Suitability of Alternatives to Rectal Temperature Measurements in Pet Rodents, Rabbits and Ferrets: A Literature Review

Key words	Jelle Stans
rectal temperature, rodents,	Institute for Globally Distributed Open Research and Education, Beringen, Belgium
rabbits, ferrets,	*Corresponding author: jelle.stans@igdore.org
alternatives	Abstract: Body temperature is a vital parameter to assess the health of exotic animals. Rectal thermometry is a common way to measure body temperature in rodents, rabbits and ferrets and often considered the gold standard. However, taking a rectal tempera- ture often involves restraint and can lead to stress in these animals. To avoid the stress of rectal temperature measurements, alternative (often less invasive) techniques have been utilized in several species. These methods include tympanic thermometry, axillary thermometry and infrared thermography. It is however important to establish whether these strategies yield comparable readings to the gold standard. Therefore, a literature review was performed using the MedLine and Google Scholar databases. Base terms referring to rectal temperature and thermometry were combined with species-specific search terms. Relatively few studies were identified about alternatives to rectal tempera- ture measurements in rodents, rabbits and ferrets. In general, it can be noted that only
Received: 14 November 2022 Accepted: 8 March 2023	transponder measurements have repeatedly been described to be a valid alternative to rectal temperature measurement. Further research should be conducted.

Introduction

Body temperature is a vital parameter to assess the health of exotic animals (1). An elevated body temperature can signal an infection or systemic inflammation (2) while hypothermia may, for example, arise as a complication as a complication of general anaesthesia and surgery (3). In rodents and rabbits, body temperature was shown to be of prognostic value in a clinical setting (4, 5).

Rectal thermometry is a common way to measure body temperature in rodents (6), rabbits (7) and ferrets (8) and often considered the gold standard. However, taking a rectal temperature often involves restraint and can lead to stress in these animals (9, 10). This can, amongst others, impact the readings (11).

To avoid the stress of rectal temperature measurements, alternative (often less invasive) techniques have been utilized in several species. These methods include tympanic thermometry (12), axillary thermometry (13) and infrared thermography (14). It is however important to establish whether these strategies yield comparable readings to the gold standard. In cats and dogs, such studies have been conducted suggesting that alternative methods are not always a good replacement for rectal thermometry (14, 15). In rodents, rabbits and ferrets, the body of literature comparing temperature measurement seems to be limited while this is a particularly important topic because of the stress-inducing consequences of rectal thermometry.

Therefore, the aim of the current review article is to summarize the non-invasive temperate measure methods investigated in pet rodents, rabbits and ferrets and assess whether they are a suitable alternative to rectal temperature measurement. Additionally, suggestions for further research are formulated.

Search strategy and inclusion

Between the 3rd and 5th of September 2022, the MedLine database was searched through Pubmed. The base term "("rect*") AND (("temp*") OR ("therm*"))" was combined with species-specific terms: "AND (("guinea pig*") OR ("cavy") OR ("cavies"))", "AND (("mouse*") OR ("mice"))", "AND (("rat") OR ("rats"))", "AND (("hamster*")", "AND ("gerbil*")", "AND ("degu*")", "AND ("chinchilla*")", "AND ("rabbit*")" and "AND ("ferret*")". Google Scholar was searched during the same period with the base term "rectal temperature" combined with the following species-specific terms: "guinea pig*", "mice", "rat*", "hamster*", "gerbil*", "degu*", "chinchilla*", "rabbit*" and "ferret*".

The titles of the publications in the search results were screened for papers that could be eligible for inclusion. The abstracts of potentially eligible publications were read and included if (1) they described a comparison between rectal temperature measurement and at least one non-invasive method, (2) described agreement between rectal measurement and a non-invasive method and (3) the study was conducted in at least one eligible species (rodent, ferret or rabbit).

Characteristics of included studies

The searches yielded eligible studies for guinea pigs (3 studies, 16-18), mice (5 studies; 16, 19-22), rats (4 studies; 9, 19, 23-24), chinchillas (1 study, 25), rabbits (3 studies; 7, 16, 26) and ferrets (3 studies; 8, 27, 28). No eligible studies were identified for hamsters, gerbils and degus. The included studies and important characteristics are shown in table 1. All publications described prospective studies of multiple animals with sample sizes ranging from 6 to 48. Studies were conducted in both healthy animals and patients. In selected studies, there was a focus on laboratory animals. Publication dates ranged from 1997 and 2021, but it is clear that a significant number was published over 15 years ago.

