

DOLOČITEV STRIŽNEGA MODULA GLINENO PEŠČENIH MEŠANIC S PREIZKUSOM Z BENDERJEVIM ELEMENTOM

Ali Firat Cabalar (vodilni avtor)

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turčija
E-pošta: cabalar@gantep.edu.tr

M. M. Khalaf

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turčija

Z. Karabash

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turčija

Izvleček

Na glineno peščenih mešanicah so bili izvedeni preizkusi z benderjevim elementom (BE). Z njimi se je raziskalo spreminjanje strižnega modula majhnih deformacij (G_{max}) v odvisnosti od vsebnosti peska in fizikalnih karakteristik zrn peskov (velikosti, oblike) v preiskovanih mešanicah. Tri različne granulacije peskov (0.6-0.3 mm, 1.0-0.6 mm in 2.0-1.0 mm) s posebnimi oblikami (okrogle, oglate) so bile dodane malo stisljivi glini v deležih 0 % (čista glina), 10 %, 20 %, 30 %, 40 % in 50 %. Za korelacijsko analizo so bili na enakih vzorcih izvedeni tudi enoosni preizkusi tlačne trdnosti (UC). Preizkusi so pokazali, da so izmerjene vrednosti za G_{max} in enoosno tlačno trdnost (q_u) preizkušancev z oglatimi zrni peska nižje kot za okrogla zrna peska, in sicer za vse velikosti in razmerja mešanja. Z naraščanjem vsebnosti peska v mešanicah, narašča vrednost G_{max} , medtem, ko vrednost q_u upada. Rezultati nadalje kažejo, da tako vrednosti G_{max} , kot tudi vrednosti q_u upadajo z zmanjšanjem velikosti zrn peska.

Ključne besede

pesek, glina, benderjev element, enoosna tlačna trdnost

SHEAR MODULUS OF CLAY-SAND MIXTURES USING BENDER ELEMENT TEST

Ali Firat Cabalar (corresponding author)

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turkey
E-mail: cabalar@gantep.edu.tr

M. M. Khalaf

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turkey

Z. Karabash

University of Gaziantep,
Civil Engineering Department
27310 Gaziantep, Turkey

Keywords

sand, clay, bender element, unconfined compressive strength

DOI <https://doi.org/10.18690/actageotechslov.15.1.3-15.2018>

Abstract

Bender-element (BE) tests were conducted on clay-sand mixtures to investigate the variation of small strain-shear modulus (G_{max}) with the sand content and the physical characteristics (size, shape) of the sand grains in the mixtures. Three different gradations (0.6–0.3 mm, 1.0–0.6 mm and 2.0–1.0 mm) of sands having distinct shapes (rounded, angular) were added to a low-plasticity clay with mixture ratios of 0% (clean clay), 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%. For the purposes of performing a correlation analysis, unconfined compression (UC) tests were also carried out on the same specimens. The tests indicated that both the G_{max} and unconfined compressive strength (q_u) values of the specimens with angular sand grains were measured to be lower than those with rounded sand grains, for all sizes and percentages. As the percentage of sand in the mixture increases, the G_{max} values increase, while the q_u values decrease. The results further suggested that the G_{max} values decrease as the q_u values decrease as the size of the sand grains reduces.

1 INTRODUCTION

Most of the experimental studies for determining the engineering characteristics of soils focused on the behavior of clean soil samples. However, site investigations showed that most of the soil types contain both cohesive and cohesionless grains with various chemical and physical properties [1]. The governing role of either cohesive or cohesionless grain matrices on the overall behavior of the sample can be expected to change based on the properties of both materials. The interaction between cohesionless and cohesive grain matrices can affect the overall behavior of such mixed soils subjected to various dynamic loadings as well as monotonic loadings. Actually, it is of great importance to identify the dynamic behavior of such soils in order to make an accurate stability analysis of any systems subjected to cyclic loads, for example, those resulting from earthquakes, machine foundation, sea waves, wind, and traffic loads [2,3]. Many problems in such loadings are dominated by wave propagation effects where only low levels of strain are induced in the soil. The shear modulus and the damping ratio are the most significant soil properties influencing such small strain behavior. Small strains, typically shear strains of less than 0.001%, do not cause an important nonlinear stress-strain response in the soil. Hence, an equivalent linear model can be assumed. The most significant stiffness parameter at this level is the small strain shear modulus, G_{max} , which is a parameter required for advanced soil modeling as well as solving soil dynamic problems. A common way of determining

the small strain shear modulus is to measure the shear wave velocity and then compute G_{max} as follows [4,5]:

$$G_{max} = \rho v_s^2 \text{ with } v_s = L/t \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the density of the soil, v_s is the shear wave velocity, L is the wave path length between the tips of source and receiver elements, and t is the shear wave travel time. In order to determine the small strain shear modulus of soils, one of the commonly used laboratory tests is the Bender Element (BE), which consists of two thin plates of piezoelectric material bonded together, with two conductive outer layers and a metal shim at the center [6]. In spite of the difficulties in determining the shear wave's arrival time [7], a soil sample can be tested subsequently for other soil characteristics, because the BE tests do not disturb the soil samples, thus facilitating a comparison with other results [8-11]. As characterizing the behaviour of specimens under dynamic loading becomes much more complex and expensive than that of specimens subjected to monotonic loading, only a few researchers have been carried out on correlating a dynamic test with a static test so far [12-13]. Therefore, there is a real need for an in-depth investigation of a comparison between dynamic tests and static tests. Actually, both studies by Consoli et al. [12] and Flores et al. [13] suggest that the unconfined compressive strength (q_u) values and G_{max} defined by the BE can be closely correlated for artificially cemented soils. The unconfined compression (UC) test is by far the most popular technique for soil shear testing as it is one of the cheapest and fastest techniques for measuring shear strength. The technique is employed primarily for cohesive soils recovered from thin-walled sampling tubes [14].

