Original Research Article

Different susceptibility of two *Botrytis cinerea* **strains to supercritical** CO₂ plant extracts

Sabina Anžlovar¹, Jasna Dolenc Koce^{1,*}

Abstract

Botrytis cinerea is an airborne plant pathogen with a necrotrophic lifestyle. As a generalist, *B. cinerea* has no host specificity and infects over 500 plant species. There are many studies about the phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *B. cinerea* strains worldwide. Two different morphological strains of *B. cinerea* were previously isolated also in Slovenia from buckwheat. The morphological diversity of *B. cinerea* is also reflected in different susceptibility to plant extracts. We tested the susceptibility of two *B. cinerea* strains derived from buckwheat grain to eleven extracts of plant species *Humulus lupulus, Nepeta cataria, Taraxacum officinale, Achillea millefolium, Calendula officinalis, Chamomilla recutita, Helichrysum arenarium, Hypericum perforatum, Juniperus communis, Sambucus nigra* and *Crataegus* sp. obtained by supercritical fluid extraction using CO₂ (SFE-CO₂). The resistance profiles showed that strain II of *B. cinered* was generally susceptible to the action of these $SFE-CO₂$ extracts, whereas strain I was more resistant. The concentration-dependent antifungal activity of the chamomile extract and sandy everlasting indicates their possible use as a fungicide for both strains of *B. cinerea*.

Keywords

antifungal activity, *Chamomilla recutita*, fungal pathogen, *Helichrysum arenarium*, phytochemicals

1 Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Biology, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana

*** Corresponding author:**

E-mail address: jasna.dolenc.koce@bf.uni-lj.si

Citation: Anžlovar, S., Dolenc Koce, J. (2023). Different susceptibility of two *Botrytis cinerea* strains to supercritical CO₂ plant extracts. Acta Biologica Slovenica 66 (1)

https://doi.org/10.14720/abs.66.1.14400

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license

Različna občutljivost dveh sevov glive *Botrytis cinerea* **za rastlinske izvlečke, pripravljene z ekstrakcijo s superkritičnim ogljikovim dioksidom**

Izvleček

Botrytis cinerea je rastlinski patogen, ki se prenaša po zraku in ima nekrotrofni način življenja. Kot generalist nima specifičnega gostitelja in lahko okuži več kot 500 rastlinskih vrst. Obstaja veliko študij o fenotipski in genotipski raznolikosti sevov *B. cinerea* iz različnih regij sveta. Predhodno sta bila v Sloveniji iz ajde izolirana dva različna morfološka seva, ki kažeta različno občutljivost za rastlinske izvlečke. V raziskavi smo testirali občutljivost obeh sevov *B. cinerea* za enajst rastlinskih izvlečkov iz vrst *Humulus lupulus, Nepeta cataria, Taraxacum officinale, Achillea millefolium, Calendula officinalis, Chamomilla recutita, Helichrysum arenarium, Hypericum perforatum, Juniperus communis, Sambucus nigra* in *Crataegus* sp., pridobljnih z ekstrakcijo s superkitičnim ogljikovim dioksidom (SFE-CO₂). Iz rezulatov vidimo, da je bil sev II na splošno bolj občutljiv za delovanje izvlečkov SFE-CO₂, medtem ko je bil sev I bolj odporen. Koncentracijsko odvisna protiglivna aktivnost izvlečkov kamilice in smilja kaže, da sta rastlinska izvlečka lahko uporabna kot fungicida proti obema sevoma *B. cinerea*.

Ključne besede

protiglivna aktivnost, *Chamomilla recutita*, glivni pathogen, *Helichrysum arenarium*, rastlinske spojine

Introduction

Botrytis spp. are fungal pathogens that can cause preand postharvest disease in a wide variety of economically important crops. As a generalist, *B. cinerea* does not exhibit host specificity and infects over 500 plant species (Cheung et al., 2020). It causes grey mould disease in a wide range of crops, including vegetables, ornamentals, fruits, and cereals. The disease primarily affects flowers and buds, but infections can also occur on fruits, leaves, and stems. Grey mould rot is characterized by light brown to soft brown spots or blotches covered with a dusty mould that can cause seedlings, young shoots, and leaves to wilt and collapse and buds, flowers, and fruits to become blotchy and rotten. It is most destructive to mature or senescent tissues of dicotyledonous hosts, but it usually invades these tissues at a much earlier stage of plant development and remains dormant for a considerable period of time before rapidly decaying the tissues when the environment is favourable and the host physiology changes (Williamson et al., 2007).

