



#### Also available at http://amc.imfm.si ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.) ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 5 (2012) 289–293

# Facial parity edge coloring of outerplane graphs

# Július Czap

Department of Applied Mathematics and Business Informatics, Faculty of Economics, Technical University of Košice, Němcovej 32, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia

Received 6 September 2011, accepted 1 December 2011, published online 28 March 2012

### Abstract

A facial parity edge coloring of a 2-edge-connected plane graph is such an edge coloring in which no two face-adjacent edges (consecutive edges of a facial walk of some face) receive the same color, in addition, for each face f and each color c, either no edge or an odd number of edges incident with f is colored with c. It is known that any 2-edge-connected plane graph has a facial parity edge coloring with at most 92 colors. In this paper we prove that any 2-edge-connected outerplane graph has a facial parity edge coloring with at most 15 colors. If a 2-edge-connected outerplane graph does not contain any inner edge, then 10 colors are sufficient. Moreover, this bound is tight.

Keywords: Plane graph, facial walk, edge coloring. Math. Subj. Class.: 05C10, 05C15

## 1 Introduction

The facial parity edge coloring concept was introduced in [4]. The motivation has come from the papers of Bunde et al. [1, 2]. They introduced parity edge colorings of graphs. A *parity walk* in an edge coloring of a simple graph is a walk along which each color is used an even number of times. Let p(G) be the minimum number of colors in an edge coloring of G having no parity path (*parity edge coloring*). Let  $\hat{p}(G)$  be the minimum number of colors in an edge coloring of G in which every parity walk is closed (*strong parity edge coloring*). Clearly, every parity edge coloring is a proper edge coloring. Although there are graphs G with  $\hat{p}(G) > p(G)$  [1], it remains unknown how large  $\hat{p}(G)$  can be when p(G) = k. In [1] it is mentioned that computing p(G) or  $\hat{p}(G)$  is NP-hard even when G is a tree.

The facial parity edge coloring can be considered as a relaxation of the parity edge coloring. We focus on facial cycles of plane graphs. This coloring has to satisfy the following two conditions:

E-mail address: julius.czap@tuke.sk (Július Czap)

1. face-adjacent edges receive different colors,

2. for every color c and every face f the total number of occurrences of edges colored with c on a facial walk of f is odd or zero.

The authors of [4] proved that every 2-edge-connected plane graph has a facial parity edge coloring with at most 92 colors.

In this paper we substantially improve this bound for the class of 2-edge-connected outerplane graphs.

Note that the vertex version of this problem was investigated in [5]. The authors proved that every 2-connected plane graph admits a parity vertex coloring using at most 118 colors. Kaiser et al. [8] improved this bound to 97. Czap [3] proved that any 2-connected outerplane graph has such a coloring with at most 12 colors. The generalization of the parity coloring for graphs and set systems can be found in [6].

## 2 Notation

Let us introduce the notation used in this paper. A graph which can be embedded in the plane is called *planar graph*; a fixed embedding of a planar graph is called *plane graph*. *Outerplane graphs* are plane graphs such that every vertex lies on the outer face.

A *bridge* is an edge whose removal increases the number of components. A graph which contains no bridge is said to be *bridgeless* or 2-edge-connected. In this paper we consider connected bridgeless plane graphs, multiple edges and loops are allowed.

Given a graph G and one of its edges e = uv (the vertices u and v do not have to be different), the *contraction* of e consists of replacing u and v by a new vertex adjacent to all the former neighbors of u and v, and removing the loop corresponding to the edge e. (We keep multiple edges if they arise.)

Two (distinct) edges are *face-adjacent* if they are consecutive edges of a facial walk of some face f.

A *k*-edge coloring of a graph G = (V, E) is a mapping  $\varphi : E(G) \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . We say that an edge coloring of a plane graph G is *facially proper* if no two face-adjacent edges of G receive the same color. The *facial parity edge coloring* of a 2-edge-connected plane graph is a facially proper edge coloring such that for each face f and each color c, either no edge or an odd number of edges incident with f is colored with c.

**Question 2.1.** What is the minimum number of colors  $\chi'_p(G)$  such that a 2-edge-connected plane graph G has a facial parity edge coloring with at most  $\chi'_p(G)$  colors?

## 3 Results

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $C_n$  be a cycle on n edges,  $n \ge 1$ . Then  $\chi'_p(C_n) \le 5$ .

*Proof.* If n = 1, then we use one color. Let n = 4k + z, where k is a non-negative integer and  $z \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . We repeat k times the pattern 1, 2, 1, 2 and then use colors  $1, 2, \ldots, z$ . The colors 1 and 2 are thus used 2k + 1 times, the remaining three colors are used at most once.

An edge of a plane graph not incident with the outer face is called *inner edge*.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G be a 2-edge-connected outerplane graph with no inner edge (bridgeless cactus graph). Then  $\chi'_p(G) \leq 10$ . Moreover, this bound is tight.

*Proof.* First we prove that the edges of G can be colored with at most 5 colors, say 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, in such a way that for every inner face f and every color  $c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , either no edge or an odd number of edges incident with f is colored with c, in addition, face-adjacent edges receive different colors.