It has long been understood that the physical properties (e.g., size, shape) of sand grains have a significant influence on the engineering properties of a soil matrix [15-23]. Terzaghi [15] postulated that the compressibility of a cohesionless material is governed by the grain size, uniformity, volume of voids and mica content. The observations, made by Gilboy [16], that any system of analysis or classification of soil that neglects the presence and effect of the shape will be incomplete and erroneous. Holubec and D'Appolinia [24] showed that the results of dynamic penetration tests in sands depend on the grain shape. Cornforth [25] and Holtz and Kovacs [26] demonstrated how the grain shape impacts on the internal friction angle (φ). Cedergren [27] pointed out that the grain shape affects the permeability. Holubec and D'Appolinia [24], Wadell [28], Krumbein [29], Powers [30], Youd [31], and Cho et al. [32] have introduced detailed explanations relating to grain shape. Two independent properties are typically employed to describe the shape of a soil grain: (i) Roundness is a measure of

the extent to which the edges and corners of a grain have been rounded; (ii) Sphericity (form) describes the overall shape of a grain (it is a measure of the extent to which a grain approaches a sphere in shape). Wadell [28] proposed a simplified sphericity (S) parameter, ($D_{max-insc}/D_{min-circ}$), where $D_{max-insc}$ is the diameter of a maximum inscribed circle and $D_{min-circ}$ is the diameter of a minimum sphere circumscribing a gravel grain. Wadell [28] defined roundness (R) as $D_{i-ave}/D_{max-insc}$




Figure 1. Graphical representation of roundness, R (redrawn from Muszynski and Vitton, 2012).




Figure 2. Graphical representation of sphericity, S (redrawn from Muszynski and Vitton, 2012).




Figure 3. Comparison chart (Santamarina and Cho, 2004).

where D_{i-ave} is the average diameter of the inscribed circle for each corner of the grain. Figures 1-3 define R , S and a chart for comparing them to determine grain shape, respectively [29, 30].

The soils are usually obtained from different sites having a wide range of cohesive and cohesionless grains. The main focus of this investigation is defining the small strain shear modulus (G_{max}) of clay-sand mixtures whose properties are intermediate between those of clays and sands. G_{max} is a significant factor representing the small strain response of soils under seismic load, and an important parameter in the design of foundations where only a small deformation takes place. In the present study, small strain shear modulus measurements (G_{max}) of various compacted clay-sand mixtures were performed at optimum water content (w_{opt}) conditions. The tests were carried out using a testing apparatus that allows Bender Element (BE) and Unconfined Compression (UC) tests to be conducted on an identical specimen, and in this way a more reliable comparison will be obtained. Tests on the mixtures of clay and sands with different contents and physical properties were performed in an attempt to explain the differences in the behaviors of the shear waves between the test specimens. The objective of this study was partly scientific curiosity, but also to judge the usefulness of UC tests in predicting the G_{max} of clay-sand mixtures. Accordingly, this study will focus on two important aspects of measuring the G_{max} of clay-sand mixtures: (i) identifying the specific influence of quantity, size, and shape of sand grains in the mixtures, where factors such as density were maintained constant for each specimen, (ii) assessing the testing results deduced from the BE and UC tests on the same specimens.

2 EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

2.1 Materials

The materials used in the tests to produce the mixtures were clay, Crushed Stone Sand, Narli Sand, and de-aired water.

The clay used in the experimental studies was quarried from the Gaziantep University Campus. Its plastic limit and liquid limit values are 23, and 48, respectively [33]. The specific gravity (G_s) of the clay grains was found to be 2.61. Based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), the clay was classified as 'low plasticity clay' (CL). Figure 4 shows the scanning electron micrograph (SEM) pictures of the clay used during the experimental investigations. Narli Sand (NS), representing a type of rounded sand, was quarried in and around Narli,




Figure 4. SEM pictures of the (top) clay, (middle) rounded sand, (bottom) angular sand used during the experimental study.

Kahramanmaraş in southern-central Turkey; it is widely consumed in earthworks in certain regions of Turkey. As can be seen clearly from Figure 4, Narli Sand grains have a rounded, whereas the Crushed Stone Sand grains have angular, shape of grains. The specific gravity of the grains was found to be 2.65 for Narli Sand, and 2.68 for Crushed Stone Sand. Three different gradations of the sands falling between 0.6 mm and 0.3 mm, 1.0 mm and 0.6 mm, and 2.0 mm and 1.0 mm were artificially selected to provide uniform specimens for visual classification purposes (Figure 5), and then were added to the CL type clay at mixture ratios of 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%. The roundness (R) estimates for the angular sand (CSS) and rounded sand (NS) were obtained as 0.16 and 0.43, while the sphericity (S) estimates were found to be 0.55 and 0.67, respectively. Further observations of the grain size and shape analysis

Table 1. Some properties of the sands used during the experimental study.

No	Gradation (mm)	D ₁₀ (mm)	D ₃₀ (mm)	D ₅₀ (mm)	D ₆₀ (mm)	c _u	c _c	USCS	R		S	
									NS	CSS	NS	CSS
1	0.6-0.3	0.33	0.39	0.45	0.48	1.45	0.96	SP				
2	1.0-0.6	0.64	0.72	0.80	0.84	1.31	0.96	SP	0.43	0.16	0.67	0.55
3	2.0-1.0	1.10	1.30	1.50	1.60	1.45	0.96	SP				




Figure 5. Particle size distributions for the sands used during the experimental study.

including roundness (R) and sphericity (S) estimations based on the study by Muszynski and Vitton [34] are presented in Table 1.