Suitability of alternative methods per species

In Guinea pigs, two studies (16,17) investigated the use of transponders to measure body temperature, with mixed results. Both studies were conducted in an experimental setting using animals raised as laboratory animals. One study mentioned it to be a valid alternative to rectal temperature (17) while the other mentioned it was not (16). Other methods compared to rectal temperatures were tympanic, laser, axillary and inguinal thermometry (4, 17, 18). None of these methods were mentioned to be a valid alternative for rectal temperature measurement. The authors of the second study (17) mentioned that the transponder system they used made sounds that may be disturbing to the guinea pigs. Additionally, they stated that due to the hand-held nature of non-contact thermometers, it is difficult to obtain measurements from a comparable distance.

In mice, microchip transponders were also investigated, both subcutaneously and intraperitoneally (16, 19). One of these studies reported subcutaneously and intraperitoneal transponders to be a valid alternative to rectal temperature measurement (19). One study also mentioned infrared thermometry of the ear and back skin to be a valid alternative (20). They also stated that this technique allows skin temperature to be measured easily at these sites. Other strategies were not deemed a suitable alternative in all cases (20, 21, 22). All of these studies were performed in laboratory animals.

In rats, microchip transponders (intraperitoneally and subcutaneously) and (temperature-sensitive) telemetry were deemed to be usable alternatives to rectal thermometry (9,19, 23, 24). However, as stated above, it is important to assess whether the specific detection method does not disturb the animals. Additionally, the telemetry was only investigated in a research setting (9, 23). This means it should be assessed whether these results can be translated to the clinic. Finally, all of these studies were performed in laboratory rats.

Human and veterinary thermometers were investigated as an alternative to rectal temperature measurement in chinchillas (25). Unfortunately, both methods were deemed unsatisfactory. In this case, the studies were also conducted in an experimental setting. The animals were sourced from breeding facilities. Thermography was assessed in the eye, inner ear, external ear and nose of rabbits (26). The publication mentioned that this was an effective tool to measure the temperature of several regions. However, this is not the same as being a reliable alternative for body temperature measurement in a clinical setting.

Implantable microchip transponders were mentioned as a suitable alternative (7). Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear and thigh) and tympanic thermometer (human and veterinary) were not a replacement for rectal temperature measurement (7). All of these studies were performed in a research setting with laboratory animals.

In ferrets, microchip transponder thermometry was mentioned as an alternative to rectal temperature measurement (8). Paediatric and veterinary auricular, axillary, dorsal skin, inguinal, noncontact infrared and tympanic thermometry were not deemed to be alternatives (27, 28). One study (27) was conducted in animals presented within a clinical setting. The other studies were conducted in an experimental setting in laboratory animals.

Discussion

Relatively few studies have been published about alternatives to rectal temperature measurements in rodents, rabbits and ferrets. The internal and external validity of the published studies also leaves room for improvement. In general, it can be noted that only transponder measurements have

