Scientific paper

Cu(I) Arylsulfonate π-Complexes with 3-Allyl-2-thiohydantoin: The Role of the Weak Interactions in Structural Organization

Andrii Fedorchuk,¹ Evgeny Goreshnik,^{2,*} Yurii Slyvka³ and Marian Mys'kiv³

> ¹ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Radzikowskiego 152, PL-31342 Krakow, Poland

² Department of Inorganic Chemistry and Technology, Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

³ Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Kyryla i Mefodiya 6, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine

> * Corresponding author: E-mail: evgeny.goreshnik@ijs.si Phone: +386 1 477 36 45

> > Received: 04-12-2020

Abstract

The present work is directed toward preparation and structural characterization of two novel Cu(I) arylsulfonate π -complexes with 3-allyl-2-thiohydantoin, namely [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄](C₆H₅SO₃)₂ (1) and [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄](*p*-CH₃C₆H₄SO₃)₂ · 2H₂O (2) (*Hath* = 3-allyl-2-thiohydantoin), obtained by the means of alternating current electrochemical synthesis and studied with X-ray diffraction method. In both structures, the inner coordination sphere is represented by the cationic dimer [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄]²⁺ with one crystallographically independent copper(I) atom which has a trigonal pyramidal coordination environment formed by three *Hath* thiogroup S atoms and double C=C bond of its allyl group. [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄]²⁺ fragments in both coordination compounds are very similar, despite some divergences such as a big difference in Cu–S distance to the apical S atom (3.0374(8) Å in 1 and 2.7205(9) Å in 2). This difference was explained by the impact of the system of weak interactions, which are quite different.

Keywords: Copper(I) arylsulfonate; π -complex; thiohydantoin; weak interaction; crystal structure.

1. Introduction

In the last years both 2-thiohydantoin (2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-ones) and hydantoin core fragments were studied as useful scaffolds in medicinal chemistry due to their synthetic feasibility and versatility of substituents. ^{1–5} Moreover, their derivatives are already used in commercially available drugs such as Phenytoin, Dantrolene and Allantoin. The presence of several active chemical groups, as well as simple synthetic route advantages, could make them interesting not only as biologically active compounds but also as compounds of great interest in the chemistry of coordination compounds too. Although, due to the presence of capable for complex compound formation functional groups, 2-thiohydantoin based compounds were found to have an application in the analytical chemistry as reagents for the determination of several *d*-metals (including Cu and Ag).^{6, 7} Despite the small number of such representatives (according to the Cambridge Crystallographic Database only 12 copper coordination compounds with 2-thiohydantoin still known),⁸ it was already investigated that they could have a potential interest as optical materials due to their fluorescence sensing properties and luminescence towards Cu⁺ and Cu²⁺ as well as the possibility of successful usage in crystal engineering of organometallics.^{9,10} Moreover, previously, we have shown, that Cu(I) π -complexes with allyl derivatives of heterocycles can possess noticeable non-linear optical properties.¹¹⁻¹⁵

This work is the continuation of our previous studies, in which we have studied the coordination behavior of 3-allyl-2-thiohydantoin (*Hath*) regarding Ag(I), where obtained coordination compounds have already shown interesting structural peculiarities, confirming the status of 2-thiohydantoin-based molecules as the potential ligands in the structural engineering.^{16,17}

2. Experimental

2.1. General Consideration

Unless otherwise mentioned, all chemicals were obtained from a commercial source (Sigma Aldrich) and used without further purification. The NMR experiments: ¹H NMR (500 MHz), ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz) spectra for *Hath* were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the residual peak of the deuterated CD₃OD for the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra. Carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur contents for *Hath*, **1** and **2** compounds were determined using a CHNS elemental analyzer vario EL cube (Elementar) operating in the CHNS mode. The infrared (IR) spectrum for *Hath* was recorded on the Bruker IFS-88 spectrometer as nujol mulls. Diffraction data for **1** and **2** were collected on a Gemini+ diffractometer with Mo K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and Atlas CCD detector.

