tessellation T_2 , which is also the dual of a Cayley graph. The underlying group of this Cayley graph is

$$G_2 = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = (ab)^2 = (ad)^2 = (cd)^3 = (bc)^4 \rangle.$$

The growth behavior of T_2 differs from that of T_1 only in the offspring of faces of types f_3 and f_4 , as shown in the offspring diagrams in Figure 18.



Figure 18: Offspring diagrams for T_2 .

The effect of the change of offspring of types \mathbf{f}_2 and \mathbf{f}_3 in the transition matrix of T_2 lies only in the underlined 2×2 submatrix of M_1 , while the remainder of the matrix M_2 remains identical to M_1 . Hence we have

$$M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{0}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of M_2 is

$$f_2(z) = (z-1)^2 \left(z^6 + 2z^5 - 15z^4 - 40z^3 - 15z^2 + 2z + 1 \right)$$

As polynomials of degree 6 are unfortunately not solvable by radicals, we obtain by approximation that the root of maximum modulus is $\lambda_2 \approx 4.14659$.

As these growth rates are nearly the same, there is only a small difference in corona sizes in the first several coronas. However, the size of the coronas and distribution of face types differs greatly farther from the root. To demonstrate this, Table 2 gives corona sizes in Bilinski diagrams of T_1 and of T_2 , both rooted at 4-valent vertices. Note that the sizes of the coronas of T_2 dominate those of T_1 only after the 13th corona.

4.3 Some conjectures

Ideally, all tessellations realizing the same polymorphic valence sequence would have the same growth rate. The example of valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8] illustrates that this is not so. We propose the following definitions.

Definition 4.2. Let σ be some polymorphic valence sequence, and define \mathscr{T}_{σ} to be the set of isomorphism classes of face-homogeneous tessellations with valence sequence σ . Let

$$\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma} = \inf\{\gamma(T) : T \in \mathscr{T}_{\sigma}\},\tag{4.1}$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\sigma} = \sup\{\gamma(T) : T \in \mathscr{T}_{\sigma}\},\tag{4.2}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\sigma} = \{T : T \in \mathscr{T}_{\sigma} \text{ and } \gamma(T) = \underline{\lambda}_{\sigma}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.3)$$

$$\mathscr{H}_{\sigma} = \{T : T \in \mathscr{T}_{\sigma} \text{ and } \gamma(T) = \overline{\lambda}_{\sigma}\}.$$
(4.4)

We conjecture that the lower and upper bounds $\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma}$ and $\overline{\lambda}_{\sigma}$ for any given valence sequence σ are realized.

Conjecture 4.3. Let σ be a polymorphic valence sequence. Then \mathcal{L}_{σ} and \mathcal{H}_{σ} are nonempty.

Bearing in mind the polymorphic valence sequence [4, 4, 6, 8] analyzed in Section 4.2, we propose as a conjecture the following sharper version of Theorem 3.7.

Conjecture 4.4. Let σ_1 and σ_2 be valence sequences such that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. Then

$$\lambda_{\sigma_1} \le \underline{\lambda}_{\sigma_2}.\tag{4.5}$$

In the spirit of the famous quote of the late George Pólya [12] ("If you can't solve a problem, then there is an easier problem you can solve: find it."), we offer the following (perhaps) easier conjecture.

| n | $ F_{1,n} $ | $ F_{2,n} $ | n | $ F_{1,n} $ | $ F_{2,n} $ |
|----|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 4 | 4 | 29 | 1.20050×10^{18} | 1.27748×10^{18} |
| 2 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 4.95826×10^{18} | 5.29701×10^{18} |
| 3 | 110 | 108 | 31 | 2.04784×10^{19} | 2.19652×10^{19} |
| 4 | 494 | 468 | 32 | 8.45791×10^{19} | 9.10786×10^{19} |
| 5 | 1938 | 1900 | 33 | 3.49325×10^{20} | 3.77673×10^{20} |
| 6 | 8272 | 7956 | 34 | 1.44277×10^{21} | 1.56603×10^{21} |
| 7 | 33464 | 32868 | 35 | 5.95887×10^{21} | 6.49377×10^{21} |
| 8 | 140046 | 136380 | 36 | 2.46111×10^{22} | 2.69268×10^{22} |
| 9 | 573610 | 565956 | 37 | 1.01648×10^{23} | 1.11655×10^{23} |
| 10 | 2.38167×10^6 | 2.34358×10^6 | 38 | 4.19821×10^{23} | 4.62986×10^{23} |
| 11 | 9.80378×10^6 | 9.73259×10^{6} | 39 | 1.73393×10^{24} | 1.91983×10^{24} |
| 12 | 4.05773×10^{7} | 4.02988×10^7 | 40 | 7.16140×10^{24} | 7.96071×10^{24} |
| 13 | 1.67365×10^{8} | 1.67318×10^8 | 41 | 2.95777×10^{25} | 3.30099×10^{25} |
| 14 | $\boldsymbol{6.91836\times10^8}$ | $6.93034\times\mathbf{10^8}$ | 42 | 1.22161×10^{26} | 1.36878×10^{26} |
| 15 | 2.85585×10^{9} | 2.87639×10^9 | 43 | 5.04544×10^{26} | 5.67580×10^{26} |
| 16 | 1.17992×10^{10} | 1.19181×10^{10} | 44 | 2.08385×10^{27} | 2.35352×10^{27} |
| 17 | 4.87218×10^{10} | 4.94504×10^{10} | 45 | 8.60662×10^{27} | 9.75910×10^{27} |
| 18 | 2.01257×10^{11} | 2.04947×10^{11} | 46 | 3.55467×10^{28} | 4.04670×10^{28} |
| 19 | 8.31149×10^{11} | $8.50179 	imes 10^{11}$ | 47 | 1.46814×10^{29} | 1.67800×10^{29} |
| 20 | 3.43297×10^{12} | 3.52419×10^{12} | 48 | 6.06363×10^{29} | $6.95799 	imes 10^{29}$ |
| 21 | 1.41782×10^{13} | 1.46172×10^{13} | 49 | 2.50438×10^{30} | 2.88520×10^{30} |
| 22 | 5.85596×10^{13} | $6.05990 	imes 10^{13}$ | 50 | 1.03435×10^{31} | $1.19637 	imes 10^{31}$ |
| 23 | 2.41857×10^{14} | 2.51322×10^{14} | 60 | 1.49395×10^{37} | 1.79797×10^{37} |
| 24 | 9.98918×10^{14} | 1.04199×10^{15} | 70 | 2.15777×10^{43} | 2.70207×10^{43} |
| 25 | 4.12567×10^{15} | 4.32117×10^{15} | 80 | 3.11654×10^{49} | 4.06079×10^{49} |
| 26 | 1.70397×10^{16} | 1.79166×10^{16} | 90 | 4.50134×10^{55} | 6.10274×10^{55} |
| 27 | 7.03766×10^{16} | 7.42979×10^{16} | 100 | 6.50145×10^{61} | 9.17148×10^{61} |
| 28 | 2.90667×10^{17} | 3.08066×10^{17} | 200 | 2.56861×10^{123} | 5.38996×10^{123} |

