

The multisubset sum problem for finite abelian groups

Amela Muratović-Ribić

*University of Sarajevo, Department of Mathematics,
Zmaja od Bosne 33-35, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina*

Qiang Wang *

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University,
1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5B6, Canada*

Received 28 October 2013, accepted 29 August 2014, published online 11 June 2015

Abstract

We use a similar technique as in [2] to derive a formula for the number of multisubsets of a finite abelian group G with any given size and any given multiplicity such that the sum is equal to a given element $g \in G$. This also gives the number of partitions of g into a given number of parts over a finite abelian group.

Keywords: Composition, partition, subset sum, polynomials, finite fields, character, finite abelian groups.

Math. Subj. Class.: 11B30, 05A15, 20K01, 11T06

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group of size n and D be a subset of G . The well known subset sum problem in combinatorics is to decide whether there exists a subset S of D which sums to a given element in G . This problem is an important problem in complexity theory and cryptography and it is NP-complete (see for example [3]). For any $g \in G$ and i a positive integer, we let the number of subsets S of D of size i which sum up to g be denoted by

$$N(D, i, g) = \#\{S \subseteq D : \#S = i, \sum_{s \in S} s = g\}.$$

*Research is partially supported by NSERC of Canada.

E-mail addresses: amela@pmf.unsa.ba (Amela Muratović-Ribić), wang@math.carleton.ca (Qiang Wang)

When D has more structure, Li and Wan made some important progress in counting these subset sums by a sieve technique [3, 4]. Recently Kesters [2] gives a shorter proof of the formula obtained by Li and Wan earlier, using character theory.

$$N(G, i, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|\gcd(\exp(G), i)} (-1)^{i+i/s} \binom{n/s}{i/s} \sum_{d|\gcd(e(g), s)} \mu(s/d) \#G[d],$$

where $\exp(G)$ is the exponent of G , $e(g) = \max\{d : d \mid \exp(G), g \in dG\}$, μ is the Möbius function, and $G[d] = \{h \in G : dh = 0\}$ is the d -torsion of G .

More generally, we consider a multisubset M of D . The number of times an element belongs to M is the *multiplicity* of that member. We define the *multiplicity* of a multisubset M is the largest multiplicity among all the members in M . We denote

$$M(D, i, j, g) = \#\{\text{multisubset } M \text{ of } D : \text{multiplicity}(M) \leq j, \#M = i, \sum_{s \in M} s = g\}.$$

It is an interesting question by its own to count $M(D, i, j, g)$, the number of multisubsets of D of cardinality i which sum to g where every element is repeated at most j times. If $j = 1$, then $M(D, i, j, g) = N(D, i, g)$. If $j \geq i$, this problem is also equivalent to counting partitions of g with at most i parts over D , which is $M(D, i, i, g)$. In this case we use a simpler notation $M(D, i, g)$ because the second i does not give any restriction.

Another motivation to study the enumeration of multisubset sums is due to a recent study of polynomials of prescribed ranges over a finite field. Indeed, through the study of enumeration of multisubset sums over finite fields [5], we were able to disprove a conjecture of polynomials of prescribed ranges over a finite field proposed in [1]. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of q elements and \mathbb{F}_q^* be the cyclic multiplicative group. When D is \mathbb{F}_q (the additive group) or \mathbb{F}_q^* , counting the multisubset sum problem is the same as counting partitions over finite fields, which has been studied earlier in [6].

In this note, we use the similar method as in [2] to obtain $M(D, i, j, g)$ when $D = G$. However, we work in a power series ring instead of a polynomial ring.

Theorem 1. Let G be a finite abelian group of size n and let $g \in G$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. For any $s \mid n$, we define

$$C(n, i, j, s) = \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{n \gcd(s, j+1)}{s} \\ sk + t \cdot \text{lcm}(s, j+1) = i}} (-1)^t \binom{n/s + k - 1}{k} \binom{\frac{n \gcd(s, j+1)}{s}}{t}.$$

Then we have

$$M(G, i, j, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|\gcd(\exp(G), i)} C(n, i, j, s) \sum_{d|\gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d].$$

where $\exp(G)$ is the exponent of G , $e(g) = \max\{d : d \mid \exp(G), g \in dG\}$, μ is the Möbius function, and $G[d] = \{h \in G : dh = 0\}$ is the d -torsion of G .