2. 2. Preparation of 3-allyl-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (Hath)

Ligand *Hath* was synthesized from allylisothiocyanate and glycine at the presence of triethylamine and pyridine, in accordance with the reported method. ¹⁶ Yield 57%. M.p. 91–92 °C. Anal. calcd. for $C_6H_8N_2OS$: C, 46.13; H, 5.16; N, 17.93; S, 20.53; found: C, 45.93; H, 5.44; N, 17.92; S, 20.65.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) δ , 5.84 p.p.m. (ddt, *J* = 17.1, 10.4, 5.6 1H, =CH), 5.18 p.p.m. (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 2.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H, CH₂=), 5.14 p.p.m. (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H, -CH₂=), 4.38 p.p.m. (dt, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1.5 2H, CH₂), 4.14 (s, 2H, CH₂).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ, p.p.m. 185.74 p.p.m. (-C=S), 174.17 p.p.m. (-C=O), 132.62 p.p.m. (=CH), 117.94 p.p.m. (CH₂=), 49.48 p.p.m. (CH₂), 43.80 p.p.m. (CH₂).

IR (nujol, cm⁻¹): 3488 (w), 3225 (s), 3091 (w), 3011 (vw), 1864 (vw), 1751 (vs), 1650 (m), 1524 (vs), 1431 (vs), 1367 (w), 1344 (vs), 1306 (s), 1289 (w), 1260 (s), 1176 (vs), 1106 (m), 1048 (m), 1029 (m), 994 (m), 977 (w), 930 (s), 893 (m), 755 (vw), 719 (w), 700 (vs), 610 (m), 581 (m), 563 (m), 541 (m), 515 (m), 474 (m), 440 (vw).

2. 3. Preparation of Complexes

2. 3. 1. Preparation of $[Cu_2(Hath)_4](C_6H_5SO_3)_2(1)$

To the solution of $Cu(C_6H_5SO_3)_2$ ·6H₂O (0.157 g, 0.4 mmol) in 4.5 ml of *n*-propanol 0.156 g (1 mmol) of 3-al-

lyl-2-thiohydanthoine (*Hath*) was added and obtained mixture was stirred. The resulting orange-brown solution was placed into a 5 mL test tube and then copper-wire electrodes in cork were inserted. The inner mixture in the obtained cell was subjected to alternating-current electrochemical recovery (0.6 V, 50 Hz) for 5 days.¹⁸ Crystals **1**, suitable for X-ray diffraction studies, were formed on copper wires while maintaining this reactor for 2 weeks at -3 °C. Yield 35%. M.p. 128 °C. Anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₂₁ CuN₄O₅S₃: C, 40.55; H, 3.97; N, 10.51; S, 18.04; found: C, 40.08; H, 3.72; N, 10.67; S, 17.86.

2. 3. 2. Preparation of [Cu₂(Hath)₄] (p-CH₃C₆H₄SO₃)₂ · 2H₂O (2)

To 5 ml of a solution of 0.198 g (0.4 mmol) of $Cu(p-CH_3C_6H_4SO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ in *n*-propanol was added 0.156 g (1 mmol) of 3-allyl-2-thiohydanthine (*Hath*) and stirred. The resulting orange-brown solution was placed into a 5 mL test tube and then copper-wire electrodes in cork were inserted. The inner mixture in the obtained cell was subjected to alternating-current electrochemical recovery (0.6 V, 50 Hz) during 2 days. Crystals **2**, suitable for X-ray diffraction studies, were formed on copper wires. Yield 43%. M.p. 113 °C. Anal. calcd. for $C_{19}H_{25}CuN_4O_6S_3$: C, 40.38; H, 4.46; N, 9.91; S, 17.02; found: C, 40.17; H, 4.34; N, 9.86; S, 17.21.

