

Slavic **mokrъ*, Irish *ainmech* ‘wet, rain’

ERIC P. HAMP

Professor Emeritus, Department of Linguistics, 1010 East 59th Street,
Chicago, IL 60637, linguistics@uchicago.edu

SCN IV/1 [2011], 69–71

Avtor prikazuje etimološke povezave med baltščino, slovanščino, albanščino in keltščino za pojem ‘moker, dež’, ki se kažejo v rekonstrukciji praindoevropskega korena *mek- (~ *mok-).

The author demonstrates the etymological connections among Baltic, Slavic, Albanian, and Celtic for the term ‘wet’, reflected in PIE *mek- (~ *mok-).

Ključne besede: indoevropski jeziki, etimologija, balto-slovanski prajezik, keltski jeziki

Key words: Indo-European, etymology, Proto-Balto-Slavic, Celtic

Pokorny *IEW* 698 registers Lith. *makonē* (note lack of accent!) ‘Pfütze’ under *1. *măk-* ‘naß, feuchten’ along with *makénti*, *maknóti* ‘durch Kot waten’. He adds Latv. *mākuónis* ‘Bewölkung’, but I would rather set this aside, especially since Pokorny did not so much as show the enterprise to survey the Baltic horizon.

Pokorny then turns to the rich Slavic evidence, which he represents by OCS *mokrъ* ‘naß, feucht’, *močъ*, *-iti* ‘βρέχειν’, russ. *móknutъ* ‘naß werden’, *močítъ* (accent supplied) ‘to wet, soak’, *močá* ‘urine’. The iterative Russ. *máčivatъ* and Czech *mákati* ‘nässen’, Russ. *makát’* ‘dunk (e.g., in butter)’ simply exemplify the well-known Balto-Slavic *produktive Dehnstufe / vřdhi* derivative process. We can ignore Ligurian *Macra* and such river names, whether “Alteuropäisch” or not. Anyhow, they will be secondary and only approximative.

We now have E. Fraenkel, *Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch* (vol. 1, 1955) to supply (399sq. s.v. *makénti* ‘in sumpfigem Boden herumwaten...’, where the ‘Straßenkot’ and ‘tiefen Kot’ are surely important purely for its drenching wetness) *makōnē* ‘Sumpf, Morast, Pfütze’ from Nesselmann (*Wörterbuch*, Königsberg 1851) 378 citing Brodowski, and the meticulous P. Skardžius (*Lietuvių kalbos žodžių daryba* – Lithuanian word formation – Vilnius 1971) 276, who documents the word from Dusetos and Daugėliškis in the Vilnius (Švenčionys) region, i.e., the phonetically clear eastern dialect area. Note also *mak(n)yñē* ‘Stelle, wo viel Morast ist’ (Skardžius *LKD* 271, 356) from Šéta in

the Kėdaniai district; I take the alternation in this noun to result from dissimilation, thus yielding a source stem *makn-*. Continuing with Fraenkel's entry, we have Latv. *makņa* 'Sumpf, moorige Stelle', and verb *makņīt* derived from this. Fraenkel then cites Slavic cognates (part of those above). Note that he also cites Latv. *mākuōņa*, *-nis*, *mākulis* 'Wolke', *mākuļuōt*, *māktiēs* 'sich bewölken', but these have a different suffix set and may or may not be related.

Thus from Baltic we clearly have Lith. *makōnē*, *makn-*, *makénti*, *maknóti*, *maknótas*, Latv. *mākņa*. Remember that Lith. *o* represents earlier *ā, and therefore cannot represent directly the vocalism of a full-grade IE nasal suffix; it must result from an innovative Lithuanian creation, but with a nasal. We thus recover **makn-*.

It is now possible to see that Slavic **mokrъ* does not need to be **mok-ró-* or **mək-ró-*. It appears strongly that in Baltic **makn-* and Slavic **mokr-* we have the residue of an old heteroclitic **mokr/n-*, like **uodr/n-*, etc.

Vasmer (*Russisches etymologischer Wörterbuch* 2, 1955, 148) added to these Irish *mōin* 'Sumpf, Moor'; he clearly got this from Whitley Stokes (*Wortschatz der keltischen Spracheinheit*, 4th ed. 1894, part 2 of A. Fick's *Wörterbuch*, p. 197; 5th unaltered issue Göttingen 1979). I think that this looks less than likely for us. Perhaps we can farther than Vendryes *LÉIA* M-60 or *LEW*, 2.30, but that is a discussion perhaps outside the present one. Note that peat goes beyond rain, wet, and urine.