As a corollary, we obtain the main theorem in [2] when $j = 1$.

Corollary 1. (Theorem 1.1 in [2]) Let G be a finite abelian group of size n and let $g \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$N(G, i, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|\gcd(\exp(G), i)} (-1)^{i+i/s} \binom{n/s}{i/s} \sum_{d|\gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d].$$

where $\exp(G)$ is the exponent of G , $e(g) = \max\{d : d \mid \exp(G), g \in dG\}$, μ is the Möbius function, and $G[d] = \{h \in G : dh = 0\}$ is the d -torsion of G .

Moreover, when $j \geq i$, the formula gives the number of partitions of g with at most i parts over a finite abelian group. To avoid confusion the multiset consisting of a_1, \dots, a_n is denoted by $\{\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}\}$, with possibly repeated elements, and by $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ the usual sets. We define a partition of the element $g \in G$ with exactly i parts in D as a multiset $\{\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i\}\}$ such that all a_k 's are nonzero elements in D and

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i = g.$$

Then the number of these partitions is denoted by $P_D(i, g)$, i.e.,

$$P_D(i, g) = \left| \left\{ \{\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i\}\} \subseteq D : a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i = g, a_1, \dots, a_i \neq 0 \right\} \right|.$$

It turns out $M(D, i, g) = \sum_{k=0}^i P_D(k, g)$ is the number of partitions of $g \in G$ with at most i parts in D .

Corollary 2. Let G be a finite abelian group of size n and let $g \in G$. Then the number of partitions of g over G with at most i parts is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|\gcd(\exp(G), i)} \binom{n/s + i/s - 1}{i/s} \sum_{d|\gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d].$$

where $\exp(G)$ is the exponent of G , $e(g) = \max\{d : d \mid \exp(G), g \in dG\}$, μ is the Möbius function, and $G[d] = \{h \in G : dh = 0\}$ is the d -torsion of G .

Proof. The number is $M(G, i, j, g)$ when $j \geq i \geq 0$. If $j \geq i$, then the linear Diophantine equation $sk + t \cdot \text{lcm}(s, j + 1) = i$ reduces to $sk = i$ and $t = 0$. The rest of proof follows immediately. □

In Section 2, we prove our main theorem and derive Corollary 1 as a consequence. In Section 3, we extend our study to a subset of a finite abelian group and make a few remarks on how to obtain the number of partitions over any subset of a finite abelian group.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

To make this paper self-contained, we recall the following lemmas (see Lemmas 2.1-2.4 in [2]). Let G be a finite abelian group of size n . Let \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers and $\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be the group of characters of G . Let $\chi \in \hat{G}$ and $\bar{\chi}$ be the conjugate character which satisfies $\bar{\chi}(g) = \overline{\chi(g)} = \chi(-g)$ for all $g \in G$. We note that a character χ can be naturally extended to a \mathbb{C} -algebra morphism $\chi : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. Then we have $\alpha_g = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\chi}(g) \chi(\alpha)$.

Lemma 2. Let m be a positive integer and $g \in G$. Then

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \chi^m = 1} \chi(g) = \delta_{g \in mG} \#G[m],$$

where $\delta_{g \in mG}$ is 1 if $g \in mG$ and it is zero otherwise.

Lemma 3. Let $\chi \in \hat{G}$ be a character and m be its order. Then we have

$$\prod_{\sigma \in G} (1 - \chi(\sigma)Y) = (1 - Y^m)^{n/m}.$$

Lemma 4. Let $g \in G$. The number $e(g)$ is equal to $\text{lcm}\{d : d \mid \text{exp}(G), g \in dG\}$. For $d \mid \text{exp}(G)$ we have $g \in dG$ if and only if $d \mid e(g)$.

Let us present the proof of Theorem 1. We use the multiplicative notation for the group.