2. 4. X-Ray Crystal Structure Determination

The collected data for 1 & 2 were processed with CrysAlis Pro program.¹⁹ The structures were solved by dual-space algorithm using SHELXT and refined by least squares method on F^2 by SHELXL-2014 with the following graphical user interfaces of OLEX².^{20–22} Atomic displacements for non-hydrogen atoms were refined using an anisotropic model. Hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The figures were prepared using DIAMOND 3.1 software. Crystal parameters, data collection and the refinement parameters are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results and Discussion

 π -Complex [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄](C₆H₅SO₃)₂ (1) forms triclinic crystals in the centrosymmetric space group $P\bar{1}$. This compound is composed of the centrosymmetric cationic [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄]²⁺ dimers (Fig. 1) with one crystallographically independent Cu atom and outer coordination sphere represented by benzenesulfonate anions. Cu(I) atom in 1 has a trigonal pyramidal coordination environment (geometric index $\tau_4 = 0.80$).²³ Sulfur atom and C5A=C6A ole-fine group from one *Hath* moiety and S centre from another ligand unit form basal plane of the metal ion coordination

| | $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]$ (C ₆ H ₅ SO ₃) ₂ (1) | $[\operatorname{Cu}_2(Hath)_4]$ $(\operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{C}_6\operatorname{H}_4\operatorname{SO}_3)_2 \times 2\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}(2)$ |
|---|---|--|
| Formula weight (g·mol ^{−1}) | 533.11 | 565.15 |
| Crystal system and space group | Triclinic, <i>P1</i> . | Triclinic, <i>P1</i> . |
| a(Å) | 9.1664(6) | 9.4494(3) |
| $b(\text{\AA})$ | 10.7387(6) | 10.3035(4) |
| c(Å) | 12.4008(6) | 14.4419(6) |
| α(°) | 93.096(4) | 94.228(3) |
| β(°) | 95.581(5) | 94.821(3) |
| γ(°) | 114.254(6) | 116.983(4) |
| $V(Å^3)$ | 1101.71(12) | 1238.64(9) |
| Ζ | 2 | 2 |
| $D (g/cm^3)$ | 1.607 | 1.515 |
| $\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$ | 1.31 | 1.18 |
| F(000) | 548 | 584 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.53 \times 0.42 \times 0.34$ | $0.60 \times 0.36 \times 0.25$ |
| Crystal colour | colourless | colourless |
| Temperature of the data collections (K) | 150(2) | 150(2) |
| θ range for data collection (°) | 3.7 – 28.9 | 3.5-28.8 |
| | $-12 \le h \le 9$ | $-11 \le h \le 11$ |
| Index ranges | $-14 \le k \le 13$ | $-13 \le k \le 13$ |
| | $-16 \le l \le 16$ | $-19 \le l \le 18$ |
| Measured reflections | 7786 | 8562 |
| Independent reflections | 4534 | 5143 |
| Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ | 3954 | 4274 |
| Refined parameters | 288 | 302 |
| R _{int} | 0.022 | 0.024 |
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ | 0.038 | 0.047 |
| $wR(F^2)$ | 0.091 | 0.132 |
| $\Delta ho_{ m max}/\Delta ho_{ m min}({ m e}/{ m \AA}^3$) | 1.11, -0.65 | 1.04, -0.78 |

Table 1. Selected crystal data and structure refinement parameters of 1 and 2.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angle values (°) in 1 and 2.

| | 1 | 2 |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Bond | <i>d</i> , | Å |
| Cu1-S1 | 2.2573(8) | 2.2785(8) |
| Cu1-S2 | 2.2556(7) | 2.2644(9) |
| $Cu1-S1^X$ | 3.0374(8) | 2.7205(9) |
| $Cu1-m^*$ | 1.978(3) | 1.994(3) |
| C5A-C6A | 1.361(4) | 1.363(5) |
| Angles | ω, | 0 |
| S2-Cu1-S1 | 112.97(3) | 112.06(3) |
| S1-Cu1-S1 ^{X**} | 97.04(3) | 97.21(3) |
| <i>m</i> -Cu1-S1 | 117.03(8) | 116.37(3) |
| <i>m</i> -Cu1-S2 | 129.53(8) | 128.32(3) |

m* – middle point of C5A–C6A bond; **S1^{*X*} – S1^{*i*} atom for **1 and S1^{*ii*} atom for **2** Symmetry codes: (*i*) 1–*x*, 2–*y*, 1–*z*; (*ii*) 2–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*.

sphere, and sulfur atom from one more *Hath* molecule is located at the apical position. The distance to the apical sulfur atom Cu1–S1ⁱ is equal to 3.0374(8) Å and is significantly greater than Cu–S distances to the equatorial S1 and S2 atoms (Table 2).