The specimens were tested by using de-aired tap water as the pore fluid.

2.2 Testing apparatus and specimen preparation

Bender-element (BE) tests were conducted on the different clay-sand mixtures to measure the shear wave velocity at various states in order to estimate the small strain shear modulus (G_{max}). The tests were carried out just before applying a uniaxial load to the compacted specimens taken out from a two-part split mould with a 70-mm diameter and a 147-mm height. The shear wave velocity measurements were performed with a pair of bender elements, one of which was installed on the pedestal, and the other one was placed in the top cap. The bender element on the pedestal was used as transmitter, while the other in top cap was used as a receiver to measure the shear wave propagated through a specimen. The software package for data acquisition as well as the BE testing equipment used during the experimental works is a product of GDS Instruments Limited. The v_s estimates were achieved by employing a sinusoidal wave input with a magnitude of 10 V, and periods of 0.01 mV. The received wave was acquired using a sampling

frequency of 15kHz, and a sampling interval of 5 ms, which were selected to provide a received signal with an optimal resolution. From the study by Viggiani and Atkinson [35], the shear wave velocity transmitted through the specimens was estimated using the 'tip-to-tip' distance between the bender elements, and then the wave travel time was measured. In order to obtain the arrival time value, the first major peak-to-peak method was employed. The arrival time in this method was defined as the time measured between the peak of the transmitted signal and the first major peak of the received signal.

The unconfined compression (UC) test, which is used for measuring undrained shear strength of cohesive soils because of the simplicity of the test technique, was employed on various clay-sand specimens compacted in a mould with a 70-mm in diameter and a 147-mm height [14]. The performance of the specimens was investigated with the clean clay, and clay with sand at the




Figure 6. The equipment combining BE and UC tests.

mixture ratios of 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% using the dry weight of the specimens. The amount of each constituent in a mixture was determined by employing a compaction test in order to prepare the specimens at the maximum dry unit weight (γ_{drymax}) and the optimum moisture content (w_{opt}) values. In this experimental work, the required amount of sand, clay, and water were weighed, and then mixed until a uniform mixture was reached. The specimens were kept within plastic bags for a period of 24 hours to avoid the loss of moisture, and to obtain a homogeneous paste. The mixture to be tested was then statically compacted into a two-part cylindrical mould (70mm \times 147mm) in three layers. Then, the specimens were taken out of the mould, trimmed out, and placed on to the UC testing equipment in order to estimate the shear wave velocity first, and then the q_u value for each specimen. The rate of strain employed in the UC tests was 0.7 mm/min. Figure 6 presents the equipment used for the experimental determination of both the G_{max} and q_u values.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 gives a summary of the UC testing results reported here. From the tests with both clay and clay-sand mixtures compacted at w_{opt} and γ_{drymax} , the unconfined compressive strength (q_u) values were

observed to be significantly affected by the addition of sands. The q_u values decreased as the amount of sand content increased in all size, shape, and content of the sand grains. Similar results were also reported by Stavridakis [36] for an investigation of problematic soils. It is interpreted that the difference in testing results can be attributed to the soil suction as well as quantity and physical characteristics of the sand grains (shape, size) leading to various void ratios. The soil suction could be effective in the shear strength and the deformation characteristics of soils for the highly saturated condition at the unconfined compression test [37-39]. However, it seems to be beyond the scope of this study to provide a detailed discussion on the soil suction, since the amount of water was selected as w_{opt} for each specimen that creates a very similar range of saturation degree as well as soil suction in all the specimens tested (Table 2). The shape of the sand grains in the mixtures is of great importance to the undrained shear strength behavior of the mixtures tested in the UC tests. As can be seen from Figure 7, the q_u obtained for the clay with CSS grains between 0.6mm and 0.3mm have the minimal values, while the q_u obtained for the clay with NS grains between 2.0mm and 1.0mm have the maximal values. Actually, the mixtures with angular shaped grains (CSS) at all three gradations exhibited much lower q_u values for all the contents of sands. For instance, the q_u values of the specimens with 30% rounded sand content (NS)

Table 2. Compaction testing results and values of saturation degree for different mixtures.

Gradation (mm)	Sand content (%)	NS			CSS		
		γ_{drymax} (kN/m ³)	w_{opt} (%)	S_r (%)	γ_{drymax} (kN/m ³)	w_{opt} (%)	S_r (%)
(0.6-0.3)	0	17.12	18.4	86.1	17.12	18.4	86.1
	10	17.41	16.8	82.6	17.24	16.3	77.8
	20	17.83	15.8	83.6	17.65	15.3	78.2
	30	18.25	14.6	83.2	18.02	14.2	77.3
	40	18.59	13.5	81.9	18.41	13.1	76.3
	50	18.93	12.7	82.2	18.74	12.3	76.0
(1.0-0.6)	10	17.58	16.2	81.9	17.44	15.7	77.4
	20	18.04	15.2	83.3	17.86	14.8	78.3
	30	18.57	14.3	86.1	18.39	13.9	80.5
	40	18.88	13.4	85.6	18.69	13.0	79.5
	50	19.12	12.6	84.5	18.93	12.3	78.6
(2.0-1.0)	10	17.76	15.5	80.7	17.58	15.1	76.2
	20	18.23	14.6	82.6	18.08	14.2	77.9
	30	18.76	13.8	85.9	18.57	13.4	80.1
	40	19.07	12.9	85.3	18.90	12.5	79.3
	50	19.31	12.2	84.7	19.16	11.8	78.6

Table 3. q_u values (kPa) for the mixtures tested.