Reference	Title	Study design	Sample size	D	atabase
	Guinea pigs				
Hartinger et al., 2003	Suitability of temperature-sensitive transponders to measure body temperature during animal experiments required for regulatory tests	Prospective	10	PubMed	
Devalle, 2005	Comparison of tympanic, transponder, and noncontact infrared laser thermometry with rectal thermometry with rectal thermometry in strain 13 Guinea pigs (Cavia porcellus)	Prospective	28	PubMed	Google Schola
Levy et al., 2020	Comparison of axillary and inguinal body temperature to rectal temperature in healthy guinea pigs (Cavia porcellus)	Prospective	40		Google Schola
	Місе				
Kort et al., 1997	A microchip implant system as a method to determine body temperature of terminally ill rats and mice	Prospective	10	PubMed	Google Schola
Hartinger et al., 2003	Suitability of temperature-sensitive transponders to measure body temperature during animal experiments required for regulatory tests	Prospective	12	PubMed	
Saegusa and Tabata, 2003	Usefulness of infrared thermometry in determining body temperature in mice	Prospective	6	PubMed	
Newsom et al., 2004	Comparison of body surface temperature measurement and conventional methods for measuring temperature in the mouse	Prospective	12	PubMed	Google Schola
Fiebig et al., 2018	Evaluation of Infrared thermography for temperature measurement for temperature measurement in adult male NMRI nude mice	Prospective	10	PubMed	
	Rats				
	Measurement of temperature in the rat by rectal probe and telemetry yields compatible				
Dilsaver et al., 1992	results	Prospective	12	PubMed	Google Schola
Kort et al., 1997	A microchip implant system as a method to determine body temperature of terminally ill rats and mice	Prospective	30	PubMed	Google Schol
Eshraghi et al., 2005	Cochlear temperature correlates with both temporalis muscle and rectal temperatures. Application for testing the otoprotective effect of hypothermia	Prospective	6	PubMed	Google Schol
Dangarembizi et al., 2017	Measurement of body temperature in normothermic and febrile rats: Limitations of using rectal thermometry	Prospective	31	PubMed	Google Schola
	Hamsters				
	Gerbils				
	Degus				
					-
	Chinchillas				
Ozawa et al., 2017	Comparison of rectal and tympanic thermometry in chinchillas (Chinchilla lanigera)	Prospective	47	PubMed	Google Schola
	Rabbits				
Hartinger et al., 2003	Suitability of temperature-sensitive transponders to measure body temperature during animal experiments required for regulatory tests	Prospective	10	PubMed	
Chen and White, 2006	Comparison of rectal, microchip transponder, and infrared thermometry techniques for obtaining body temperature in the laboratory rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus)	Prospective	46	PubMed	Google Schola
Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Relationship between rectal temperature measured with a conventional thermometer and the temperature of several body regions measured by infrared thermography in fat- tening rabbits. Influence of different environmental factors	Prospective	48		Google Schola
	Founda				
Maxwell et al., 2016	Ferrets Comparison of digital rectal and microchip transponder thermometry in ferrets (Mustela putorius furo)	Prospective	16	PubMed	Google Schola
	Comparison of body temperature acquired via auricular and rectal methods in ferrets	Prospective	27		Google Schola
Aguilar et al., 2018	companison of body temperature acquired via autoual and rectarmethous interrets				

repeatedly been described to be a valid alternative to rectal temperature measurement.

It is clear that there is a difference in number of studies per species. One potential reason for the higher number of studies in mice and rats is that they are often used as laboratory animals. Temperature measurements are often performed in animal experiments (6) and need to be reliable and not be impacted by stress-induced responses. This may stimulate research into this area, which can be translated into clinical practice. Further research is needed to address the lack of studies in hamsters, gerbils and degus.