Proof. Fix $j \geq 1$. Working in the power series ring $\mathbb{C}[G][[X]]$ over the group ring, the generating function of $\sum_{g \in G} M(G, i, j, g)g$ is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{g \in G} M(G, i, j, g)gX^i = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (1 + \sigma X + \dots + \sigma^j X^j) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \frac{1 - \sigma^{j+1} X^{j+1}}{1 - \sigma X} \in \mathbb{C}[G][[X]].$$

Using Lemma 1, we write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M(G, i, j, g)X^i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\chi}(g) \prod_{\sigma \in G} \frac{1 - \chi^{j+1}(\sigma)X^{j+1}}{1 - \chi(\sigma)X}.$$

Separating the first sum on the right hand side, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M(G, i, j, g)X^i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \text{exp}(G)} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \text{ord}(\chi) = s} \bar{\chi}(g) \prod_{\sigma \in G} \frac{1 - \chi^{j+1}(\sigma)X^{j+1}}{1 - \chi(\sigma)X}.$$

For each fixed χ of the order s , we know that χ^{j+1} has the order $\frac{s}{\text{gcd}(s, j+1)}$. Therefore by Lemma 3, we simplify the above as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M(G, i, j, g)X^i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \text{exp}(G)} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \text{ord}(\chi) = s} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{(1 - X^{\text{lcm}(s, j+1)})^{\frac{n \text{gcd}(s, j+1)}{s}}}{(1 - X^s)^{n/s}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \chi^s = 1} \bar{\chi}(g) = \sum_{d \mid s} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \text{ord}(\chi) = d} \bar{\chi}(g).$$

By Lemma 2 and the Möbius inversion formula, we obtain

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \text{ord}(\chi) = s} \bar{\chi}(g) = \sum_{d \mid s} \mu(s/d) \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \bar{\chi}^d = 1} \bar{\chi}(g) = \sum_{d \mid s} \mu(s/d) \delta_{g \in dG} \#G[d].$$

Because $d \mid s \mid \exp(G)$, by Lemma 4, $g \in dG$ if and only if $d \mid e(g)$. Hence

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}, \text{ord}(\chi)=s} \bar{\chi}(g) = \sum_{d \mid s} \mu(s/d) \delta_{g \in dG} \#G[d] = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d].$$

Plugging this into Equation (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M(G, i, j, g) X^i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \exp(G)} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d] \frac{(1 - X^{\text{lcm}(s, j+1)})^{\frac{n \gcd(s, j+1)}{s}}}{(1 - X^s)^{n/s}}.$$

By applying the binomial theorem to the right hand side and comparing coefficients of X^i in both sides, we single out $M(G, i, j, g)$ and obtain

$$M(G, i, j, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \exp(G)} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d] C(n, i, j, s).$$

After bringing $C(n, i, j, s)$ out of the inner sum we complete the proof. □

Finally we remark that we can derive Corollary 1 using $N(G, i, g) = M(G, i, 1, g)$. When $j = 1$, let us consider $sk + t \cdot \text{lcm}(s, j + 1) = sk + t \cdot \text{lcm}(s, 2) = i$. If s is even, we obtain $sk + st = i$ and thus $k + t = i/s$. Note that we have the following power series expansions

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{n/s}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n/s + k - 1}{k} x^k,$$

$$(1-x)^{2n/s} = \sum_{t=0}^{2n/s} (-1)^t \binom{2n/s}{t} x^t,$$

and

$$(1-x)^{n/s} = \sum_{j=0}^{n/s} \binom{n/s}{j} (-1)^j x^j.$$

Now we compare the coefficients of the term $x^{i/s}$ in both sides of

$$\frac{1}{(1-x^s)^{n/s}} (1-x^s)^{2n/s} = (1-x^s)^{n/s},$$

after expanding these power series. Hence we obtain

$$C(n, i, 1, s) = \sum_{\substack{k+t=i/s \\ k \geq 0, 0 \leq t \leq 2n/s}} (-1)^t \binom{n/s + k - 1}{k} \binom{2n/s}{t} = (-1)^{i/s} \binom{n/s}{i/s}.$$

Moreover, $C(n, i, 1, s) = (-1)^{i+i/s} \binom{n/s}{i/s}$ because i is even.