There are numerous studies on the phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *B. cinerea* isolates from different regions of the world (Martinez et al., 2008; Isenegger et al., 2008; Kuzmanovska et al., 2012; Asadollahi et al., 2013;

Kumari et al., 2014; Plesken et al., 2021). Two different morphological isolates of *B. cinerea* were also previously isolated from buckwheat in Slovenia (Kovačec et al., 2016). The genetic diversity and phenotypic characteristics of the different isolates may be the reason why *B. cinerea* can infect a large number of potential plant hosts (Corwin et al., 2016). As a result, it can counteract a wide range of plant defence chemicals. Control of diseases caused by *B. cinerea* has been largely dependent on the use of synthetic fungicides. Therefore, *B. cinerea* is a pathogen at high risk for developing resistance to fungicides (Hahn, 2014). High frequencies of isolates resistant to one or more chemically unrelated fungicides have been reported in *B. cinerea* populations from many crops (Zhao et al., 2010; Weber, 2011; De Miccolis Angelini et al., 2014; Rupp et al., 2017; Saito and Xiao, 2018). Therefore, due to the fungicide-resistant phenotypes in the *B. cinerea* population among crop hosts, an alternative control method, such as biological control of the fungus, is a better choice and must be integrated into existing rot management programmes to control this emerging disease (Ons et al., 2020). In addition, stricter regulations on the use of chemicals and the modern view of harmful residues have led to a renewed focus on natural products such as plant extracts and essential oils and their use as antifungal agents. Šernaite et al. (2020) reported that cinnamon extract proved to be the most effective agent against apple grey mould. Behshti et al. (2020) confirmed the antifungal activity of the essential oils of anise, fennel, chamomile, and majaroam applied to fruits of grape both *in vitro* and *in vivo* after harvest. Among them, anise oil completely inhibited the growth of *B. cinerea* in vitro. Supercritical fluid extraction with CO₂ (SFE-CO₂) has gained attention as an environmentally friendly extraction method because $CO₂$ is a non-toxic, inert, and available solvent, and the extracts obtained are chemically more complex or different compared to conventional extracts (Fornari et al., 2012).

The aim of this study was to determine whether the morphological diversity of *B. cinerea* isolates from buckwheat is also reflected in the differential susceptibility to plant extracts. Although there is a report on the morphological and biochemical diversity of *B. cinerea* isolates from buckwheat (Kovačec et al. 2016), there are no reports on their pathogenic diversity. Given the different growth rates and morphology, different susceptibility of the two fungal strains to plant extracts is also expected.

Materials and Methods

Plant extracts

Supercritical $CO₂$ extracts of 11 plant species were obtained from IME Insol (Slovenia), using flowers but also whole herbs and fruits of wild-grown plants from the

region of Bihač, Bosnia and Herzegovina (Table 1). The extracts were prepared with a BBES 2.0 extraction system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) under 200-300 bar and 40-50 °C and analyzed for their content using a GC-MS system (GCMS-QP2010 Ultra, Shimadzu, Japan) as described in Schoss et al. (2022).

Fungal growth inhibition assay

The antifungal activities of the SFE-CO₂ extracts were tested against *B. cinerea* previously isolated from buckwheat grains (Kovačec et al., 2016) and deposited in the fungal bank of the Plant Physiology Laboratory (Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia). First, *B. cinerea* colonies were divided into two morphologically distinct groups based on mycelial growth rate and sclerotia formation. Subsequently, the fungal genomic DNA of each group was isolated using GenElute® Plant Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA amplification was performed by PCR (Minicycler PTC 150, MJ Research) using Taq DNA polymerase and the primer pair ITS1F/ITS4 (Kovačec et al., 2016). The reaction mixtures and PCR conditions were the same as described in Likar and Regvar (2013). Purification and sequencing of PCR products were performed by Macrogen (The Netherlands). To identify the strains, the sequences were subjected to BLAST searches within the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

The inhibitory effect of SFE-CO₂ extracts on the radial growth of *B. cinerea* mycelia was tested according to the

Table 1. The list of plants and plant parts used for the preparation of SFE-CO₂ extracts. Tabela 1. Seznam rastlin in njihovih delov, uporabljenih za pripravo izvlečkov SFE-CO₂.

method described in our previous study (Anžlovar and Dolenc Koce, 2014). First, a 10% extract was prepared by mixing 0.1 g of each SFE-CO₂ extract with 1 mL of 70% ethanol (Merck, Germany) and stirring the extract on a vortex (IKA, USA) until it dissolved. Elderberry and dandelion extracts were dissolved in 100% acetone (Merck, Germany).