Sand content (%)	NS						CSS					
	0.6-0.3 mm	e	1.0-0.6 mm	e	2.0-1.0 mm	e	0.6-0.3 mm	e	1.0-0.6 mm	e	2.0-1.0 mm	e
0	355	0.577	355	0.577	355	0.577	355	0.577	355	0.577	355	0.577
10	278	0.548	295	0.533	314	0.518	266	0.565	280	0.547	296	0.535
20	250	0.508	267	0.491	288	0.476	231	0.528	253	0.506	263	0.491
30	221	0.471	246	0.446	254	0.431	206	0.495	222	0.465	237	0.451
40	190	0.442	209	0.419	223	0.405	174	0.462	197	0.440	209	0.424
50	163	0.413	174	0.399	187	0.385	151	0.435	163	0.421	176	0.404




Figure 7. The effect of sand content (%) on the change of q_u (kPa).

were found to be 221 kPa, 246kPa, and 254 kPa, while those with same amount of angular sand content (CSS) were 206 kPa, 222 kPa, and 237 kPa for the cohesionless materials of 0.6–0.3mm, 1.0–0.6mm, and 2.0–1.0mm, respectively. In the light of the papers by Cabalar et al. [22], Cho et al. [32], Rothenburg and Bathurst [40], Thornton [41], Cabalar [42], it is probably because of the open fabric structure in the specimens with angular sand grains (CSS). Hence, based on the grain fabric taking place in the specimens, the contact points (coordination number) among soil grains decrease, the overall behaviour of the mixture is controlled by the void ratio, e (Table 3). The sands used during the experimental study have the same classification (SP) although they have different gradation curves. The grain size distribution

of the cohesionless materials used in the tests plays a significant role in determining the UC testing results. It was realized that the smaller the size of sand grains the specimens have, the less the q_u (Figure 7). For example, the specimens with 30% angular sand grains have 206 kPa, 222 kPa, and 237 kPa for the sizes of 0.6–0.3mm, 1.0–0.6mm, and 2.0–1.0mm, respectively. The authors' interpretation is that the soil grains have much less contact points in the specimens prepared with smaller size sand grains than those with larger sand grains, hence the overall strength behavior of the specimens is mainly governed by the void ratio (e).

It has long been understood that the void ratio (e) of a soil matrix is the ratio of voids to the volume of solid grains. The resulting void ratios by the clean angular sand grains are larger than those for clean rounded grains [32,43]. However, the testing results described here have not been conducted on the clean sands, rather the results have been obtained on the sand-clay mixtures, which are thought of as a composite matrix of finer and coarser soil grains. Therefore, in the light of the numerous investigations [44,47], analyzing the test results on sand-clay mixtures becomes more versatile if the effects of sand and clay grains are studied separately. This separation appears to be important in describing the use of the intergranular void ratio (e_s) as an alternative parameter to define the undrained shear-strength behaviour of sand-clay mixtures rather than using traditional void ratio (e) values [23,48,49,50]. Actually, the intergranular void ratio concept was first proposed by Mitchell [51], and followed by Kenny [52], Lupini et al. [53], and Thevanayagam [54] by assuming that the sand grains can be thought of as the skeleton of soil matrix, and the clay grains occupy the voids between the sand grains, which are defined as the intergranular void ratio (e_s). The researchers indicated that, based on the amount of clay grains present, the sand grains are in contact with each other and the overall behaviour of the mixture is controlled by the sand grains. On the other hand, when the contact points between the sand grains decrease, the

behaviour of the mixture is controlled by the clay grains. From the investigation by Monkul and Ozden [45], the establishment of direct contacts of the sand grains can be initiated when the intergranular void ratio of the mixture becomes equal to the maximum void ratio of the sand grains, i.e., $e_s = e_{max}$. The clay content in the case of $e_s = e_{max}$ is referred to as 'transition fines content'. The fact is that the value of e_s is always higher than the value of e employed in both tests performed in the present study due to the characteristics of the undrained shear strength tests, although the interactions between e_s and e were found to be changed with certain test equipment, including an oedometer, triaxial compression, cyclic triaxial, and resonant column [21,45,55,58]. Hence, the grains of both angular (CSS) and rounded (NS) sands used in the present study are considered as floating in the clay matrix. Then, it was seen that the clay with angular sand grains (CSS) resulted in lower q_u values in UC tests, since the random packing of angular grains resulting void ratios are larger than those of rounded grains (NS). Thus, it was concluded that the less angularity in sand grains the more q_u values obtained in the sand-clay mixtures. Cabalar and Hasan [23] had further stated that (i) mixtures of clay and sand grains with a lower roundness exhibit higher transition fines content (FC_t) values and that (ii) smaller size of sands with clay gives a higher compressibility.