Reference	Alternative temperature measurement method	Agreement metric with rectal measurement	Valid alternative for rect measurement according publication?
		Guinea pigs	
Hartinger et al., 2003	Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders	Only graphically	No
Devalle, 2005	Tympanic thermometer	0.3956 intraclass correlation coefficient	No
Devalle, 2005	Laser	0.1229 intraclass correlation coefficient	No
Devalle, 2005	Transponder	0.5880 intraclass correlation coefficient	Yes
_evy et al., 2020	Axillary	difference of mean -0.39 (95% CI -0.540.23)	No
_evy et al., 2021	Inguinal	difference of mean was -0.73 (95% CI -0.940.52)	No
		Mice	
Kort et al., 1997	Microship transponder (subcutaneous)	differences within ± 0.5°C	Yes
Kort et al., 1997	Microship transponder (intraperitoneally)	differences within ± 0.5°C	Yes
Hartinger et al., 2003	Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders	Only graphically	No
Saegusa and Tabata, 2003	Infrared thermometry (ear)	correlation r = 0.95	Yes
Saegusa and Tabata, 2003	Infrared thermometry (back skin)	correlation r = 0.96	Yes
Saegusa and Tabata, 2003	Infrared thermometry (tail skin)	correlation r = 0.59	No
Saegusa and Tabata, 2003	Infrared thermometry (sole skin)	correlation r = 0.59	No
Newsom et al., 2004	Surface temperature measurements	correlation r = 0.9773	No
Vewsom et al., 2004	Telemetry	correlation r = 0.9699	No
Fiebig et al., 2018	Infrared Thermography/Camera	mean difference of 0.56 °C	Yes* (in nude mice)
	initialed methography/ounerd		
	Temperature-sensitive radiotelemeters	Rats	Yes* (but investigated fo
Dangarembizi et al., 2017	(intraperitoneally)	rectal 0.5°C lower or 0.7°C greater than radiotelemeter	research setting)
Eshraghi et al., 2005	Cochlear temperature	Correlation r = 0.959	No
Kort et al., 1997	Microship transponder (subcutaneous)	differences within ± 0.5°C	Yes
	Microship transponder (intraperitoneally)	differences within ± 0.5°C	Yes
Kort et al., 1997	Microship transponder (intrapentoneally)		
Kort et al., 1997 Dilsaver et al., 1992	Telemetry	after salicylate r = +0.83, after oxotremorine r = +0.93 Hamsters Gerbils	Yes* (but investigated for research setting)
		Hamsters	Yes* (but investigated for research setting)
		Hamsters Gerbils	
		Hamsters Gerbils Degus	
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017	Telemetry	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders	Hamsters Gerbils Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically	research setting) No No No
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder	Hamsters Gerbils Chinchillas Chinchillas Margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp	research setting) No No No No Yes
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh)	Hamsters Gerbils Chinchillas Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp	research setting) No No No Yes No No No No
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer	Hamsters Gerbils Chinchillas Chinchillas Margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp	research setting) No No No No Yes No
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Disaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from a	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Disaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Daén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (external ear)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.24	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22	research setting) No
Dilsaver et al., 1992	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (external ear)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.20	research setting) No No No Yes No No No No No No No No Unclear "Best" "Inefficient"
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Ozawa et al., 2017 Ozawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Daén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (external ear)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.24 Coefficient of determination: 0.20	research setting) No No No Yes No No No No No No No No Unclear "Best" "Inefficient"
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Daén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer (thigh) Human tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (external ear) Thermography (nose)	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.24 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (°F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal)	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (external ear) Thermography (nose) Microchip transponder thermometry	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (*F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) correlation thermometer 1: 0.4726	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Ozawa et al., 2017 Ozawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2007 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téllez et al., 2021 Maxwell et al., 2016 Aguilar et al., 2018	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (nose) Microchip transponder thermometry Pediatric auricular thermometers Veterinary auricular thermometers	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (*F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) correlation thermometer 1: 0.4726 correlation thermometer 2: 0.5388	research setting)
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Daén-Téilez et al., 2021 Jaén-Téilez et al., 2021 Maxwell et al., 2018 Aguilar et al., 2018 Aguilar et al., 2020	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (nose) Microchip transponder thermometry Pediatric auricular thermometers	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.24 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (*F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) correlation thermometer 1: 0.4726 correlation thermometer 2: 0.5388 correlation 0.6311	research setting) research setting) No Unclear "Best" "Inefficient" Unclear Yes No
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2007 Chen and White, 2007 Chen and White, 2008 Chen and White, 2007 Chen and White, 2007 Chen and White, 2008 Chen and White, 2007 Chen and White, 2008 Chen and Wh	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (inner ear) Thermography (nose) Microchip transponder thermometers Veterinary auricular thermometers	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.24 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (*F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) correlation thermometer 1: 0.4726 correlation thermometer 2: 0.5388 correlation thermometer 2: 0.5388 correlation 0.6311 95% Cl of Mean Difference -1.32, -0.67	research setting) No Unclear "Best" "Inefficient" Unclear Yes No
Dilsaver et al., 1992 Dilsaver et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Dzawa et al., 2017 Hartinger et al., 2003 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Chen and White, 2006 Daén-Téllez et al., 2005 Daén-Téllez et al., 2021 Daén-Téllez et al., 2021 Maxwell et al., 2018	Telemetry Human tympanic thermometer Veterinary tympanic thermometer Implanted temperature-sensitive transponders Implantable microchip transponder Noncontact infrared thermometer (ear) Noncontact infrared thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Animal tympanic thermometer Thermography (eye) Thermography (external ear) Thermography (nose) Microchip transponder thermometers Veterinary auricular thermometers Veterinary auricular thermometers Axillary Dorsal Skin	Hamsters Gerbils Degus Chinchillas margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C margin of error (combined human/veterinary) 1.7°C Rabbits Only graphically 95% agreement limit: ±1.48 Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Not calculated due to systematic deviations from avg temp Coefficient of determination: 0.15 Coefficient of determination: 0.22 Coefficient of determination: 0.24 Coefficient of determination: 0.20 Ferrets 95% Agreement limits (*F): -1.82 to +1.96 (comp. to calibrated rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) -2.19 to +0.84 (comp. to common rectal) -correlation thermometer 1: 0.4726 correlation thermometer 2: 0.5388 correlation thermometer -1.32, -0.67 95% Cl of Mean Difference -0.14, 0.24	research setting) research setting) No Unclear "Best" "Inefficient" Unclear Yes No