A volume of 50 μ L of the 10% SFE-CO₂ extracts was spread with a Drigalski spatula in a Petri dish (2r = 90 mm; Golias, Slovenia) containing 2% (w/v) potato dextrose agar (Biolife, Italy). Disks of *B. cinerea* mycelia (2r = 5 mm) were cut from the margins of 7-day-old fungal cultures and aseptically inoculated by placing them in the centre of a fresh plate containing the extract. Control samples with 70% ethanol or 100% acetone and without extracts were prepared at the same time. fungal colonies were incubated for seven days at room temperature (23±2 °C) in the dark. Mycelial growth was assessed on the $7th$ day after the inoculation. The plates were photographed with a digital camera (EOS 1000D, Canon, Tokyo, Japan), and the area (cm²) of fungal colonies was measured using the image analysis software ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). Inhibition of fungal growth was expressed as a percentage of growth reduction and calculated according to the formula of Anžlovar et al. (2020):

Inhibition (%) = (*AC* – *AT*) / *AC* × 100,

where *AC* is the area of mycelial growth of control colonies, and *AT* is the area of mycelial growth of treated colonies. Three replicates ($N = 3$) were performed for the controls and each treatment with SFE-CO₂ extract.

In addition, the two most active extracts (chamomile and sandy everlasting) were tested for antifungal activity at concentrations of 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, and 3.13%.

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed to calculate mean values and standard errors, and the treatments were compared with a t-test (MS Excel). The level of significance was set at a P-value < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Differences between morphological types of *B. cinerea* isolated from buckwheat have been observed previously (Kovačec et al., 2016). *Botrytis cinerea* strain I formed circular, white, and cotton-like colonies with filiform margins, whereas strain II had lobed margins, and the colonies were compact with black sclerotia (Figure 1). The growth of the two strains was also significantly different (p < 0.0001); the mean size of fungal colonies after seven days of growth was 47.98 ± 1.67 cm2 for *B. cinerea* strain I and 12.33 ± 3.00 cm2 for *B. cinerea* strain II (N = 12). The same type of differences in growth between *B. cinerea* strains has also been reported previously (Martinez et al., 2003; Kovačec et al., 2016).

The morphological diversity of the two *B. cinerea* strains was also reflected in different resistance profiles to the SFE-CO₂ extracts used in this study. Strain II was generally sensitive to these SFE-CO₂ extracts, whereas

Figure 1. Mycelium of *Botrytis cinerea* strain I (left) and strain II (right) on PDA 7 days after inoculation.

Slika 1. Micelij glive *Botrytis cinerea* sev I (levo) in sev II (desno) na krompirjevem agarju 7 dni po inokulaciji.

strain I was more resistant (Table 2). The growth of strain I was most strongly inhibited by extracts of chamomile and sandy everlasting. Extracts of hops, juniper and yarrow also significantly inhibited strain I growth, but their inhibition was much lower and close to control values. In contrast, the growth of strain II was significantly inhibited by almost all extracts (chamomile, hops, marigold, black elderberry, catnip, hawthorn), except for St. John's wort extract, which had a growth-promoting effect on it (Table 2). The yarrow extract showed markedly different strain-specific inhibition of *Botrytis* growth, with strain II being completely inhibited, whereas strain I was inhibited by less than 10% (Table 2). A similar but less pronounced pattern was also observed with SFE-CO₂ extracts of common juniper, common hops, common marigold, and catnip. An even more pronounced strain-specific pattern of *Botrytis* growth was observed with SFE-CO₂ extracts of black elderberry, dandelion, and hawthorn, where the growth of strain I was promoted by 1-6 %, while the growth of strain II was inhibited by 40-80% (Table 2).