In the mentioned cationic fragment $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ there are two crystallographically independent molecules of organic ligand Hath. One of them is coordinated to the Cu atom by the thiogroup S1 atom and double C=C bond of the allyl group. Thus, the first ligand molecule possesses a bidentate chelate function, forming a seven-membered {C₄NSCu} cycle. The aforementioned S1 atom of the first ligand is also coordinated to the Cu1ⁱ atom, and, due to the cetrosymmetricity of the structure, a flat four-membered $\{Cu_2S_2\}$ cycle with a S1-Cu1-S1ⁱ angle of 97.04(3)° is formed. Second ligand molecule is coordinated to the Cu1 atom only through its S2 atom, complementing copper's coordination number to four. Accordingly - allyl group of the second ligand molecule doesn't participate in the metal bonding and is freely located in the crystal structure with anticlinal conformation (119.8(3)°) relative to the C4B-C5B bond.

The structure of the complex $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]$ (*p*-CH₃C₆H₄SO₃)₂ · 2H₂O (**2**) is quite similar to the structure **1**. It has similar cationic $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ fragments, but the outer coordination sphere is filled with *p*-toluenesulfonate anions and water molecules (Fig. 3). Regarding the cationic fragment, the main differences are in the noticeable shortening of the Cu–S distance to the apical atom



Figure. 1. Cationic fragment $[Cu_2(hath)_4]^{2+}$ in the structures 1 (a) and 2 (b). Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, 2-y, 1-z; (ii) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z.



Figure. 2. Systems of weak bonding in the structure 1 (a) and 2 (b). Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, 2-y, 1-z; (ii) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z; (iii) x-1, y, z; (iv) 1-x, -y, -z.

(2.7205(9) Å in 2 compared to 3.0374(8) Å in 1) (Table 2) and a slightly different conformation of the uncoordinated ligand molecule, in particular, the torsion angle N23–C4B–C5B–C6B is equal to $142.6(7)^{\circ}$ (in contrast to $119.8(3)^{\circ}$ in 1). These differences can be explained, taking into account some features of the differences in the outer coordination sphere (Fig 2).

Benzenesulfonate anions are located in the outer coordination sphere and take part in the formation of weak bonding in the structure **1**. Two out of three oxygen atoms of the same anion (O13 and O33) participate in N-H···O bonding (Table 3, Fig. 2) with N–H groups of different cationic fragments, connecting them into infinite H-bonded chain (Fig 3). Also, one of the oxygen atoms of $C_6H_5SO_3^$ anion (O13) forms a weak Cu–O contact with a bond length of 3.409(5) Å. Nevertheless, this distance is noticeably longer than the sum of van der Waals radii of Cu and O by Bondi (2.92 Å),^{24,25} it is still less than the corresponding value according to both Batsanov and Alvarez studies – namely 3.55 Å and 3.88 Å respectively.^{26,27}

The system of hydrogen bonding in 2 is much more complicated than in 1 due to the presence of the water

| Tał | ole 3. | Geometry | r of sel | lected | hyo | lrogen | bond | s in | 1. |
|-----|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|--------|------|------|----|
|-----|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|--------|------|------|----|

| Atoms involved D–H…A | Distances, Å | | | Angle, deg |
|------------------------------|--------------|------|----------|------------|
| | D…H | D…H | D…H | D-H···A |
| N2A-H2A···O33 ⁱⁱⁱ | 0.86 | 1.99 | 2.743(3) | 146 |
| N2B-H2B-013 | 0.86 | 2.01 | 2.774(3) | 148 |

B

Symmetry code: (*iii*) x–1, y, z.

Fedorchuk et al.: Cu(I) Arylsulfonate π -Complexes ...

| Atoms involved | Distances, Å | | | Angle, deg | |
|------------------------------|--------------|------|----------|------------|--|
| D–H···A | D…H | Н…А | D····A | D-H···A | |
| N21-H21-O23 ⁱⁱⁱ | 0.88 | 1.93 | 2.761(4) | 156 | |
| N2A-H2A····O33 ⁱⁱ | 0.88 | 1.91 | 2.764(3) | 164 | |
| Ow-HwA····O1B ^{iv} | 0.85 | 2.00 | 2.841(6) | 173 | |
| Ow-HwB…O13 ⁱⁱⁱ | 0.85 | 2.08 | 2.914(5) | 168 | |

Table 4. Geometry of selected hydrogen bonds in 2.