As Hardin [57] stated the void ratio is also influential on the shear modulus (G_{max}). Jamiolkowski et al. [58] supported Hardin [57] considering the statement of void ratio dependence on G_{max} estimates. As several other researchers have also indicated (Vucetic and Dobry, [59]; Shibuya and Tanaka, [60]; Santagata et al., [61]), the effect of increasing G_{max} with decreasing void ratio (e). An analysis of the results of the bender-element tests on clay only and clay with various types of sands indicated that the G_{max} tended to increase at several levels by adding different amount of sands (Table 4). Therefore, the G_{max} estimates in each condition should be investigated individually. For example, the G_{max} value of

clay only increased sharply from 23 MPa to 40 MPa by adding 10% rounded sand at a 2.0–1.0mm grain size interval. However, the increment observed for the clay with angular sand at both same amount and grain size interval was found to be slightly lower, i.e., 39 MPa. It was realized that the difference in G_{max} values of the specimens with same size sand grains is generally less than 5%, which means that the difference observed should not be primarily because of the difference in the grain shape of the sands used in the mixtures. Because they have similar values for coefficient of uniformity (c_u), and the coefficient of curvature (c_c), besides the mean particle size (D_{50}) has an ignorable influence on the elastic modulus [62,63]. Two possible reasons could be the difference in the individual grain contact stiffness due to difference (i) in the mineralogy or the surface roughness, and (ii) the size of the grains. Santamarina and Cascante [64] determined the wave velocity in mildly rusted and rusted steel sphere sand indicated that the surface roughness can decrease the stiffness. However, the sand grains of both angular (CSS) and rounded (NS) sands in the present study are thought to be covered with clay grains, then they do not contact with each other directly. Therefore, it is postulated that the mineralogy or the surface roughness of the sand grains seems to be beyond the scope of this study. To evaluate the influence of the size of sand grains on the G_{max} values, the results obtained for the same shape of both sand grains with different amounts of clay were compared. For example, the G_{max} values for the specimen prepared with 40% angular sand between 0.6 mm and 0.3 mm, 1.0 mm and 0.6 mm, and 2.0 mm and 1.0 mm are 37 MPa, 40 MPa, and 42 MPa, respectively. Actually, the G_{max} values for the clay with smaller grains were found to be always lower than those with larger sand grains. It was seen that the difference in G_{max} values of the 0.6–0.3mm and 1.0–0.6mm specimens with the same shape sand grains is generally more than 5%, and those of the 0.6–0.3mm and 2.0–1.0mm is more than 10%, which means that the grain size of the sands used in the mixtures has the primary importance. Similar to the observations made

Table 4. G_{max} values (MPa) for the mixtures tested.

Sand content (%)	NS						CSS					
	0.6-0.3 mm	e	1.0-0.6 mm	e	2.0-1.0 mm	e	0.6-0.3 mm	e	1.0-0.6 mm	e	2.0-1.0 mm	e
0	23	0.577	23	0.577	23	0.577	23	0.577	23	0.577	23	0.577
10	36	0.548	38	0.533	40	0.518	34	0.565	37	0.547	39	0.535
20	37	0.508	39	0.491	41	0.476	35	0.528	38	0.506	40	0.491
30	38	0.471	40	0.446	42	0.431	36	0.495	39	0.465	41	0.451
40	39	0.442	41	0.419	43	0.405	37	0.462	40	0.440	42	0.424
50	40	0.413	42	0.399	44	0.385	38	0.435	41	0.421	43	0.404

by Hardin and Kalinski [65], that the G_{max} increases with an increase in the mean effective grain size, D_{50} (Figure 8). In addition, the amount of cohesionless material in the mixtures has an obvious influence on the overall behaviour as well as the G_{max} of such mixtures [44,45,47,66,67] (Figure 9). The evaluated G_{max} values for the mixtures have been almost doubled when the clay is mixed with 50% sand addition for any size and shape. The G_{max} of mixtures shows significant changes for a sand content of 10%, which suggests that the threshold value of the sand content at which the trend changing the e_{max} of the mixtures with clay content should be at less than 10%. The void ratio values, strongly effective on the shear modulus, were found to be changed from 0.577 to 0.385 by adding 50% rounded sand between 2.0–1.0 mm size. Such a decrease in the void ratio has resulted in an increase in G_{max} from 23 MPa to 44 MPa. Figure 10 reveals that the G_{max} decreased with an increase of the void ratio for all sizes and both shapes of grains in clay-sand mixtures. The reason for the decrease in G_{max} is mainly attributed to the increase in the void ratio, which changes based on the size and shape characteristics of the soil grains [5,68,69]. The packing features of the soil control the void ratio and the fabric, which represents the grains' orientation, and the contact patterns of the grains [69,70,71]. The shear waves propagate through a soil matrix with a maximum influence from the contact network. The variation of the void ratio can change the travel length for the wave. The larger the void ratio is,

the more travel length is created. Actually, more travel time would be spent in the contact network of the loose




Figure 9. The relationship between G_{max} (MPa) and sand content (%).




Figure 8. The relationship between G_{max} (MPa) and D_{50} (mm) for various sands in mixtures.




Figure 10. The relationship between G_{max} (MPa) and sand content (%).

sample. Conversely, a dense specimen would create a more stable connection, and thus avoids the micro-rotation of the grains. For example, well graded soils with a wide range of grain sizes tend to have smaller average void ratios, and exhibit larger values of the shear wave velocity (v_s). Hence, the present study has pointed

out that G_{max} is dependent on the void ratio controlled by the grain contents and the characteristics, including size, shape, gradation, and roughness.

The differences between the G_{max} and q_u values are influenced by different conditions under which the dynamic (BE) and static (UC) tests are carried out. The values of q_u in the UC tests are of several hundred of kPa, while the G_{max} during the BE tests does not exceed the value of 50 MPa (Figures 11-12). The loading during UC tests can produce the micro-cracks, which results in the growth of deformation and consequently in the failure of the specimen. However, the BE tests do not change the structure of the material, which is the biggest advantage of their use. The time of applying stress is also different, it lasts several minutes for the UC tests, while it lasts only several microseconds for the BE tests. The fact is that the purpose of the methods for determining the q_u and G_{max} differs based on the demands in practice. If the requirement for determining the quic formulated by a long-term loading point of view, such as the problem of stability for building works, mining works etc., the q_u values can be obtained from the UC tests. Conversely, if the loading is short term, such as the blasting works, earthquakes, etc., the determination of G_{max} is required. The measurements of G_{max} can be made relatively sophisticated, which is why an alternative method for its determination could be beneficial. Based on the above discussion, the following derived empirical formulas for estimating the G_{max} from the UC tests is suggested for the mixtures of clay-angular sand ($R^2=0.83$), and clay-rounded sand ($R^2=0.76$), respectively.