The different resistance profiles of the two *B. cinerea* strains were the expected result considering the different morphological types and growth rates of the two strains. Kovačec (2016) reported that these two *B. cinerea* strains differed by orders of magnitude in their cellulase and polyphenol oxidase activities, indicating major differences in their biology. Because *B. cinerea* can be necrotrophic, cellulase activity is essential for the degradation of

plant cell walls to obtain nutrients (Boddy, 2016) and is, therefore, important for the pathogenicity of the fungus. Anand et al. (2008) reported that virulent isolates of *Alternaria alternata* had higher production of cellulolytic enzymes compared to avirulent isolates. Kumari et al. (2014) found that higher concentrations of oxalic acid and higher activity of lytic enzymes were associated with the pathogenicity of *B. cinerea* isolates. On the other hand, the resistance profiles of the two strains are not correlated with the production of extracellular enzymes, as strain II, which was more susceptible to SFE-CO₂ extracts, has much higher cellulase and polyphenol oxidase activity than strain I (Kovačec 2016). Saito (2018) reported that of 200 *B. cinerea* isolates obtained from mandarin fruit, five fungicide-resistant phenotypes with triple resistance to azoxystrobin, pyrimethanil, and thiabendazole were detected. Five percent of phenotypes were resistant to one fungicide class, 23.5% to two fungicide classes, and 62% to three fungicide classes.

Since the strongest inhibition of the two *B. cinerea* strains was obtained with the extracts of chamomile and sandy everlasting, the antifungal activities were further evaluated using the 16-fold concentration range of these extracts, from 50% to 3.125%. In general, higher extract concentrations resulted in greater inhibition of fungal growth of the two *B. cinerea* isolates. Growth of the sensitive strain II and the resistant strain I was completely inhibited by the 50- and 25-per cent concentrations of

Table 2. Antifungal activity of 10% SFE-CO₂ plant extracts on mycelial growth of two strains of *Botrytis cinerea*. Data present means ± standard error (N=3). Statistically significant differences between control and extract treatment are marked with an asterisk (*).

Tabela 2. Protiglivna aktivnost 10% SFE-CO₂ rastlinskih izvlečkov na rast micelija Botrytis cinerea I in II. Podatki so povprečja ± standardne napake (N = 3). Zvezdica (*) prikazuje statistično značilno razliko (P < 0,05) med izvlečkom in kontrolo.

chamomile SFE-CO₂ extract, and both showed similar dose-dependent inhibition of fungal growth (Fig. 2). The sandy everlasting SFE-CO₂ extract had different effects on the growth of the two isolates: while the growth of strain I was significantly dose-dependent inhibited, the growth of strain II was strongly inhibited even by the lowest, 3.125% concentration of sandy everlasting extract (Fig. 2). The composition of the sandy everlasting SFE-CO₂ extract was chemically poorly identified and not comparable to what was previously reported for its essential oil (Schoss et al., 2022). The major constituent was γ-curcumin, which may be responsible for the antifungal activity of the sandy everlasting extract, as it was not found in other SFE-CO₂ plant extracts we studied (Schoss et al., 2022).

The chamomile flower SFE-CO₂ extract completely inhibited the growth of both strains (Fig. 2). The major components of the chamomile extract are α-bisabolol oxide A and α-bisabolol oxide B (Schoss et al., 2022). Bisabolol and its oxidized metabolites contribute to the therapeutic properties of chamomile. α-bisabolol has a Generally Regarded as Safe status (GRAS) and has been found to have antibacterial, antifungal, insecticidal, anti-inflammatory, and anti-ulcer activity (Avonto et al., 2013). While α-bisabolol is found in a wide variety of plants, bisabolol oxides are less common natural products, but all these compounds are of potential pharmacological importance (Avonto et al., 2013). The second major constituent of SFE-CO₂ extract from chamomile flower is tonghausu

(Schoss et al., 2022), which is known as an antifeedant compound in the Chinese vegetable tonghao and other plants of the Asteraceae tribe Anthemideae (Chen et al., 2004). Polygodial, which exhibits antifungal activity against normal and resistant isolates of *B. cinerea*, also shows insect antifeedant activity (Carrasco et al., 2017). Similarly, tonghausu, which has antifeedant and antifungal activity, could be the reason for the wider antifungal activity of chamomile extract, as it exhibits inhibitory activity against both strains of *B. cinerea*. The strong antifungal activity of the chamomile extract could also be due to the α-bisabolol oxide (Lucca et al., 2011), as these were not detected in the other SFE-CO₂ extracts tested.