Symmetry codes: (*ii*) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z; (*iii*) x-1, y, z; (*iv*) 1-x, -y, -z.

molecule (Table 4, Fig 3). As one of the results of its presentence in **2** all three anion's O atoms participate in a formation of hydrogen bonding – two of them (O23 and O33) form N–H···O bonding, like in **1**, and the third one (O13) is connected with water molecule HwB atom. Second water hydrogen HwA atom is involved in Ow– HwA···O1B^{iv} H-bonding with carbonyl C=O Hath group. As a result of all bonding, 2D H-bonded net is formed (Fig 3). Also, like in **1**, there is a weak Cu–O bonding but in structure **2**, the corresponding distance is noticeably greater and is equal to 3.610(3) Å, which is still less than the sum of van der Waals radii by Alvarez (3.88 Å). As it was shown before, $Cu1-S1^X$ distance to the apical $S1^X$ atom (X = i in 1 and *ii* in 2) is noticeably different in these two structures. Moreover, since all other distances and angles within the coordination environment of Cu atom are almost the same (Table 2) as well as a composition of the cationic fragment, it can be concluded that the cause of these differences should be found in the outer coordination sphere. As a possible reason, we would like to introduce the confrontation of Cu–S bonding and Cu–O weak interaction. One can notice that in 1 structure Cu–S interaction is weaker (3.0374(8) Å) in comparison with 2 (2.7205(9) Å) and vise versa – Cu–O interaction in 1 is



Figure. 3. 1D H-bonded chain in 1 (a) and 2D H-bonded net in 2 (b).

Fedorchuk et al.: Cu(I) Arylsulfonate π -Complexes ...

stronger (3.409(3) Å) than in 2 (3.610(3) Å) (Fig 2). In our previous works we have shown an impact of a weak interactions, including hydrogen bonding, on the structural organization in π -complex compounds.^{28–30} The weakening of the Cu–O interaction in **2** (and the strengthening of Cu–S as a result) can be explained by the fact, that the anion in **2** is involved in a larger number of the H-bonding, due to the presence of the water molecule, all of which competes with the Cu–O interaction. Finally, since the water was presented in both reaction mixtures, but co-crystallized only in **2**, its presence in its crystal structure should be explained by the different character of benzene- and *p*-toluenesulfonate anions.

In our previous works we have synthesized a series of Ag(I) arylsulfonates coordination compounds with Hath possessing a completely different geometry and more complicated geometry, namely $[Ag_2(Hath)_4(C_6H_5)]$ SO_{3}_{2} · 0.5 $C_{3}H_{7}OH$, $[Ag_{2}(Hath)_{4}(CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}SO_{3})_{2}]$ and $[Ag_2(Hath)(ath)(CH_3C_6H_4SO_3)]$.^{16,17} In the first two coordination compounds, a complex coordination chain is formed, within which there are three crystallographically independent Ag(I) atoms with different coordination environments (tetragonal pyramidal, seesaw and distorted octahedral), four crystallographically independent molecules of Hath ligand and two anions of benzene- or toluenesulfonate. The Hath molecule is coordinated exclusively through the S atom of the thiogroup, which is bonded to several metal centers simultaneously. In complex $[Ag_2(Hath)(ath)(CH_3C_6H_4SO_3)]$, part of the molecules of 3-allyl-2-thiohydantoin is in the deprotonated form (*ath*). In all these three compounds double bonds of allyl groups have stayed unbounded. The lack of coordination of the 2-thiohydantoin ligand C=C by the double bond of the allyl group in the case of Ag(I) complexes in contrast to Cu(I) ones, can be explained by the greater philicity of the Ag(I) atom to the exocyclic Sulfur atom, which in case of Ag(I) complexes tend to be bonded with a maximal amount of Ag(I) atoms.