Figure 11. Comparison of G_{max} (kPa) and q_u (kPa) of the NS-clay mixtures.




Figure 12. Comparison of G_{max} (kPa) and q_u (kPa) of the CSS-clay mixtures.




Figure 13. The G_{max} - q_u correlation with sand content (%).

$$G_{max} = -91q_u + 58689 \quad (2)$$

$$G_{max} = -99q_u + 63277 \quad (3)$$

where G_{max} and q_u values are to be found in kPa.

When the values of q_u decreased with an increase of the sand percentages in the clay-sand mixtures, the values of G_{max} increased for all sizes and both types of sand. From Figure 13 it can also be observed that the values of G_{max} increased with an increase of the sand percentages for all sizes and both types of sand for the clay-sand mixtures.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Dynamic deformation characteristics including the small strain shear modulus (G_{max}) are the key parameters for the seismic design and a performance evaluation of structures. In the present study, bender-element (BE) tests were performed on various clay-sand mixtures in order to evaluate the effects of quantity (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% by weight), gradation (0.6–0.3 mm, 1.0–0.6 mm, and 2.0–1.0 mm) and shape (rounded, angular) of sand grains on the small strain shear modulus (G_{max}) of the mixtures. The unconfined compression (UC) tests were also conducted on the same specimens in order to make a correlation between the two testing results, which enables a platform for the comparison between static load test results and dynamic test results. Based on the outputs obtained, the following conclusions can be drawn.

- i) The G_{max} of the clay-sand mixtures nearly doubled from about 23 MPa for clean clay to more than 40 MPa for clay with 50% sand, while the q_u values of the clean clay were found to be about halfway down (from 355 kPa to about 170 kPa) when it was mixed with 50% sand for all sizes and shapes.
- ii) For the same amount and shape of the sand grains mixed with clay, the G_{max} values of the mixtures decreased for about 5–12%, while the q_u values of the same specimens increased at about 5–15% by an increase in the mean effective grain size (D_{50}) of the sands.
- iii) Both the G_{max} and q_u values in the specimens prepared with angular sand grains were found to be less than those with rounded grains in the range of about 5–7%, and 2–3%, respectively.
- iv) There was a correlation expressed in the form of an analytical function between the G_{max} and q_u .

This suggests that both the G_{max} and q_u of the specimens in the way prepared here primarily depend on the void ratio and the size, while they were slightly affected by the grain shape in the mixtures.

REFERENCES

- [1] Monkul, M.M. 2005. Influence of intergranular void ratio on one dimensional compression. M.Sc. Thesis, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Turkey.
- [2] Lehane, B.M., Jardine, R.J., Bond, A.J., Frank, R. 1993. Mechanisms of shaft friction in sand from instrumented pile tests. *J. Geotech. Eng.* 119(1), 19-35. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410 (1993) 119:1(19)
- [3] Fakharian, K., Evgin, E. 1997. Cyclic simple-shear behavior of sand-steel interfaces under constant normal stiffness condition. *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.* 123(12), 1096-1105. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(1997)123:12(1096)
- [4] Kramer, S.L. 1996. *Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering*. Prentice-Hall Inc, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- [5] Clayton, C.R.I. 2011. Stiffness at small strain: research and practice. *Géotechnique* 61(1), 5-37. doi:10.1680/geot.2011.61.1.5
- [6] Lee, J.S., Santamarina, C. 2005. Bender elements: Performance and signal interpretation. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 131(9). doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2005)131:9(1063)
- [7] Ibrahim, A., Orense, R., Pender, M. 2011. Determination of very small strain shear modulus of Auckland residual soils using bender elements. Proc of the 9th Pacific Conference on Earthquake Engineering Building and Earthquake-Resilient Society, New Zealand.
- [8] Youn, J.U., Choo, Y.W., Kim, D.S. 2008. Measurement of small strain shear modulus g_{max} of dry and saturated sands by bender element, resonant column, and torsional shear tests. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* 45(10), 1426-1438. doi:10.1139/T08-069
- [9] Dvvik, R., Madshus, C. 1985. Lab measurements of G_{max} using bender elements. *Advances in the art of testing soils under cyclic conditions*. Proceedings of the ASCE conference, Detroit, MI, 24 October 1985. New York: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE); p.186-96. doi:10.1061/ASCE1994.44.3.519
- [10] Souto, A., Hartikainen, J., Özüdogru, K. 1994. Measurement of dynamic parameters of road pavement materials by the bender element and resonant column tests. *Géotechnique* 44(3), 519-526.