The two *B. cinerea* strains were resistant to St. John's wort extract (Table 2), whose main components are caryophyllene oxide, heneicosane, phytol, and caryophyllene (Schoss et al., 2022). St. John's wort is a chemically and pharmacologically well-studied medicinal plant. Extracts from the aerial parts are used internally to treat depression and externally to cure skin disorders (Tocci et al., 2018). The xanthone-rich extracts from the roots are being studied for their marked antifungal activity against human pathogens, including *Candida* species (Zubricka et al., 2015), and their activity against planktonic cells and biofilm of *Malassezia furfur* (Simonetti et al., 2016). Crockett et al. (2011) isolated three xanthones from St. John's wort root extract and tested them for growth inhibition of plant pathogenic fungi of the genera *Colletotrichum*, *Botrytis*, *Fusarium*, and

Figure 2. Concentration-dependent antifungal activity of chamomile and sandy everlasting SFE-CO₂ extracts. Data present means ± standard error (N = 3). Legend: C, chamomile; SE, sandy everlasting; Bc-I, *B. cinerea* strain I; Bc-II, *B. cinerea* strain II

Slika 1. Koncentracijsko odvisna protiglivna aktivnost SFE-CO₂ izvlečkov kamilice in smilja. Podatki so povprečja ± standardne napake (N = 3). Legenda: C, kamilica; SE, smilj; Bc-I, *B. cinerea* sev I; Bc-II, *B. cinerea* sev II

Phomopsis. Xanthone 1 was identified as a novel inhibitor of the plant pathogenic fungi *Phomopsis obscurans* and *P. viticola*, but no inhibition of *Colletotrichum*, *Botrytis*, and *Fusarium* species was observed. Since the SFE-CO₂ St. John's wort extract in this study was prepared from a flowering herb, the xanthone-rich roots would be a better choice for testing the antifungal activity of St. John's wort.

Control of diseases caused by *B. cinerea* depends largely on the use of fungicides. However, *B. cinerea* is a pathogen at high risk of developing resistance to fungicides (Hahn, 2014). The objective of this study was to test the resistance of two *B. cinerea* strains derived from buckwheat grain to eleven SFE-CO₂ plant extracts. The resistance profiles showed that strain II of *B. cinerea* was generally susceptible to the action of these SFE-CO₂ extracts, while strain I was more resistant. The extracts of chamomile and sandy everlasting have the potential to be effective as fungicides for both strains.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, SA; methodology, SA; software, JDK; validation, SA, JDK; formal analysis, SA, JDK; investigation, SA..; data curation, SA, JDK; writing—original draft preparation, SA..; writing—review and editing, JDK; funding acquisition, JDK. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Dea Govc Pušnik for technical support and Dr. Nina Kočevar Glavač for SFE-CO₂ extracts.

Funding

This research was funded by Slovenian Research Agency, grant number P1-0212.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Anand, T., Bhaskaran, R., Karthikeyan, T. G., Rajesh, M., Senthilraja, G., 2008. Production of Cell Wall Degrading Enzymes and Toxins by Colletotrichum Capsici and *Alternaria Alternata* Causing Fruit ROT of Chillies. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 48 (4), https://doi.org/10.2478/v10045-008-0053-2

Anžlovar, S., Dolenc Koce, J., 2014. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of aqueous and organic extracts from indigenous and invasive species of goldenrod (*solidago* spp.) grown in Slovenia. Phyton - Ann Rei Bot, 54(1), 135–47.