Similarly, if s is odd, we obtain $sk + 2st = i$ and thus $k + 2t = i/s$. Moreover, $i + i/s$ is even. Using

$$(1-x^{2s})^{n/s} \frac{1}{(1-x^s)^{n/s}} = (1+x^s)^{n/s},$$

we obtain

$$C(n, i, 1, s) = \sum_{\substack{k+2t=i/s \\ k \geq 0, 0 \leq t \leq n/s}} (-1)^t \binom{n/s+k-1}{k} \binom{n/s}{t} = (-1)^{i+i/s} \binom{n/s}{i/s}.$$

3 A few remarks

In this section we study $M(D, i, j, g)$ where $j \geq i$ and D is a subset of G . We recall that in this case we use the notation $M(D, i, g)$ because j does not really put any restriction. First of all, we note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{g \in G} M(G \setminus \{0\}, i, g) g X^i = \prod_{\sigma \in G, \sigma \neq 0} \frac{1}{1 - \sigma X} = (1 - X) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{g \in G} M(G, i, g) g X^i.$$

By Corollary 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & M(G \setminus \{0\}, i, g) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{s | \gcd(\text{exp}(G), i)} \binom{n/s + i/s - 1}{i/s} \sum_{d | \gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{s | \gcd(\text{exp}(G), i-1)} \binom{n/s + (i-1)/s - 1}{(i-1)/s} \sum_{d | \gcd(s, e(g))} \mu(s/d) \#G[d] \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note $M(G \setminus \{0\}, i, g) = P_G(i, g)$. Therefore we obtain an explicit formula for the number of partitions of g into i parts over G . More generally, let $D = G \setminus S$, where $S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|S|}\} \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $M_S(G, i, g)$ the number of multisubsets of G of sizes i that contain at least one element from S . Then the number of multisubsets of $D = G \setminus S$ with i parts which sum up to g is equal to

$$M(G \setminus S, i, g) = M(G, i, g) - M_S(G, i, g).$$

Note that $M(G, 0, 0) = 1$ and $M(G, 0, s) = 0$ for any $s \in G \setminus \{0\}$. The principle of inclusion-exclusion immediately implies that $M_S(G, i, g)$ is given in the following formula. We note that the formula is quite useful when the size of S is small in order to compute $M(G \setminus S, i, g)$.

Proposition 1. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_S(G, i, g) &= \sum_{u \in S} M(G, i-1, g-u) - \dots \\ &+ (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\} \subseteq S} M(G, i-t, g - (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_t)) + \dots \\ &+ (-1)^{i-2} \sum_{\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}\} \subseteq S} M(G, 1, g - (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_{i-1})) + \\ &(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\} \subseteq S} M(G, 1, g - (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix an element $g \in G$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_u the family of all the multisubsets of G with i parts which sum up to g and each multisubset also contains the element u . The principle of the inclusion-exclusion implies that

$$|\cup_{u \in S} \mathcal{A}_u| = \sum_{u \in S} |\mathcal{A}_u| - \sum_{\{u_1, u_2\} \subseteq S} |\mathcal{A}_{u_1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{u_2}| + \dots \quad (3.1)$$

It is obvious to see $|\mathcal{A}_{u_1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{u_2}| = M(G, i - 2, g - (u_1 + u_2))$ etc. by definition and the result follows directly. \square

Acknowledgements

We thank anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] A. Gács, T. Héger, Z. L. Nagy, D. Pálvölgyi, Permutations, hyperplanes and polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Field Appl.* **16** (2010), 301-314.
- [2] M. Kosters, The subset problem for finite abelian groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), 527-530.
- [3] J. Li and D. Wan, On the subset sum problem over finite fields, *Finite Field Appl.* **14** (2008), 911-929.
- [4] J. Li and D. Wan, Counting subset sums of finite abelian groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119** (2012), no. 1, 170-182.
- [5] A. Muratović-Ribić and Q. Wang, On a conjecture of polynomials with prescribed range, *Finite Field Appl.* **18** (2012), no. 4, 728-737.
- [6] A. Muratović-Ribić and Q. Wang, Partitions and compositions over finite fields, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (2013), no. 1, P34, 1-14.