A further limitation is that most of the studies were conducted in an experimental setting and may therefore not fully resemble the clinical setting. Additionally, only one study was performed with animal patients while the rest were conducted with laboratory animals. These animals may have different relevant characteristics than patients, including different stress sensitivity.

For now, it seems that rectal temperature measurement should remain the golden standard until further research has been performed.

References

- 1. Lichtenberger M, Lennox M. Critical Care of the Exotic Companion Mammal (With a Focus on Herbivorous Species): The First Twenty-Four Hours. Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine 2012; 21(4): 284–92.
- Mota-Rojas D, Wang D, Titto C, et al. Pathophysiology of Fever and Application of Infrared Thermography (IRT) in the Detection of Sick Domestic Animals: Recent Advances. Animals (Basel) 2021; 11(8): 2316.
- 3. Pottie RG, Dart CM, Perkins N, et al. Effect of hypothermia on recovery from general anaesthesia in the dog. Aust Vet J. 2007; 85(4):158–62.
- Levy IH, Di Girolamo N, Keller KA. Rectal temperature is a prognostic indicator in client-owned guinea pigs. J Small Anim Pract. 2021; 62(10): 861–5.
- Di Girolamo N, Toth G, Selleri P. Prognostic value of rectal temperature at hospital admission in client-owned rabbits. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2016; 248(3): 288–97.
- Meyer CW, Ootsuka Y, Romanovsky AA. Body Temperature Measurements for Metabolic Phenotyping in Mice. Front Physiol. 2017; 31(8): 520.
- Chen PH, White CE. Comparison of rectal, microchip transponder, and infrared thermometry techniques for obtaining body temperature in the laboratory rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus). J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2006; 45(1): 57–63.
- Maxwell BM, Brunell MK, Olsen CH, et al. Comparison of Digital Rectal and Microchip Transponder Thermometry in Ferrets (Mustela putorius furo). J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2016; 55(3): 331–5.
- Dangarembizi R, Erlwanger KH, Mitchell D, et al. Measurement of body temperature in normothermic and febrile rats: Limitations of using rectal thermometry. Physiol Behav. 2017; 179: 162–7.
- Clark DL, DeBow SB, Iseke MD, et al. Stress-induced fever after postischemic rectal temperature measurements in the gerbil. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2003; 81(9): 880–3.
- Veening JG, Bouwknecht JA, Joosten HJ, et al. Stress-induced hyperthermia in the mouse: c-fos expression, corticosterone and temperature changes. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2004; 28(4): 699–707.
- Hall E, Carter A. Establishing a reference range for normal canine tympanic membrane temperature measured with a veterinary aural thermometer. Veterinary Nursing Journal 2017; 32(12): 369–73.
- Smith VA, Lamb V, McBrearty AR. Comparison of axillary, tympanic membrane and rectal temperature measurement in cats. J Feline Med Surg. 2015; 17(12): 1028–34.