- doi:10.1680/geot.1994.44.3.519
- [11] Gu, X.Q. 2012. Dynamic properties of granular materials at the macro and micro scales. PhD thesis, Hong Kong: The University of Hong Kong.
- [12] Consoli, N.C., Viana da Fonseca, A., Cruz, R.C., Heineck, K.S. 2009. Fundamental parameters for the stiffness and strength control of artificially cemented sand. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 135(9), 1347-1353. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.00000008
- [13] Flores, R.D., Di Emidio, G., Van Impe, W.F. 2010. Small-strain shear modulus and strength increase of cement-treated clay. *Geotechnical Testing Journal* 33(1), 1-10. doi:10.1520/GTJ102354
- [14] ASTM D2166 / D2166M-16, Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2016. doi:10.1520/D2166_D2166M-16
- [15] Terzaghi, K. 1925. *Erdbaumechanik auf bodenphysikalischer Grundlage*. Deuticke, Leipzig/Vienna.
- [16] Gilboy, G. 1928. The compressibility of sand-mica mixtures. *Proceedings of the ASCE*, 2, 555-568.
- [17] Lees, G. 1964. A new method for determining the angularity of particles. *Sedimentology* 3, 2-21. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1964.tb00271.x
- [18] Olson, R. E., Mesri, G. 1970. Mechanisms controlling compressibility of clays. *Journal of Soil Mechanics & Foundations Div.* 96(SM6), 1863-1878.
- [19] Abbireddy, C.O.R., Clayton, C.R.I., Huvenne, V.A. 2009. A method of estimating the form of fine particulates. *Geotechnique* 59 (6), 503- 511. doi: 10.1680/geot.2008.P.009
- [20] Clayton, C.R.I., Abbireddy, C.O.R., Schiebel, R. 2009. A method of estimating the form of coarse particulates. *Geotechnique* 59(6), 493-501. doi:10.1680/geot.2007.00195
- [21] Cabalar, A.F. 2010. Applications of the oedometer, triaxial and resonant column tests to the study of micaceous sands. *Engineering Geology* 112, 21-28. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2010.01.004
- [22] Cabalar, A.F., Dulundu, K., Tuncay, K. 2013. Strength of various sands in triaxial and cyclic direct shear tests. *Engineering Geology* 156, 92-102. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.01.011
- [23] Cabalar, A.F., Hasan, R.A. 2013. Compressional behaviour of various size/shape sand-clay mixtures with different pore fluids. *Engineering Geology* 164, 36- 49. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.06.011
- [24] Holubec, I., D'Appolonia, E. 1973. Effect of particle shape on the engineering properties of granular soils. Evaluation of relative density and its role in geotechnical projects involving cohesionless soils. ASTM, STP523, West Conshohocken, PA, 304-318.
- [25] Cornforth, D.H. 1973. Prediction of drained strength of sands from relative density measurements. Evaluation of relative density and its role in geotechnical projects involving cohesionless soils. Special technical publication 523, ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA, 281- 303. doi: 10.1520/STP37878S
- [26] Holtz, R.D., Kovacks, W.D. 1981. *An introduction to geotechnical engineering*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 517.
- [27] Cederger, H.R. 1989. *Seepage, drainage, and flownets*, 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, 26. doi:10.2113/gseegeosci.II.1.134
- [28] Wadell, H. 1932. Volume, shape, and roundness of rock particles. *J. Geol.* 40 (5), 443-451. doi:10.1086/623964
- [29] Krumbein, W.C. 1941. Measurement and geological significance of shape and roundness of sedimentary particles. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 11 (2), 64-72. doi:10.1306/D42690F3-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D
- [30] Powers, M.C. 1953. A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 23(2), 117-119. doi:10.1306/D4269567-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D
- [31] Youd, T.L. 1973. Factors controlling maximum and minimum densities of sands. Evaluation of relative density and its role in geotechnical projects involving cohesionless soils. ASTM STP523, West Conshohocken, PA, 98- 112. doi:10.1520/10.1520/STP37866S
- [32] Cho, G.C., Dodds, J.S., Santamarina, J.C. 2006. Particle shape effects on packing density, stiffness and strength: Natural and crushed sands. *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.* 132 (5), 591- 602. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2006)132:5(591)
- [33] ASTM D4318 / D4318-10, Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils, ASTM International, Philadelphia, PA, 2010. doi:10.1520/10.1520/STP37866S
- [34] Muszynski, M.R., Vitton S.J. 2012. Particle shape estimates of uniform sands: visual and automated methods comparison. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* 24(2), 194-206. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000351
- [35] Viggiani, G., Atkinson, J.H. 1995. Stiffness of fine-grained soil at very small strains. *Géotechnique* 45(2), 249-265. doi:10.1680/geot.1995.45.2.249
- [36] Stavridakis, E.I. 2005. Presentation and assessment of clay influence on engineering parameters of cement-treated clayey mixtures. *Electronic Journal*