Anžlovar, S., Janeš, D., Dolenc Koce, J. 2020. The Effect of Extracts and Essential Oil from Invasive *Solidago* spp. and *Fallopia japonica* on Crop-Borne Fungi and Wheat Germination. Food technology and biotechnology, 58(3), 273-283. https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.58.03.20.6635

Asadollahi, M., Fekete, E., Karaffa, L., Flipphi, M., Árnyasi, M., Esmaeili, M., 2013. Comparison of Botrytis cinerea populations isolated from two open-field cultivated host plants. Microbiology Research, 168, 379–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2012.12.008

Avonto, C., Wang, M., Chittiboyina, A.G., Avula, B., Zhao, J., Khan, I.A., 2013. Hydroxylated Bisabolol Oxides: Evidence for Secondary Oxidative Metabolism in *Matricaria chamomilla*. Journal of Natural Products, 76 (10), 1848-1853. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np4003349

Behshti, M., Jahani, M., Aminifard, M. H., Hosseini, S.A., 2020. Essential oils to control Botrytis cinerea in vitro and in vivo on grape fruits. Journal of horticulture and postharvest research, 3(2), 161-172. https://doi.org/10.22077/jhpr.2019.2644.1079

Boddy, L., 2016. Chapter 11 - Fungi, Ecosystems, and Global Change, in: The Fungi, Editor(s): Sarah C. Watkinson, Lynne Boddy, Nicholas P. Money, Academic Press, 361-400. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-382034-1.00011-6.

Carrasco, H., Robles-Kelly, C., Rubio, J., Olea, A.F., Martínez, R., Silva-Moreno, E., 2017. Antifungal Effect of Polygodial on *Botrytis cinerea*, a Fungal Pathogen Affecting Table Grapes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 18, 2251. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112251

Chen, L., Xu, H., Yin, B., Xiao, C., Hu, T., Wu. Y., 2004. Synthesis and Antifeeding Activities of Tonghaosu Analogues. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 52 (22), 6719-6723, https://doi.org/10.1021/jf049479v

Cheung, N., Tian, L., Liu, X., & Li, X.,2020. The Destructive Fungal Pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*-Insights from Genes Studied with Mutant Analysis. Pathogens, 9(11), 923. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9110923

Corwin, J. A. Subedy, A., Eshbaugh, R., Kliebenstein, D. J. 2016. Expansive Phenotypic Landscape of Botrytis cinerea Shows Differential Contribution of Genetic Diversity and Plasticity, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 287-298, 29,4, https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-09-15-0196-R

Crockett, S., Poller, B., Tabanca, N., Pferschy-Wenzig, E., Kunert, O., Wedge, D., Bucar, F., 2011. Bioactive xanthones from the roots of Hypericum perforatum (common St John's wort). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 91 (3), 428-434. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4202

De Miccolis Angelini, R.M., Rotolo, C., Masiello, M., Gerin, D., Pollastro, S. and Faretra, F. 2014. Occurrence of fungicide resistance in populations of *Botryotinia fuckeliana* (*Botrytis cinerea*) on table grape and strawberry in southern Italy. Pest Management Science, 70, 1785-1796. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3711

Fornari, T., Vicente, G., Vázquez, E., García-Risco, M.R., Reglero, G., 2012. Isolation of essential oil from different plants and herbs by supercritical fluid extraction. Journal of chromatography. A, 1250, 34–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.04.051

Hahn, M., 2014. The rising threat of fungicide resistance in plant pathogenic fungi: Botrytis as a case study. Journal of chemical biology, 7(4), 133-141. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12154-014-0113-1

Isenegger, D.A., Macleod, W.J., Ford, R., Taylor, P.W.J., 2008. Genotypic diversity and migration of clonal lineages of *Botrytis cinerea* from chickpea fields of Bangladesh inferred by microsatellite markers. Plant Pathology, 57, 967–973. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2008.01885.x

Kovačec, E., Likar, M., Regvar, M., 2016.Temporal changes in fungal communities from buckwheat seeds and their effects on seed germination and seedling secondary metabolism. Fungal Biology, 120 (5), 666-678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2016.03.003.

Kumari, S., Tayal, P., Sharma, E., Kapoor, R., 2014. Analyses of genetic and pathogenic variability among *Botrytis cinerea* isolates. Microbiological Research,169(11), 862-872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2014.02.012

Kuzmanovska, B., Rusevski, R., Jankuloski, L., Jankulovska, M., Ivic, D., Bandzo, K., 2012. Phe-notypic and genetic characterization of Botrytis cinerea isolates from tomato. Genetika, 44(3), 633–647. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/GENSR1203663K

Lucca, A.J.D., Pauli, A., Schilcher, H., Sien, T., Bhatnagar, D., Walsh, T.J., 2011. Fungicidal and bactericidal properties of bisabolol anddragosantol.J. Essential Oil Research, 23, 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2011.9700457