Pokorny IEW also mentioned Arm. *mōr* 'Kot, Schlamm, Sumpf'. This could of course represent **mawr* in Armenian, and come from **matr-*. But one must in any case consult G. R. Solta, *Die Stellung des Armenischen im Kreise der indogermanischen Sprachen* (Wien: Mechitharisten 1960) 191–2 s.v. *mrur* 'Bodensatz, Schmutz, faeces, residuum', esp. 192, which leads to **meu-* (IEW 741), and then proceed from there. Again, our attention is properly focussed on wetness, and not on filth and faeces, in which connexion cf. the derivations and semantic phraseology s.v. *makéti* in Smocziński *SEJLit* (Wilne 2007) 369–370.

We may now turn back to Balto-Slavic **mokr/n-*, (Balto)Slavic **mok-* and Albanian *makë* 'film formed on liquid, scum', which are all that remain of Pokorny's *măk-*. But they can equally reflect **mok-*. Apparently it was really only Ligurian that seemed to tip the scales in the first place!

We can now easily accommodate Frankel's suggestion of Lith. *mēkeris* 'Fastensuppe aus Wasser, Schwarzbrot und Zucker', referring to Skardžius *LKŽD* 305; note also the *-r-* alongside the *-n-* we have observed. (The *e*-grade could have belonged to the locative sg. of the *r/n*-paradigm.) Note also Lith. *mekētas*, *-is* 'aus Sahne und Schmalz ohne Zusatz von Mehl bereitete Tunke zu Kartoffeln' (Fraenkel *op. laud.* 428 citing from Skardžius *LKŽD* 339, attested from Mosédis in Kretinga district). We may surely recognize a base **mek-* (~ *mok-*) in Balto-Slavic.

For *ain(n)mech* 'wet, rainy' Vendryes *LÉIA* A-37 opines laconically 'sans étymologie'. It is now proposed that with intensive *an-* (*LÉIA* A-70) we have **ande-meko-* (whether the compound is old or not) or **ande-moko-* if it is surely old.

But with or without the Celtic the Balto-Slavic-Albanian (and early and semantically) restricts us to North European IE or its substrata.¹

REFERENCES

- Ernst FRAENKEL, 1955: *Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Heidelberg: C. Winter.
- Georg Heinrich Ferdinand NESSELMANN, 1851: *Wörterbuch der Litauischen Sprache*. Königsberg.
- Pranas SKARDŽIUS, 1971: *Lietuvių kalbos žodžių daryba*. Vilnius: Lietuvos mokslos akademija. (= LKŽD)
- Max VASMER, 1955: *Russisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Heidelberg: C. Winter.
- Whitley STOKES and Adalbert BEZZENBERGER, 1979: *Wortschatz der keltischen Spracheinheit*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, unaltered reprint of August FICK, *Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der indergermanischen Sprachen*: 2. Teil: *Wortschatz der keltischen Spracheinheit*, von Whitley Stokes und Adalbert Bezzemberger. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Joseph VENDRYES, 1974: *Lexique étymologique de l'irlandais ancien*. Paris: Centre National De La Recherche Scientifique Paris. (= LÉIA)
- Julius POKORNY, 1959: *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Bern and Munich: Francke Verlag. (= IEW)
- Georg Renatus SOLTA, 1960: *Die Stellung des Armenischen im Kreise der indogermanischen Sprachen*. Vienna: Mechitharisten.
- Wojciech SMOCZIŃSKI, 2007: *Słownik etymologiczny języka litewskiego*. Vilnius: Vilniaus Universitetas Filosofijos fakultetas. (= SEJLit)

SLOVANSKO *MOKRЪ, IRSKO AINMECH ‘MOKER, DEŽ’

Avtor prikazuje etimološke povezave med baltščino, slovanščino, albanščino in keltščino za pojem ‘moker, dež’, ki se kažejo v rekonstrukciji korena *mek- (~ *mok-). Prabalt. *makn- in praslov. *mokr- dokazujeta nekdanji obstoj heteroklita *mokr/n-, skupaj z alb. *makē* pa odražajo praindoevropsko *mok- (namesto *măk-* Pokornega). Sestavljenka *ain(n)mech*, če je res sestavljena iz domnevnegra *ande-meko- ali *ande-moko-, prav tako kaže na prevojni različici *mek-/*mok-. Areal ostanka tega korena je omejen na severno indoevropščino ali njene substrate.

¹ EPH in Markey & Greppin *When Worlds Collide* 1990, 291–305.