The proof is by induction on the number of inner faces. If G has one inner face, then the statement follows from Lemma 3.1. Let G have k inner faces  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$  and assume that the face  $f_k$  is such a face of G that the corresponding vertex in a block graph of G is a leaf. Let H be a subgraph of G consisting of faces  $f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$ . The graph H has fewer inner faces than G, hence it has a required coloring. It is easy to extend the coloring of H to a coloring of G. Assume that the boundary of  $f_k$  is a cycle C. Clearly, C and H have exactly one vertex v in common. There are at most two forbidden colors for the edges of C incident with v. We have five colors, hence there is such a facial parity edge coloring of the cycle C that no two face-adjacent edges incident with v receive the same color in G.

In the next step we recolor some edges. Assume that a color  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  appears an even number of times in G. Let f be an arbitrary inner face which is incident with an edge of color i. We recolor all the edges of color i incident with f with a new color i + 5. Now the total number of occurrences of edges colored with i and i + 5 is odd in G.

This recoloring uses at most 10 colors.

To see that the upper bound is tight it is sufficient to consider the graph in Figure 1.  $\Box$ 



Figure 1: An example of a graph with no facial parity edge coloring using less than 10 colors.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let G be a bridgeless cactus graph with no  $C_5$ . Then  $\chi'_p(G) \leq 8$ . Moreover, this bound is tight.

*Proof.* First we show that among all cycles only the cycle on five edges requires 5 colors for a facial parity edge coloring. Let n = 4k + z, where k is a non-negative integer and  $z \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . If  $z \neq 5$ , then  $\chi'_p(C_n) \leq 4$  (see the proof of Lemma 3.1). If  $n = 4k + 5, k \geq 1$ , then  $\chi'_p(C_n) = 3$  (we repeat the pattern 1, 2, 3 three times and then repeat k - 1 times the pattern 1, 2, 1, 2).

Now we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let G be a bridgeless cactus graph with no  $C_5$  and no  $C_{4k}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Then  $\chi'_p(G) \le 6$ . Moreover, this bound is tight.

The *dual*  $G^*$  of a plane graph G can be obtained as follows: Corresponding to each face f of G there is a vertex  $f^*$  of  $G^*$ , and corresponding to each edge e of G there is an edge  $e^*$  of  $G^*$ ; two vertices  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  are joined by the edge  $e^*$  in  $G^*$  if and only if their corresponding faces f and g are separated by the edge e in G (an edge separates the faces incident with it). The *weak dual* of a plane graph G is the subgraph of the dual graph  $G^*$  whose vertices correspond to the bounded faces of G.

Lemma 3.5. [7] The weak dual of an outerplane graph is a forest.

We say that an edge coloring of a graph is *odd*, if each color class induces an odd subgraph (each vertex has an odd degree).

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $S_n$  be a star on n edges,  $n \ge 1$ . Then it has a facially proper odd edge coloring using at most 5 colors.

 $\square$ 

*Proof.* We can use the coloring defined in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let T be a tree. Then it has a facially proper odd edge coloring using at most 5 colors.

*Proof.* Pick any vertex of T to be the root. We color the edges of T starting from the root to the leaves. In each step it is sufficient to find a facially proper odd edge coloring of a star with (at most) one precolored edge.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let F be a forest. Then it has a facially proper odd edge coloring using at most 5 colors.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let G be a 2-edge-connected outerplane graph. Then  $\chi'_n(G) \leq 15$ .

*Proof.* First we color all the edges on the outer face with yellow color. The other edges let be green.

We successively contract the green edges and we obtain a graph H. The graph H is outerplane with no inner edge, hence, from Theorem 3.2 it follows that there exists a facial parity edge coloring of H with at most 10 colors.

In the following we extend the coloring of H to a coloring of G. Let F be the weak dual of G. Lemma 3.5 implies that F is a forest. By Corollary 3.8, F has a facially proper odd edge coloring which uses at most 5 colors. This coloring induces a coloring of the green edges of G in a natural way. The coloring of the yellow edges with at most 10 colors and the coloring of the green edges with at most 5 colors (these five colors are different than the previous ten ones) induce a required coloring of G.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank one of the anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

#### References

- D. P. Bunde, K. Milans, D. B. West and H. Wu, Parity and strong parity edge-coloring of graphs, *Congressus Numerantium* 187 (2007), 193–213.
- [2] D. P. Bunde, K. Milans, D. B. West and H. Wu, Optimal strong parity edge-coloring of complete graphs, *Combinatorica* 28 (2008), 625–632.
- [3] J. Czap, Parity vertex coloring of outerplane graphs, Discrete Math. 311 (2011), 2570–2573.
- [4] J. Czap, S. Jendrol' and F. Kardoš, Facial parity edge colouring, Ars Math. Contemp. 4 (2011), 255–269.
- [5] J. Czap, S. Jendrol' and M. Voigt, Parity vertex colouring of plane graphs, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011), 512–520.
- [6] J. Czap and Zs. Tuza, Partitions of graphs and set systems under parity constraints, preprint, 2011.

- [7] H. J. Fleischner, D. P. Geller and F. Harary, Outerplanar graphs and weak duals, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **38** (1974), 215–219.
- [8] T. Kaiser, O. Rucký, M. Stehlík and R. Škrekovski, Strong parity vertex coloring of plane graphs, *IMFM Preprint series* 49 (2011), #1144.