- 14. Kunkle GA, Nicklin CF, Sullivan-Tamboe DL. Comparison of body temperature in cats using a veterinary infrared thermometer and a digital rectal thermometer. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 2004; 40(1): 42–6.
- Sousa MG, Carareto R, Pereira-Junior VA, et al. Comparison between auricular and standard rectal thermometers for the measurement of body temperature in dogs. Can Vet J. 2011; 52(4): 403–6.
- Hartinger J, Külbs D, Volkers P, et al. Suitability of temperature-sensitive transponders to measure body temperature during animal experiments required for regulatory tests. 2003; 20(2): 65–70.
- 17. Stephens JM. Comparison of tympanic, transponder, and noncontact infrared laser thermometry with rectal thermometry in strain 13 guinea pigs (Cavia porcellus). Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci. 2005 Sep; 44(5): 35–8.
- Levy I, Allender M, Keller K. Comparison of axillary and inguinal body temperature to rectal temperature in healthy guinea pigs (Cavia porcellus). Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine 2020; 34: 1–5.
- Kort WJ, Hekking-Weijma JM, TenKate MT, et al. A microchip implant system as a method to determine body temperature of terminally ill rats and mice. Lab Anim. 1998; 32(3): 260–9.
- 20. Saegusa Y, Tabata H. Usefulness of infrared thermometry in determining body temperature in mice. J Vet Med Sci. 2003; 65(12): 1365–7.
- Newsom DM, Bolgos GL, Colby L, et al. Comparison of body surface temperature measurement and conventional methods for measuring temperature in the mouse. Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci. 2004; 43(5): 13–8.
- 22. Fiebig K, Jourdan T, Kock MH, et al. Evaluation of Infrared Thermography for Temperature Measurement in Adult Male NMRI Nude Mice. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2018; 57(6): 715–24.
- Dilsaver SC, Overstreet DH, Peck JA. Measurement of temperature in the rat by rectal probe and telemetry yields compatible results. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1992; 42(3): 549–52.
- Eshraghi AA, Nehme O, Polak M, et al. Cochlear temperature correlates with both temporalis muscle and rectal temperatures. Application for testing the otoprotective effect of hypothermia. Acta Otolaryngol. 2005; 125(9): 922–8.
- Ozawa S, Mans C, Beaufrère H. Comparison of rectal and tympanic thermometry in chinchillas (Chinchilla lanigera). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2017; 251(5): 552–8.
- 26. Jaén-Téllez J, Bartolomé E, Sánchez-Guerrero M, et al. Relationship between rectal temperature measured with a conventional thermometer and the temperature of several body regions measured by infrared thermography in fattening rabbits. Influence of different environmental factors. World Rabbit Science 2021; 29(4): 263–73.
- Aguilar L, Chávez J, Watty A. Comparison of body temperature acquired via auricular and rectal methods in ferrets. Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine 2019; 28: 148–53.
- Keeney C, Hung C, Harrison T. Comparison of body temperature using digital, infrared, and tympanic thermometry in healthy ferrets (Mustela putorius furo). Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine 2021; 36: 16–21.

Ustreznost alternativnih tehnik rektalnemu merjenju temperature pri hišnih glodavcih, kuncih in belih dihurjih - pregled literature

J. Stans

Izvleček: Telesna temperatura je pomemben parameter za oceno zdravja eksotičnih živali. Rektalno merjenje temperature je običajen način merjenja telesne temperature pri glodavcih, kuncih in belih dihurjih in pogosto velja za zlati standard. Vendar je merjenje rektalne temperature pri teh živalih pogosto povezano z omejevanjem gibanja in povzročanjem stresa. Da bi se izognili stresu pri merjenju rektalne temperature, so bile pri več vrstah živali uporabljene alternativne (pogosto manj invazivne) tehnike. Te metode vključujejo infrardečo termografijo ter merjenje temperature timpanično in aksilarno. Vendar pa je pomembno ugotoviti, ali te strategije dajejo primerljive rezultate z zlatim standardom. Zato smo opravili pregled literature z uporabo podatkovnih zbirk MedLine in Google Scholar. Osnovni izrazi, ki se nanašajo na rektalno temperaturo in merjenje temperature, so bili združeni z iskalnimi izrazi, značilnimi za posamezne vrste. Pri glodavcih, kuncih in belih dihurjih je bilo najdenih razmeroma malo študij o alternativah rektalnim meritvam temperature. Na splošno lahko ugotovimo, da so bile le meritve s transponderjem večkrat opisane kot veljavna alternativa rektalnemu merjenju temperature. Potrebne so nadaljnje raziskave.

Ključne besede: rektalna temperatura; glodavci; kunci; beli dihurji; alternative