Table 2: Corona sizes in T_1 and T_2 ; emphasis on the 14th corona beyond which the coronas of T_2 appear to exceed in size those of T_1 .

Conjecture 4.5. Let σ_1 and σ_2 be valence sequences with $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. Then

$$\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma_1} \le \underline{\lambda}_{\sigma_2}.\tag{4.6}$$

If Conjecture 4.4 holds, then one could delete the condition of monomorphicity from the hypothesis of Theorem 3.7 and therefore from Theorem 3.13 as well. Moreover, the Appendix could be much abbreviated. For example, one could eliminate the exhaustive consideration of the many forms of 6-covalent face-homogeneous tessellations listed and treated there by observing that the least valence sequence σ of length 6 with $\eta(\sigma) > 0$ is [3,3,3,3,3,3,4]. Thus, if any tessellation with the polymorphic valence sequence [3,3,3,3,3,3,4] has growth rate greater than $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$, then so does every tessellation with valence sequence $\sigma \ge [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4]$.

Beyond these conjectures, there are some open questions. Consider the partially ordered set of valence sequences, and in particular, the poset consisting of the polymorphic valence sequences.

Question 4.6. As one goes up a chain in the poset, do intervals of the form $[\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma}, \overline{\lambda}_{\sigma}]$ become (asymptotically) longer?

Question 4.7. Do the intervals in the complement of

$$\bigcup_{\sigma} \left\{ \left[\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma}, \overline{\lambda}_{\sigma} \right] : \sigma \text{ is polymorphic} \right\}$$

become arbitrarily long?

If the answer to Question 4.7 is negative, we pose the following.

Question 4.8. If x is a sufficiently large real number, is there always some polymorphic valence sequence σ such that $\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma} \leq x \leq \overline{\lambda}_{\sigma}$?

Or, on the other hand,

Question 4.9. Do there exist polymorphic sequences σ , τ such that

$$\left[\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma}, \overline{\lambda}_{\sigma}\right] \cap \left[\underline{\lambda}_{\tau}, \overline{\lambda}_{\tau}\right] \neq \emptyset?$$

References

- S. Bilinski, Homogene mreže ravnine, Rad Jugoslav. Akad. Znanosti i Umjetnosti 271 (1948), 145–255, http://dizbi.hazu.hr/object/1496.
- [2] S. Bilinski, Homogene Netze der Ebene, Bull. Internat. Acad. Yougoslave Cl. Sci. Math. Phys. Tech. (N. S.) 2 (1949), 63–111.
- [3] J. A. Bruce and M. E. Watkins, Concentric Bilinski diagrams, Australas. J. Combin. 30 (2004), 161–174, https://ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/pdf/30/ajc_v30_p161.pdf.
- [4] S. J. Graves, Growth of Tessellations, Ph.D. thesis, Syracuse University, May 2009.
- [5] S. J. Graves, Tessellations with arbitrary growth rates, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 2435–2439, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.04.023.
- [6] S. J. Graves, T. Pisanski and M. E. Watkins, Growth of edge-homogeneous tessellations, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 23 (2009), 1–18, doi:10.1137/070707026.
- [7] S. J. Graves and M. E. Watkins, Appendix to "Growth of face-homogeneous tessellations", 2017, arXiv:1707.03443 [math.CO].
- [8] B. Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard, Edge-transitive planar graphs, J. Graph Theory 11 (1987), 141–155, doi:10.1002/jgt.3190110204.
- [9] B. Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard, *Tilings and Patterns*, A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences, W. H. Freeman & Company, New York, 1987.
- [10] J. F. Moran, The growth rate and balance of homogeneous tilings in the hyperbolic plane, *Discrete Math.* 173 (1997), 151–186, doi:10.1016/s0012-365x(96)00102-1.
- [11] P. Niemeyer and M. E. Watkins, Geodetic rays and fibers in one-ended planar graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 69 (1997), 142–163, doi:10.1006/jctb.1996.1733.

- [12] G. Pólya, Mathematical Discovery: On Understanding, Learning, and Teaching Problem Solving, Volume I, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962.
- [13] J. Šiagiová and M. E. Watkins, Covalence sequences of planar vertex-homogeneous maps, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007), 599–614, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2006.07.014.