4. Conclusions

Two novel copper(I) π -complexes [Cu₂(*Hath*)₄] $(C_6H_5SO_3)_2$ (1) and $[Cu_2(Hath)_4] \cdot 2CH_3C_6H_4SO_3 \cdot 2H_2O_3$ (2) (*Hath* = 3-allyl-2-thiohydantoine) were synthesized and characterized by the X-ray diffraction method. Both structures contain centrosymmetric cationic dimer $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ in which Cu(I) atom has a trigonal pyramidal coordination environment. In contrast to $Ag(C_6H_5SO_3)$ & Ag($CH_3C_6H_4SO_3$) complexes, in which Ag(I) prefers to be bound with ligand by S-atom only, copper(I) coordination environment in 1 & 2 includes both ligand's exocyclic sulfur atom and allylic C=C bond. Nevertheless, both $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ are very similar, there are some differences, including the difference in the $Cu1-S1^X$ distance (3.0374(8) Å in 1 and 2.7205(9) Å in 2). As a possible explanation, the confrontation of Cu–S and Cu–O weak interaction was proposed. Due to this model this difference is explained by the fact, that the anion in 2 is involved in a larger number of the H-bonding, due to the presence of the water molecule in it, and acts on Cu(I) atom weaker than in 1.

Supplementary material

CCDC numbers 1997084 (1) and 1997085 (2) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on applications to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: int. code +(1223)336–033; e-mail for inquiry: fileserv@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

5. References

- Seo Hyun Choa, Seok-Ho Kim, Dongyun Shin, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2019, 164, 517–545.
- 2. S. Suzen, E. Buyukbingol, *Il Farmaco*. **2000**, 55, 246–248. **DOI**:10.1016/S0014-827X(00)00028-8
- M. E. Jung, S. Ouk, D. Yoo, C. L. Sawyers, C. Chen, C. Tran, J. Wongvipat, *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 53, 2779–2796. DOI:10.1021/jm901488g
- Y. S. Bae, S. Choi, J. J. Park, J. H. Joo, M. Cui, H. Cho, W. J. Lee, S. H. Lee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2016, 24, 4144–4151.
 DOI:10.1016/j.bmc.2016.06.056
- R. Raj, V. Mehra, J. Gut, P. J. Rosenthal, K. J. Wicht, T. J. Egan, M. Hopper, L. A. Wrischnik, K. M. Land, V. Kumar, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, 84, 425–432.
 DOI:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.07.048
- M. T. M. González, J. L. G. Ariza, F. Pino, R. G. Villanova, *Talanta* 1978, 25, 331–337.
 DOI:10.1016/0039-9140(78)80137-4
- 7. M. T. M. González, J. L. G. Ariza, *Talanta* 1980, 27, 613–614. DOI:10.1016/0039-9140(80)80192-5
- 8. C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot, S. C. Ward, *Acta Cryst. B* **2016**, *72*, 171–179. **DOI**:10.1107/S2052520616003954
- Weifeng Zeng, Xiaodong Yang, Xiuli Chen, Yichen Yan, Xinwei Lu, Jinqing Qu, Ruiyuan Liu, *Eur. Polym. J.* 2014, *61*, 309– 315. DOI:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.10.015
- P. Aslanidis, S. Kyritsis, M. Lalia-Kantouri, B. Wicher, M. Gdaniec, *Polyhedron* 2012, *48*, 140–145
 DOI:10.1016/j.poly.2012.08.065
- Yu.I. Slyvka, A. A. Fedorchuk, N. T. Pokhodylo, T. Lis, I. V. Kityk, M. G. Mys'kiv, *Polyhedron* **2018**, *147*, 86–93. **DOI**:10.1016/j.poly.2018.03.015
- A. A. Fedorchuk, Yu. I. Slyvka, E. A. Goreshnik, I. V. Kityk, P. Czaja, M. G. Mys'kiv, *J. Mol. Struct.* 2018, *1171*, 644–649.
 DOI:10.1016/j.molstruc.2018.06.017
- Yu. Slyvka, A.A. Fedorchuk, E. Goreshnik, G. Lakshminarayana, I.V. Kityk, P. Czaja, M. Mys'kiv, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2018, 694, 112–119. DOI:10.1016/j.cplett.2018.01.041
- 14. Yu. Slyvka, E. Goreshnik, G. Veryasov, D. Morozov, A. A. Fe-

dorchuk, N. Pokhodylo, I. Kityk, M. Mys'kiv, *J. Coord. Chem.* **2019**, *72*, 1049–1063.