- of Geotechnical Engineering, paper no: 508.
- [37] Shogaki, T. 1995. Effective stress behavior of clays in unconfined compression tests. *Soils and Foundations* 35 (1), 169- 171.
- [38] Fredlund, D.G., Xing, A., Fredlund, M.D., Barbour, S.L. 1996. The relationship of unsaturated soil shear strength to the soil-water characteristic curve. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* 33, 440-448. doi:10.1139/t96-065
- [39] Chae, J., Kim, B., Park, S., Kato, K. 2010. Effect of suction on unconfined compressive strength in partly saturated soils. *KSCCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 14(3), 281-290. doi: 10.1007/s12205-010-0281-7
- [40] Rothenburg, L., Bathurst, R.J. 1989. Analytical study of induced anisotropy in idealized granular material. *Géotechnique* 49, 601-614. doi:10.1680/geot.1989.39.4.601
- [41] Thornton, C. 2000. Numerical Simulations of Deviatoric Shear Deformation of Granular Media. *Geotechnique* 50, 43-53. doi: 10.1680/geot.2000.50.1.43
- [42] Cabalar, A.F. 2015. Stress fluctuations in granular material response during cyclic direct shear tests. *Granular Matter* 17 (4), 439- 446. doi:10.1007/s10035-015-0568-y
- [43] Santamarina, J.C., Cho, G.C. 2004. Soil behaviour: the role of particle shape. Proc. Skempton Conference, March, London. doi: 10.1680/aigev1.32644.0035
- [44] Ni, Q., Tan, T.S., Dasari, G.R., Hight, D.W. 2004. Contribution of fines to the compressive strength of mixed soils. *Geotechnique* 54 (9), 561-569. doi:10.1680/geot.2004. 54.9.561
- [45] Monkul, M.M., Ozden, G. 2007. Compressional behavior of clayey sand and transition fines content. *Engineering Geology* 89, 195-205. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.10.001
- [46] Rahman, M.M., Lo, S.R. 2008. The prediction of equivalent granular steady state line of loose sand with fines. *Geomech. Geoeng.* 3(3), 179-190. doi:10.1080/17486020802206867
- [47] Cabalar, A.F., Mustafa, W.S. 2015. Fall cone tests on clay-sand mixtures. *Engineering Geology* 192, 154-165. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2015.04.009
- [48] Yamamuro, J.A., Bopp, P.A., Lade, P.V. 1996. One dimensional compression of sands at high pressures. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 122 (2), 147-154. doi:10.1061/(ASCE) 0733-9410(1996)122:2(147)
- [49] Thevanayagam, S., Mohan, S. 2000. Intergranular state variables and stress-strain behaviour of silty sands. *Geotechnique* 50 (1), 1-23. doi:10.1680/geot.2000.50.1.1
- [50] Yamamuro, J.A., Wood, F.M. 2004. Effect of depositional method on the undrained behaviour and microstructure of sand with silt. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 24, 751-760. doi:10.1016/j.soildyn.2004.06.004
- [51] Mitchell, J.K. 1976. *Fundamental of soil behaviour*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [52] Kenny, T.C. 1977. Residual strength of mineral mixture. Proc. 9th International Conference of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, 155-160.
- [53] Lupini, J.F., Skinner, A.E., Vaughan, P.R. 1981. The drained residual strength of cohesive soils. *Geotechnique* 31(2), 181-213. doi:10.1680/geot.1981.31.2.181
- [54] Thevanayagam, S. 1998. Effect of fines and confining stress on undrained shear strength of silty sands. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 124(6), 479-491.
- [55] Troncoso, J.H., Verdugo, R. 1985. Silt content and dynamic behaviour of tailing sands. Proc. 11th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering 3, 1311-1314.
- [56] Tan, T.S., Goh, T.C., Karunaratne, G.P., Lee, S.L. 1994. Shear strength of very soft clay-sand mixtures. *Geotechnical Testing Journal* 17 (1), 27-34.
- [57] Hardin, B.O. 1978. The nature of stress-strain behaviour for soils. Proceedings of the ASCE specialty conference on earthquake engineering and soil dynamics, 3-90. doi:10.1520/GTJ10069J
- [58] Jamiolkowski, M., Leroueil, S., Lo Presti, D.C.F. 1991. Theme lecture: Design parameters from theory to practice. Proceedings of the international conference on geotechnical engineering for coastal development, Geo-Coast 1991, Yokohama, Japan, 877-917.
- [59] Vucetic, M., Dobry, R. 1991. Effect of soil plasticity on cyclic response. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 117(1), 89-107. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1991)117:1(89)
- [60] Shibuya, S., Tanaka, H. 1996. Estimate of elastic shear modulus in holocene soil deposits. *Soils and foundations* 36(4), 45-55. doi:10.3208/sandf.36.4_45
- [61] Santagata, M., Germaine, J.T., Ladd, C.C. 2005. Factors affecting the initial stiffness of cohesive soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 131(4), 430-441. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2005)131:4(430)
- [62] Wichtmann, T., Triantafyllidis, T. 2010. On the influence of the grain size distribution curve on P-wave velocity, constrained elastic modulus M_{max} and Poisson's ratio of quartz sands. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 30(8), 757-766.

- doi:10.1016/j.soildyn. 2010.03.006
- [63] Yang, J., Gu, X. Q. 2013. Shear stiffness of granular material at small-strain: does it depend on grain size? *Géotechnique* 63(2), 165–179. doi:10.1680/geot.11.P.083
- [64] Santamarina, J.C., Cascante, G. 1998. Effect of surface roughness on the wave propagation parameters. *Géotechnique* 48(1), 129–136. doi:10.1680/geot.1998.48.1.129
- [65] Hardin, B.O., Kalinski, M.E. 2005. Estimating the shear modulus of gravelly soils. *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 131 (7), 867–875. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2005)131
- [66] Yamada, S., Hyodo, M., Orense, R., Dinesh, S.V. 2008. Initial shear modulus of remoulded sand-clay mixtures. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 134 (7), 960–971. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2008)134:7(960)
- [67] Cabalar, A.F., Mustafa, W.S. 2017. Behavior of sand-clay mixtures for road pavement subgrade. *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, 18, 714–726. doi:10.1080/10298436.2015.1121782. doi:10.1080/10298436.2015.1121782
- [68] Jia, X., Williams, R.A. 2001. A packing algorithm for particles of arbitrary shapes. *Powder Technology* 120(3), 175–186. doi:10.1016/S0032-5910(01)00268-6
- [69] Cubrinovski, M., Ishihara, K. 2002. Maximum and minimum void ratio characteristics of sands. *Soils and foundations* 42(6), 65–78. doi:10.3208/sandf.42.6_65
- [70] Lo Presti, D.C.F., Jamiolkowski, M., Pallara, O., Cavallaro, A., Pedroni, S. 1997. Shear Modulus and Damping of Soils. *Géotechnique* 47(3), 603–617. doi:10.1680/geot.1997.47.3.603
- [71] Santamarina, J.C., in collaboration with Klein, K. and Fam, M., 2001. *Soils and Waves*, J. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 488 pages.