Martinez, F., Blancard, D., Lecomte, P. et al., 2003. Phenotypic Differences Between *vacuma* and *transposa* subpopulations of *Botrytis cinerea*. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 109, 479–488. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024222206991

Martinez, F., Coriocostet, M.F., Levis, C., Coarer, M., Fermaud, M., 2008. New PCR primers applied to characterize distribution of Botrytis cinerea population in French vineyards. Vitis, 47(4), 217–226. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5073/vitis.2008.47.217-226

Ons, L., Bylemans, D., Thevissen, K., Cammue, B.P.A., 2020. Combining Biocontrol Agents with Chemical Fungicides for Integrated Plant Fungal Disease Control. Microorganisms, 4;8(12):1930. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8121930

Plesken, C., Pattar, P., Reiss, B., Noor, Z. N., Zhang L., Klug, K., Huettel, B., Hahn, M., 2021. Genetic Diversity of *Botrytis cinerea* Revealed by Multilocus Sequencing, and Identification of *B. cinerea* Populations Showing Genetic Isolation and Distinct Host Adaptation. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12, https:// www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.663027

Rupp, S., Weber, R.W., Riege,r D., Detzel, P., Hahn, M. 2017. Spread of Botrytis cinerea Strains with Multiple Fungicide Resistance in German Horticulture. Frontiers in Microbiology, 3 (7), 2075. https://doi.org/10.3389%2Ffmicb.2016.02075

Saito, S., Xiao C.L., 2018. Fungicide Resistance in Botrytis cinerea Populations in California and its Influence on Control of Gray Mold on Stored Mandarin Fruit. Plant Disease, 102(12), 2545-2549. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-18-0766-RE

Schneider, C., Rasband, W., Eliceiri, K., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods, 9, 671-675. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2089

Schoss, K., Kočevar Glavač, N., Dolenc Koce, J., Anžlovar, S., 2022. Supercritical CO₂ Plant Extracts Show Antifungal Activities against Crop-Borne Fungi. Molecules, 27, 1132. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27031132

Šernaitė, L., Rasiukevičiūtė, N., Valiuškaitė, A., 2020. Application of Plant Extracts to Control Postharvest Gray Mold and Susceptibility of Apple Fruits to *B. cinerea* from Different Plant Hosts. Foods, 9(10), 1430. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101430

Simonetti, G., Tocci, N., Valletta, A., Brasili, E., Diodata, F., Idoux, A., Pasqua, G., 2016. In vitro antifungal activity of extracts obtained from Hypericum perforatum adventitious roots cultured in a mist bioreactor against planktonic cells and biofilm of Malassezia furfur. Natural Product Research, 30 (5), 544- 550. DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2015.1028059

Tocci, N., Gaid, M., Kaftan, F., Belkheir, A., Belhadj, I., Liu, B., Ansch, R., Pasqua, G., Beerhues, L., 2018. Exodermis and endodermis are the sites of xanthone biosynthesis in *Hypericum perforatum* roots. New Phytologist, 217, 1099-1112. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14929

Weber, R.W.S., 2011. Resistance of *Botrytis cinerea* to Multiple Fungicides in Northern German Small-Fruit Production. The American Phytopathological Society, 95(10), 1263-1269. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-11-0209

Williamson, B., Tudzynski, B., Tudzynski, P., van Kan, J.A. 2007. *Botrytis cinerea*: the cause of grey mould disease. Molecular Plant Pathology,8(5), 561-80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2007.00417.x

Zhao, H., Kim, Y.K., Huang, L., Xiao, C.L., 2010. Resistance to thiabendazole and baseline sensitivity to fludioxonil and pyrimethanil in *Botrytis cinerea* populations from apple and pear in Washington State, Postharvest Biology and Technology,56 (1), 12-18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2009.11.013.

Zubrická, D., Mišianiková, A., Henzelyová, J., Valletta, A., De Angelis, G., Simonetti, G., Pasqua, G., Ellárová, C.,2015. Xanthones from roots, hairy roots and cell suspension cultures of selected Hypericum species and their antifungal activity against Candida albicans. Plant Cell Reports, 34, http://link.springer. com/10.1007/s00299-015-1842-5