DOI:10.1080/00958972.2019.1580699

- A. A. Fedorchuk, Yu. Slyvka, V. Kinzhybalo, I. Kityk, J. Jędryka, K. Ozga, M. Mys'kiv, J. Coord. Chem. 2019, 72, 3222– 3236. DOI:10.1080/00958972.2019.1687891
- A. A. Fedorchuk, Yu. I. Slyvka, V. Kinzhybalo, T. Lis, M. G. Mys'kiv, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2019, 481, 79–86.
 DOI:10.1016/j.ica.2018.09.029
- 17. A. A. Fedorchuk, Yu. I. Slyvka, M. G. Mys'kiv, Voprosy khimii i khimicheskoi tekhnologii **2019**, *4*, 172–178.
- B. M. Mykhalichko, M. G. Mys'kiv. Ukraine Patent UA 25450A, Bull. № 6, 1998.
- Agilent Technologies, CrysAlis PRO, Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England, 2011.
- 20. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. Sect. A.* **2015**, *A71*, 3–8. **DOI:**10.1107/S2053229614024218
- 21. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. Sect. C.* **2015**, *C71*, 3–8. **DOI:**10.1107/S2053229614024218
- 22. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard,

H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341. **DOI:**10.1107/S0021889808042726

- 23. L.Yang, D. R. Powell, R. P. Houser, Dalton Trans. 2007, 9, 955–964. DOI:10.1039/B617136B
- 24. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem. **1964**, 68, 441–451. **DOI:**10.1021/j100785a001
- 25. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem. **1966**, 70, 3006–3007. **DOI:**10.1021/j100881a503
- 26. S. S. Batsanov, *Inorg. Mater.* 2001, 37, 871–885. DOI:10.1023/A:1011625728803
- 27. S. A. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 8617–8636. **DOI:**10.1039/c3dt50599e
- Yu. Slyvka, E. Goreshnik, N. Pokhodylo, O. Pavlyuk, M. Mys'kiv, *Acta. Chim. Slov.* 2016, 63, 399–405.
- B. Ardan, V. Kinzhybalo, Yu. Slyvka, O. Shyyka, M. Luk'yanov, T. Lis, M. Mys'kiv, Acta. Cryst. Sect. C. 2017, C73, 36–46. DOI:10.1107/S2053229616018751
- 30. E. A. Goreshnik, G. Veryasov, Yu. I. Slyvka, B. R. Ardan, M. G. Mys'kiv, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2016, 810, 1–11. DOI:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2016.03.001

Povzetek

V tem delu je predstavljena sinteza in strukturna karakterizacija dveh novih Cu(I) arilsulfonatnih π-kompleksov z 3-alil-2-tiohidantoinom, in sicer $[Cu_2(Hath)_4](C_6H_5SO_3)_2$ (1) in $[Cu_2(Hath)_4](p-CH_3C_6H_4SO_3)_2$ ·2H₂O (2) (*Hath* = 3-alil-2-tiohidantoin). Spojini smo pridobili z elektrokemijsko sintezo s spremenljivim tokom in preučevali z rentgensko difrakcijo. V obeh spojinah je notranja koordinacijska sfera sestavljena iz kationskega dimera $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ z enim kristalografsko neodvisnim Cu(I) atomom ki ima trigonalno piramidalno okolje ki ga tvorijo trije S atomi iz *Hath* tioskupin in dvojna C=C vez iz alilne skupine. Fragmenti $[Cu_2(Hath)_4]^{2+}$ so zelo podobni v obeh koordinacijskih spojinah kljub nekaterim odstopanjem, npr. Veliki razliko v razdalji Cu-S do apikalnega S atoma (3.0374(8) Å v 1 in 2.7205(9) Å v 2). To razliko lahko razložimo z vplivom sistema šibkih interakcij, ki so bistveno različne.



Except when otherwise noted, articles in this journal are published under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License